Arita v. Hooker et al Doc. 149

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

MIDDLE DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

THEODORE ARITA (#422864)

CIVIL ACTION

**VERSUS** 

MAJ. HOOKER, ET AL.

NO.:14-00116-BAJ-EWD

**RULING AND ORDER** 

On March 3, 2016, the United States Magistrate Judge issued a Report and

Recommendation, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), recommending that the Motions

for Summary Judgment (Docs. 61, 132), filed by the Defendants, be granted. (Doc.

148).

The Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation specifically notified

Plaintiff, Theodore Arita, that, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), he had fourteen

(14) days from the date he received the Report and Recommendation to file written

objections to the proposed findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations

therein. (Doc. 148 at p. 1). Plaintiff did not file a written objection.

Having carefully considered Plaintiff's Complaint and the Motions for

Summary Judgment, the Court approves the Magistrate Judge's Report and

Recommendation, and hereby adopts the findings of fact, conclusions of law, and

recommendation.

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Report and

Recommendation (Doc. 148) is ADOPTED as the Court's opinion herein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants' Motion for Summary

Judgment (Doc. 61) and Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. 132) are

DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's claims against the Defendants,

"John Doe" medical providers, are DISMISSED for Plaintiff's failure to identify and

serve these Defendants within the time allowed by Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of

Civil Procedure.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court declines jurisdiction over any

pendent state law claims, and that those claims are DISMISSED WITHOUT

PREJUDICE.

Baton Rouge, Louisiana, this 23 day of March, 2016.

BRIAN A. JACKSON, CHIEF JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

MIDDLE DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

2