Paulson v. LeBlanc et al Doc. 6

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

MIDDLE DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

CHRISTOPHER PAULSON (#360272)

CIVIL ACTION NO.

VERSUS

20-697-JWD-EWD

JAMES LeBLANC, ET AL.

<u>ORDER</u>

Before the Court is a "Notice to Drop Suit" wherein Christopher Paulson ("Plaintiff") requests that this Court "drop" this action.¹ The Court construes this request as a notice of

dismissal brought pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41.

Regarding Plaintiff's request that the lawsuit be dismissed, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure

41, provides that a "plaintiff may dismiss an action without a court order by filing . . . a notice of

dismissal before the opposing party serves either an answer or a motion for summary judgment."²

The notice of dismissal is effective immediately.³ The notice closes the case, the district court is

divested of jurisdiction over the case (except for consideration of collateral issues or exercising its

supervisory powers), and not even a perfunctory order closing the case is needed.⁴ Rule

41(a)(1)(B) provides that "unless the notice or stipulation states otherwise, the dismissal is without

prejudice." Because defendants have not been served and there has not been an answer or motion

for summary judgment filed, Plaintiff's Motion is functionally a Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(i) notice of

dismissal and should be treated as such. Accordingly,

¹ R. Doc. 5.

² Fed. R. Civ. P. art. 41(a)(1)(A)(i).

³ Qureshi v. U.S., 600 F.3d 523, 526 (5th Cir. 2010).

⁴ Id., (citing American Cyanamid Co. v. McGhee, 317 F.2d 295, 297 (5th Cir. 1963)).

IT IS ORDERED that the Notice to Drop Suit⁵ filed by Plaintiff Christopher Paulson is treated as a notice of voluntary dismissal pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(i), and the Clerk of Court is directed to **CLOSE** the case.

Signed in Baton Rouge, Louisiana, on June 3, 2021.

JUDGE JOHN W. deGRAVELLES UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

-

⁵ R. Doc. 5.