Williams v. Johnson Doc. 39

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA MONROE DIVISION

LAWRENCE WILLIAMS \* CIVIL ACTION NO. 13-0478

VERSUS \* JUDGE ROBERT G. JAMES

ANTONIO JOHNSON \* MAG. JUDGE KAREN L. HAYES

## **MEMORANDUM ORDER**

Before the court is a motion for reconsideration [doc. # 38] filed by plaintiff pro se,

Lawrence Williams. [doc. # 38]. By this motion, plaintiff petitions the court to reconsider its

supposed April 16, 2014, judgment in favor of defendant. However, the sole order/judgment

entered by the court on April 16, 2014, was an order granting defendant permission to file a reply

memorandum in support of his pending motion for summary judgment. *See* April 16, 2014, Order

[doc. # 36]). The order did not grant defendant's pending motion for summary judgment. In fact,

by separate report and recommendation issued this date, the undersigned has recommended that the

District Court *deny* defendant's motion for summary judgment.

In sum, plaintiff's instant motion is unsupported and without merit. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for reconsideration [doc. # 38] is hereby DENIED.<sup>1</sup>

In chambers, at Monroe, Louisiana, this 30th day of April 2014.

KAREN L. HAYES

United States Magistrate Jung

As this motion is not excepted within 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A), nor dispositive of any claim on the merits within the meaning of Rule 72 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this order is issued under the authority thereof, and in accordance with the standing order of this court. Any appeal must be made to the district judge in accordance with Rule 72(a) and LR 74.1(W).