UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA SHREVEPORT DIVISION

LOONEY RICKS KISS ARCHITECTS, CIVIL ACTION NO. 07-572

INC.

VERSUS JUDGE S. MAURICE HICKS, JR.

STEVE H. BRYAN, ET AL. MAGISTRATE JUDGE HORNSBY

MEMORANDUM ORDER

Before this Court is a Motion in Limine to Preclude Plaintiff From Offering Evidence of Other Alleged Infringement or Copying Submitted filed by Defendants, Steve Bryan; Bryan Investments, LLC; Bryan Construction Company, Inc.; The Bryan Company; CLA, LLC; Island Park Apartments, LLC; Cypress Lake Development, LLC; Island Park Development, LLC; Grand Pointe Apartments, LLC, and Riverside Bluffs, Inc. ("the Bryan Defendants") [Record Document 408]. A response has been filed. See Record Document 442. For the reasons discussed herein, the Bryan Defendants' Motion in Limine is **DENIED** AS MOOT.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Looney Ricks Kiss Architects, Inc. ("LRK") filed suit in this Court alleging copyright infringement against numerous defendants involved in the development, construction and operation of three apartment complexes which were allegedly based on LRK's design.

MOTIONS IN LIMINE

The Fifth Circuit has observed that "[m]otions in limine are frequently made in the abstract and in anticipation of some hypothetical circumstance that may not develop at

trial." Collins v. Wayne Corp., 621 F.2d 777, 784 (5th Cir.1980). The Collins court further explained that

When a party files numerous motions in limine, the trial court may not pay close attention to each one, believing that many of them are purely hypothetical. Thus, a party whose motion in limine has been overruled must object when the error he sought to prevent with his motion in limine is about to occur at trial. This will give the trial court an opportunity to reconsider the grounds of the motion in light of the actual instead of hypothetical circumstances at trial.

Id.; accord C.P. Interests, Inc. v. Cal. Pools, Inc., 238 F.3d 690, 697 n. 5 (5th Cir.2001).

Evidence should not be excluded in limine unless it is clearly inadmissible on all potential grounds. Hawthorne Partners v. AT & T Tech., Inc., 831 F.Supp. 1398, 1400 (N.D.III.1993) (citing Luce v. United States, 469 U.S. 38, 41 n. 4, 105 S.Ct. 460, 83 L.Ed.2d 443 (1984)). Evidentiary rulings, especially those addressing broad classes of evidence, should often be deferred until trial so that questions of foundation, relevancy and potential prejudice can be resolved in proper context. Sperberg v. Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., 519 F.2d 708, 712 (6th Cir.1975); see also Starling v. Union Pac. R.R., 203 F.R.D. 468, 482 (D.Kan.2001) ("it is the better practice to wait until trial to rule on objections when admissibility substantially depends upon what facts may be developed there"). "Denial of a motion in limine does not necessarily mean that all evidence contemplated by the motion will be admitted at trial. Denial merely means that without the context of trial, the court is unable to determine whether the evidence in question should be excluded." Hawthorne Partners, 813 F.Supp. at 1401.

LAW AND ANALYSIS

The Bryan Defendants move to exclude any evidence of other alleged infringement

or copying. According to the Plaintiffs, they do "not oppose the Bryan defendant's motion

in limine concerning evidence of other acts of copyright infringement." [Record Document

442 at 1]. As such, this Motion in Limine is moot.

CONCLUSION

Since the plaintiffs agree that no mention of other alleged acts of copyright

infringement should be discussed at trial, the motion in limine is moot.

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion in Limine to Preclude Plaintiff From Offering

Evidence of Other Alleged Infringement or Copying Submitted filed by Defendants, Steve

Bryan; Bryan Investments, LLC; Bryan Construction Company, Inc.; The Bryan Company;

CLA, LLC; Island Park Apartments, LLC; Cypress Lake Development, LLC; Island Park

Development, LLC; Grand Pointe Apartments, LLC, and Riverside Bluffs, Inc. ("the Bryan

Defendants") [Record Document 408] be and is hereby **DENIED AS MOOT**.

THUS DONE AND SIGNED in Shreveport, Louisiana, on this 15th day of December,

2010.

S. MAURICE HICKS, JR.

JNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

3 of 3