## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

**GREGORY CONDAY** 

\* CIVIL NO. 07-cv-0882

**VERSUS** 

\* MAGISTRATE JUDGE HILL

THE OFFSHORE DRILLING COMPANY

\* BY CONSENT OF THE PARTIES

## AMENDED REASONS FOR RULING AND AMENDED RULING

Relying on the Fifth Circuit's decision in *Guevara v. Maritime Overseas Corp.*, 59 F.3d 1496 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1995), the Offshore Drilling Company seeks Partial Summary Judgment on plaintiff's claim for punitive damages for failure to promptly pay maintenance and cure. [rec. doc. 48]. The plaintiff, Gregory Conday, has filed opposition. [rec. doc. 61].

On June 25, 2009, the Supreme Court abrogated the *Guevara* decision holding that a seaman is entitled, as a matter of general maritime law, to seek punitive damages for his employer's alleged willful and wanton disregard of its maintenance and cure obligation.

Atlantic Sounding Co.,Inc. v. Townsend, - - U.S. - -, 129 S.Ct. 2561 (2009). In so holding, the Court found that punitive damages have long been an accepted remedy under general maritime law, and that neither Miles v. Apex Marine Corp., 498 U.S. 19, 111 S.Ct. 317, 112 L.Ed.2d 275, nor the Jones Act altered this understanding; thus, punitive damages for the willful and wanton disregard of the maintenance and cure obligation remain available as a matter of general maritime law. Accordingly,

The court's prior Ruling on the defendant's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [rec. doc. 88] is **vacated**.

The defendant's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on plaintiff's claim for punitive damages for failure to promptly pay maintenance and cure [rec. doc. 48] is **DENIED** as the Offshore Drilling Company is not entitled to partial summary judgment as a matter of law.

Signed this 7<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2009, Lafayette, Louisiana.

C. MICHAEL HILL

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

C Michael Sill