RECEIVED

JAN 1-3 2017 et 0

TONY R. MOORE, CLERK WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA LAFAYETTE, LOUISIANA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA LAFAYETTE DIVISION

IN RE: ACTOS® (PIOGLITAZONE)
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 6:11-md-2299

JUDGE DOHERTY

This Document Applies To: Solet v. Takeda Pharmaceuticals North America, Inc., et al Civil Action No. 6:12-cv-00738

MAGISTRATE JUDGE HANNA

RULING

Magistrate Judge Patrick Hanna filed a Report and Recommendation [Rec. Doc. 247; MDL Rec. Doc. 6639] in the captioned matter on November 28, 2016, in which he recommended that this Court dismiss the claims of plaintiff Ray Solet without prejudice, due to the plaintiff's failures to prosecute his case and comply with the rules of this Court. For the reasons given below, this Court adopts the Report and Recommendation and dismisses the plaintiff's claims without prejudice.

As set out in the Report and Recommendation, Mr. Solet is currently unrepresented. While he was still represented, Mr. Solet's former counsel filed a motion to withdraw [Rec. Doc. 233].

In response, Magistrate Judge Hanna ordered Mr. Solet to appear in court on February 23, 2016 [Rec. Doc. 234], which he did not do [Rec. Doc. 235]. The motion to withdraw was granted, and Magistrate Judge Hanna ordered Mr. Solet to appear in court on March 16, 2016 [Rec. Docs. 235, 236]. A copy of the order to appear was sent to Mr. Solet by certified mail, but was returned unclaimed [Rec. Doc. 241]. Mr. Solet did not appear on March 15, 2016 [Rec. Doc. 240] and was ordered to appear on April 26, 2016 [Rec. Doc. 238]. Once again, the order was sent by certified mail to Mr. Solet's last known address, but was returned unclaimed [Rec. Doc. 242], and Mr. Solet

1

did not appear on April 26, 2016. No attorney has enrolled for Mr. Solet since the withdrawal of his previous counsel, and that previous counsel has indicated an inability to contact Mr. Solet [Rec. Doc. 245]. Given the inability to reach Mr. Solet, and the lack of forward progress in this matter, Magistrate Judge Hanna concluded that Mr. Solet has not kept the court apprised of his current address, as required by Local Rule 11.1(1), and has failed to prosecute his claims. Magistrate Judge Hanna therefore issued a Report and Recommendation, recommending that this Court dismiss this matter without prejudice [Rec. Doc. 247; MDL Rec. Doc. 6639]. That Report and Recommendation was, similarly, sent by certified mail and returned unclaimed [Rec. Doc. 250].

Considering the facts described above, that the court attempted to serve Mr. Solet at the address on file, that parties have an ongoing obligation to maintain current contact information with this Court, and that no objections to the Report and Recommendation have been lodged in the time allotted therefor, this Court hereby adopts Magistrate Judge Hanna's Report and Recommendation.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED the claims of Ray Solet are DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED a copy of this Ruling be served on Mr. Solet at his last known address, 3001 Hickory Circle, Houma, LA 70363, via certified mail, return receipt requested.

THUS DONE AND SIGNED in Lafayette, Louisiana, this 13 day of January, 2017.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE