## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

## LAFAYETTE DIVISION

ASIA MALVEAUX	*	CIVIL ACTION NO. 14-2535
VS.	*	JUDGE DOHERTY
CITY OF BROUSSARD, ET AL	*	MAGISTRATE JUDGE WHITEHURST

## <u>ORDER</u>

Review of the complaint reveals that defendant, Brandon Decou, was sued individually. Review of the answer filed by defendant reveals that he has asserted the defense of qualified immunity. To the extent that defendant was sued in his individual capacity, the heightened pleadings requirements apply. *See Baker v. Putnal*, 75 F.3d 190, 195 (5th Cir. 1996).

The undersigned finds that the allegations are insufficient as to this defendant. Accordingly, pursuant to the suggestion in *Schultea v. Wood*, 47 F.3d 1427, 1432-34 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1995), **IT IS ORDERED** that plaintiff file a Fed. R. Civ. P. 7(a) reply to the qualified immunity defense pled by the defendant **within twenty (20) days of receipt of this order.** Specifically, the reply shall state as to defendant: (1) the constitutional rights that said defendant personally violated; (2) the facts that support the allegations against said defendant; and (3) the reasons why said defendant is not entitled to qualified immunity.

Failure to comply with this order will invite the imposition of sanctions under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(f), including possible dismissal of all claims. *See Reyes v. Sazan*, 168 F.3d 158 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1999). Should plaintiff fail to file the Rule 7(a) reply, defense counsel shall promptly notify the court by filing an appropriate motion.

Signed in Lafayette, Louisiana, this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of September, 2015.

Conffluent

CAROL B. WHITEHURST UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE