UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA LAFAYETTE DIVISION

CARROLL WAYNE HAYNES #305815 CASE NO. 6:22-CV-00534

VERSUS JUDGE ROBERT R.

SUMMERHAYS

RALPH K LEE JR ET AL MAGISTRATE JUDGE CAROL B.

WHITEHURST

ORDER

Before the Court are the following motions to dismiss:

- Rule 12(b)(6) Motion to Dismiss by Feliciana Forensic Facility (Rec. Doc. 16);
- Motion to Dismiss by M. Bofill Duhe, in his official capacity as District
 Attorney for the 16th Judicial District, and Ralph Lee, former Assistant
 District attorney for the 16th Judicial District (Rec. Doc. 18); and
- Motion to Dismiss by F.T. Friedberg (Rec. Doc. 23).

In response to Defendants' motions, Plaintiff requested an opportunity to amend the complaint. (Rec. Doc. 26-1; 27-1). Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 15, a court should freely give leave to amend a complaint when justice so requires. Therefore, a court "[g]enerally... should not dismiss an action for failure to state a claim under Rule 12(b)(6) without giving plaintiff 'at least one chance to amend." *Hernandez v*.

Doc. 28

Ikon Office Solutions, Inc., 306 F. App'x 180, 182 (5th Cir. 2009); Great Plains Trust Co. v. Morgan Stanley Dean Witter & Co., 313 F.3d 305, 329 (5th Cir. 2002). See also Jackson v. Procunier, 789 F.2d 307, 310 (5th Cir. 1986) (noting that "[a] complaint sought to be dismissed under Rule 12(b)(6) may generally be amended to cure its deficiencies."). Indeed, "district courts often afford plaintiffs at least one opportunity to cure pleading deficiencies before dismissing a case, unless it is clear that the defects are incurable or the plaintiffs advise the court that they are unwilling or unable to amend in a manner which will avoid dismissal." Great Plains Trust Co. v. Morgan Stanley Dean Witter & Co., 313 F.3d 305, 329 (5th Cir. 2002). The decision to allow amendment of a party's pleadings is within the sound discretion of the district court. Norman v. Apache Corp., 19 F.3d 1017, 1021 (5th Cir. 1994); Avatar Exploration, Inc. v. Chevron, U.S.A., Inc., 933 F.2d 314, 320 (5th Cir. 1991).

The Court finds that it would be inequitable to dismiss Plaintiff's complaint without allowing him an opportunity to remedy the issues raised in Defendants' motions. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that Defendants' Motions to Dismiss (Rec. Doc. 16; Rec. Doc. 18; and Rec. Doc. 23) are DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. Plaintiff may amend his complaint within fourteen (14) days of this order. Thereafter Defendants may re-urge their motions to dismiss if appropriate.

Signed at Lafayette, Louisiana on this 22nd day of May, 2023.

CAROL B. WHITEHURST

Completed

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE