## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MAINE

et al.,	)
Plaintiffs,	)
	) Docket no. 2:11-cv-35-GZS
v.	)
	)
BROOKFIELD POWER US ASSET	)
MANAGEMENT, LLC, et al.,	)
	)
Defendants.	)

## ORDER & REPORT OF CONFERENCE

In accordance with the Procedural Order (Docket # 72), the Court held a conference of counsel on May 1, 2012. Attorneys Bruce Merrill, David Nicholas, and Joshua Kratka appeared for Plaintiffs. Attorneys George Dilworth and Donald Carr appeared for Defendants. Following the Conference, the Court hereby ORDERS that the following procedure be followed in connection with the parties' cross-motions for summary judgment:

On or before May 21, 2012, the parties shall file a joint stipulated record using the "Stipulated Record" event in CM/ECF. The first page shall consist of a list describing each exhibit submitted and each exhibit shall then be clearly labeled and separately attached to this filing. The joint record may include any exhibits and depositions (including any exhibits to the depositions) that all sides agree will be referenced in the statements of material facts. The submission of a stipulated record does not prevent either side from submitting additional documents with their respective statement of material facts. Additionally, the Court encourages the parties to file stipulations of fact as part of the joint record. The Court reminds the parties

that they are free to indicate that any such stipulations are admissions solely for purposes of the

to-be-filed summary judgment motions. See D. Me. Local Rule 56(g). Any stipulations

submitted as part of the joint record will be considered by the Court in ruling on the motions and

need not be reiterated or referenced in the statements of material fact.

On or before May 25, 2012, the parties shall file their motions for summary judgment,

which shall not exceed twenty (20) pages. See Local Rule 7(e).

On or before June 29, 2012, the parties shall file their responses, which shall not exceed

twenty (20) pages. See id.

On or before July 20, 2012, the parties shall file their replies, which shall not exceed

seven (7) pages. See id.

As discussed at the conference, the parties will file any and all <u>Daubert</u> and <u>Kumho</u>

motions challenging expert witnesses on the same schedule.

The Court also expects the parties' filings will comply with all aspects of Local Rule 56.

Each side may file a statement of material fact not to exceed one hundred (100) separately

numbered paragraphs. The parties are reminded that Local Rule 56(f) requires specific record

citations for all facts submitted in a statement of material facts. Absent a specific citation, the

Court has no duty to consider any part of the record submitted. To the extent that any party

qualifies or denies a statement based on a pending Daubert motion, the denial or qualification

shall explicitly reference the pending motion.

SO ORDERED.

/s/ George Z. Singal

United States District Judge

Dated this 1st day of May, 2012.

2