UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MAINE

JOHN M. MILLAY,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) Civil No. 1:11-CV-00438-NT
STATE OF MAINE,	<i>)</i>)
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR)
BUREAU OF REHABILITATION,)
DIVISION FOR THE BLIND)
AND VISUALLY IMPAIRED))
)
Defendant.)

ORDER AFFIRMING THE RECOMMENDED DECISION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE

No objections having been filed to the Magistrate Judge's first Recommended Decision filed on May 16, 2012 (Doc. No. 12), the Recommended Decision is affirmed.

It is therefore **ORDERED** that:

- 1. Defendant's Motion to Dismiss is <u>GRANTED</u>.
- 2. The Maine Human Rights Act claim in Count I of the Plaintiff's complaint, as filed on March 5, 2012 is <u>DISMISSED</u>, <u>WITHOUT PREJUDICE</u> on Eleventh amendment grounds.
- 3. The Americans with Disabilities Act claim in Count II of the Plaintiff's complaint, as filed on March 5, 2012, is <u>DISMISSED</u>, <u>WITH PREJUDICE</u> on Eleventh amendment grounds.
- 4. The Section 504 Rehabilitation Act claim in Count III of the Plaintiff's complaint, as filed on March 5, 2012, is <u>DISMISSED</u>, <u>WITH PREJUDICE</u> based on failure to state a claim; and
- 5. Leave to Amend to assert a Section 722(c) claim for any services other than a commuter subsidy is DENIED because Plaintiff has not yet exhausted

administrative	remedies	in	relation	to	any	other	dispute	over	rehabilitation
services.									

SO ORDERED.

/s/Nancy Torresen
United States District Judge

Dated this 26th day of September, 2012.