ABBOTT v. BURNHEIMER Doc. 18

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MAINE

DREW G. ABBOTT,)
PETITIONER)
v.) Civil No. 1:12-cv-37-DBH
SCOTT BURNHEIMER,)
RESPONDENT)

ORDER AFFIRMING RECOMMENDED DECISION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE

On April 27, 2012, the United States Magistrate Judge filed with the court, with copies to the parties, her Recommended Decision on the Petition Under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 for a Writ of Habeas Corpus By a Person in State Custody. The petitioner filed his objection to the Recommended Decision on May 22, 2012.

The petitioner also filed a document, ECF No. 15, that the Clerk's Office treated as a letter motion for legal assistance and a motion to amend the petition to add additional grounds. But the petitioner did not seek to amend his petition in this document. He did earlier file an amended petition and that amended petition is the basis for the Magistrate Judge's and my ruling. (The petitioner expressed concern about whether the "new petition" would be added to the first petition—it was—and whether he signed it—he did.) There is no need to appoint counsel in light of the lack of any basis for the petitioner's

arguments and to the extent he has moved for legal counsel, the motion is

DENIED. No other action is necessary on pleading ECF No. 15.

I have reviewed and considered the Recommended Decision, together

with the entire record; I have made a de novo determination of all matters

adjudicated by the Recommended Decision; and I concur with the

recommendations of the United States Magistrate Judge for the reasons set

forth in the Recommended Decision, and determine that no further proceeding

is necessary.

It is therefore **Ordered** that the Recommended Decision of the Magistrate

Judge is hereby **Adopted**. The petitioner is **Denied** relief under 28 U.S.C.

§ 2254, with prejudice, and the petition is **DISMISSED**. No certificate of

appealability shall issue in the event the petitioner files a notice of appeal

because there is no substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right

within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2).

SO ORDERED.

DATED THIS 19TH DAY OF JUNE, 2012

/s/D. Brock Hornby

D. Brock Hornby

United States District Judge

2