

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MAINE

RANDALL B. HOFLAND,	)	
	)	
Petitioner,	)	
	)	
v.	)	1:15-cv-00414-JAW
	)	
RANDALL LIBERTY,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	

**ORDER ON OBJECTION TO DOCKET RECORD**

On June 22, 2017, the Court received a one-page objection from Randall B. Hofland to the completeness of Randall Liberty’s State Court Record. *Obj.* (ECF No. 191); *Resp.’s Answer to the Pet. for Writ of Habeas Corpus Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 Attach. 1 (State Ct. Record)*. In his objection, Mr. Hofland claims that when he finally obtained access to the state court record, he found it was incomplete because there was no “docket record” from BELSC-CR-08-333, CR-08-334. *Obj.* at 1. Mr. Hofland quotes Black’s Law Dictionary as defining the “record” to equal “[t]he official report of the proceedings in a case, including the filed papers, a verbatim transcript of the trial or hearing (if any), and tangible exhibits.” *Id.* He charges that the Defendant’s record is “very incomplete.” *Id.* He complains that Defendant Liberty filed only selected documents, not the complete record. *Id.* He ends by saying that it is “abundantly clear” from his filings in multiple cases that “the State of Maine is a seditious, treasonous, tortious, racketeering enterprise that kidnaps, extorts and murders Americans.” *Id.* He concludes: “Hofland OBJECTS!” *Id.*

In an attempt to understand Mr. Hofland's complaint, the Court retrieved the state record of Mr. Hofland's criminal proceeding in 2011 that Defendant Liberty's counsel filed in paper with the Clerk's Office. The paper record consists of (1) a forty-eight page docket sheet, (2) a forty-one count indictment, (3) transcripts from trial proceedings from January 7, 2011 through February 1, 2011, (4) a transcript of the sentencing hearing on March 14, 2011, and (5) a six page judgment and commitment. The paper documents are contained in two large paper binders in the Clerk's Office.

The Court is at a loss to understand what Mr. Hofland is so upset about. The state court record has been duly filed with this Court and appears entirely in order.

The Court **OVERRULES** without prejudice Randall B. Hofland's Objection (ECF No. 191).

SO ORDERED.

/s/ John A. Woodcock, Jr.  
JOHN A. WOODCOCK, JR.  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated this 26th day of June, 2017