ADAMS v. ADAMS et al Doc. 11

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MAINE

JUSTAN ADAMS,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
v.	)	No. 1:17-cv-00200-GZS
	)	
RANDY ADAMS, et al.,	)	
	)	
<b>Defendants</b>	)	

## ORDER AFFIRMING THE RECOMMENDED DECISION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE

No objections having been filed to the Magistrate Judge's Recommended Decision (ECF No. 10) filed June 6, 2019, the Recommended Decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Motion to Reopen the Case (ECF No. 9) is hereby **DENIED**;

Having reviewed the entire docket, the Court concludes that this action fails to state any claim on which relief may be granted and that his complaint and pending motions are frivolous. As a result, the Court finds this matter is subject to dismissal under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B), and there is no apparent basis for reopening this case.

Accordingly, the Court hereby provides a <u>Cok</u> warning to Plaintiff Justan Adams that any further frivolous filings on this docket or with this Court will result in immediate filing restrictions being imposed and may result in additional sanctions. <u>See generally Cok v. Family Court of Rhode Island</u>, 985 F.2d 32 (1st Cir. 1993) (requiring that the Court warn any litigant before restricting the litigant's ability to file). By way of explanation, frivolous filings waste judicial resources and unnecessarily delay the resolution of matters within this Court's jurisdiction. Based upon the Court's review of multiple meritless actions

Justan Adams has brought before this Court, it is apparent that he has become an abusive litigant. See D. Me Docket Nos. 1:19-cv-00030-GZS, 1:18-cv-00446-NT, and 2:17-cv-00355-DBH.

/s/ George Z. Singal
United States District Judge

Dated this 2nd day of July, 2019.