UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MAINE

MICHAEL THURSTON & PAUL SANS,)	
Plaintiffs,)	
v.)	Docket no. 2:13-cv-0013-GZS
COUNTY OF CUMBERLAND, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	
)	

ORDER & REPORT OF CONFERENCE

In accordance with the Court's prior Order (ECF No. 23), the Court held a conference of counsel on September 3, 2013 to discuss Defendants' Notice of Intent to File Summary Judgment (ECF No. 22). Attorney James A. Clifford appeared for Plaintiffs. Attorney Peter Marchesi appeared for Defendants. Following the conference, the Court hereby ORDERS that the following procedure be followed:

On or before September 20, 2013, the parties shall file a joint stipulated record using the "Stipulated Record" event in CM/ECF. The first page of the Stipulated Record shall consist of a list describing each exhibit submitted. Each exhibit shall then be clearly labeled and separately attached to this list. The Stipulated Record may include any exhibits and depositions (including any exhibits to the depositions) that all sides agree will be referenced in the statements of material fact. With respect to depositions, counsel shall endeavor to ensure that any deposition excerpt is complete and includes all relevant pages. In the absence of an agreement on what constitutes a complete deposition excerpt, counsel shall include the complete deposition in the joint record. The inclusion of any exhibit in the Stipulated Record does not prevent any party

from later objecting to the admissibility of the document. Likewise, the submission of a joint record does not prevent either side from submitting additional documents with their respective statements of material fact.

The Court encourages the parties to file stipulations of fact that could serve to further streamline the parties' statements of material fact. The Court reminds the parties that they are free to indicate that any such stipulations are admissions solely for purposes of the to-be-filed summary judgment motions. See D. Me. Local Rule 56(g). Any stipulations will be considered by the Court in ruling on the motion and need not be reiterated or referenced in the statements of material fact. The parties are free to submit any stipulations on or before **September 20, 2013**.

On or before <u>September 27, 2013</u>, Defendant shall file its motion for summary judgment. Defendant's motion for summary judgment shall not exceed twenty (20) pages. <u>See</u> Local Rule 7(e).

On or before <u>October 21, 2013</u>, Plaintiffs shall file their response to Defendants' motion for summary judgment, which shall not exceed twenty (20) pages. <u>See id.</u>

On or before <u>November 4, 2013</u>, Defendants shall file their reply in support of Defendants' motion for summary judgment, which shall not exceed seven (7) pages. <u>See id.</u>

The Court also expects the parties' summary judgment filings will comply with all aspects of Local Rule 56. Each side may file a statement of material fact. Should any party need to file more than sixty (60) statements of material fact, that party shall request leave of the Court to do so. The parties are reminded that Local Rule 56(f) requires specific record citations for all facts submitted in a statement of material facts. Absent a specific citation, the Court has no duty to consider any part of the record submitted. To the extent any party will rely on a page of the joint record for a specific citation, the Court encourages the parties to use the "PageID #"

generated by CM/ECF, particularly if the alternative pin citation may not be readily apparent to

the Court.

With respect to the prior Scheduling Order deadlines (ECF Nos. 14), it appears that the

only deadline that may remain after the anticipated motion for summary judgment is filed is the

ready for trial deadline and any trial-related motions deadlines. These deadlines shall remain

stayed until the Court issues its ruling on the motions for summary judgment. To the extent that

any issues remain for trial at that time, the Court anticipates that this case will be placed on the

next available trial list following the Court's summary judgment decision.

SO ORDERED.

/s/ George Z. Singal

United States District Judge

Dated this 4th day of September, 2013.

3