UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MAINE

O/B/O K.H., A MINOR,))
PLAINTIFFS)))
) CIVIL No. 2:16-cv-162-DBH
v.)
)
REGIONAL SCHOOL DISTRICT 21,)
)
DEFENDANT	

ORDER ON MOTION TO DISMISS

The defendant's motion to dismiss is **Denied**. Under the pleading standards of Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 556 U.S. 662 (1999) and Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544 (2007), the Complaint contains sufficient factual matter "plausible on its face," Iqbal, 556 U.S. at 678, to state a claim of severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive gender-based harassment; that the defendant school unit had notice of it and was deliberately indifferent to it; and that the harassment prevented access to an educational opportunity or benefit. See Davis v. Monroe Cty. Bd. of Educ., 526 U.S. 629 (1999); Frazier v. Fairhaven Sch. Comm., 276 F.3d 52 (1st Cir. 2002); Doe v. Sch. Admin. Dist. No. 19, 66 F. Supp. 2d 57 (D. Me. 1999).

SO ORDERED.

DATED THIS 1ST DAY OF JUNE, 2016

/S/D. BROCK HORNBY

D. BROCK HORNBY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹ I have also consulted the very recent case of <u>Morgan v. Town of Lexington</u>, No. 15-2174, 2016 WL 2962187 at *5-6 (1st Cir. May 23, 2016).