Snyder v. Phelps et al Doc. 231

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

ALBERT SNYDER, \*

Plaintiff, \*

v. \* Civil Action No. RDB-06-1389

FRED W. PHELPS, SR., et al., \*

Defendants. \*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

## **ORDER**

Four motions filed by the Defendants in this case are incorrectly listed on the Court Docket as still pending. Two of these were improperly filed as motions. The Motion to Amend/Correct filed by Fred W. Phelps, Sr., and Westboro Baptist Church, Inc. (Paper No. 89) was merely an update to a previously filed discovery motion. The Motion to Seal Reply in Opposition to Motion to Reconsider filed by Fred W. Phelps, Sr., and Westboro Baptist Church, Inc. (Paper No. 118) was not in fact a motion to seal but rather a reply brief.

The other two motions listed as pending are now MOOT but were implicitly GRANTED at the time they were filed. The Motion for Extension of Time for Rule 26(a)(2) Submissions filed by Rebekah A. Phelps-Davis and Shirley L. Phelps-Roper (Paper No. 94) was intended to preserve the *pro se* Defendants' right to file additional 26(a)(2) submissions before July 16, 2007, and the Defendants were not prevented from doing so. Similarly, the Interim Sealing Motion filed by Albert Snyder (Paper No. 109) was intended to extend the Court's pre-existing sealing order regarding information obtained during discovery, which remained in place until trial.

Accordingly, for the foregoing reasons, it is this 10th day of December 2007, HEREBY

ORDERED that the Docket Entries shall reflect that:

1. The Motion to Amend/Correct filed by Fred W. Phelps, Sr., and Westboro Baptist

Church, Inc. (Paper No. 89) was not in fact a motion and is now MOOT;

2. The Motion to Seal Reply in Opposition to Motion to Reconsider filed by Fred W.

Phelps, Sr., and Westboro Baptist Church, Inc. (Paper No. 118) was not in fact a motion

and is now MOOT;

3. The Motion for Extension of Time for Rule 26(a)(2) Submissions filed by Rebekah A.

Phelps-Davis and Shirley L. Phelps-Roper (Paper No. 94) was GRANTED and is now

MOOT;

4. The Interim Sealing Motion filed by Albert Snyder (Paper No. 109) was GRANTED and

is now MOOT; and

5. The Clerk of the Court transmit copies of this Order to counsel of record and the *pro se* 

Defendants.

/s/

Richard D. Bennett

United States District Judge

2