IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

JOEL SALINE

*

v.

Civil No. JFM-09-868

CARRINGTON MORTGAGE

SERVICES, LLC, ET AL.

MEMORANDUM

Defendant Carrington Mortgage Services, LLC has filed a motion for judgment on the pleadings and default judgment. Plaintiffs, who are appearing pro se, have not responded to the motion after being advised of the consequences if they did not do so.

plaintiffs have failed to state any claim upon which relief can be granted. However, Carrington's

Carrington Mortgage's motion is granted as to claims asserted against it because

motion for judgment on the pleadings and default judgment as to its counterclaim will be denied.

In the counterclaim Carrington seeks to recover on the amount due under a loan made by

Carrington to plaintiffs. Although as a procedural matter the counterclaim was properly asserted

in this action, in light of the facts that plaintiffs are appearing pro se and that they have failed to

state any cognizable claim against Carrington, I have concluded that the counterclaim should be

dismissed without prejudice to being reasserted in an independent action filed by Carrington in

state court.

A separate order effecting the rulings made in this memorandum is being entered

herewith.

DATE: July 30, 2009

J. Frederick Motz

United States District Judge