

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

DAN McCALL,

*

Plaintiff,

*

v.

*

Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-03203-MJG

NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY and
DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

*

*

Defendants.

*

* * * * *

MOTION FOR ADMISSION PRO HAC VICE

I, Ezra Gollogly, am a member in good standing of the bar of this Court. My bar number is 28088. I am moving the admission of Paul Alan Levy, Esquire, to appear *pro hac vice* in this case as counsel for plaintiff Dan McCall.

We certify that:

1. The proposed admittee is not a member of the Maryland bar and does not maintain any law office in Maryland.
2. The proposed admittee is a member in good standing of the bars of the following State Courts and United States Courts:

State Court & Date of Admission

U.S. Court & Date of Admission

District of Columbia: 3/14/78
New York: 1/16/84

Supreme Court of the United States: 1980
ED, Michigan: 1977
DDC: 1978
D. Colo.: 2009
SDNY: 2011
U.S. Courts of Appeals:
D.C. Circuit: 1980
1st Circuit: 1991
2nd Circuit: 1983
3rd Circuit: 1980
4th Circuit: 1981

5th Circuit: 1990
6th Circuit: 1986
7th Circuit: 1985
8th Circuit: 1985
9th Circuit: 1984
10th Circuit: 1985
11th Circuit: 1986

3. During the twelve months immediately preceding this motion, the proposed admittee has been admitted *pro hac vice* in this Court 0 times.

4. The proposed admittee has never been disbarred or denied admission to practice law in any jurisdiction.

In 1977, Mr. Levy was admitted to the bar of Michigan, where he was clerking at the time he applied to the Bar, but from which he moved away shortly after the bar exam because his judge was appointed Solicitor General of the United States. Mr. Levy thereafter took inactive status. At some point, the Michigan Supreme Court decided that inactive members of the Michigan Bar would have to pay an annual fee for maintaining their inactive status. Mr. Levy did not learn of this ruling in sufficient time to pay the newly required annual fee for maintaining his inactive status. As a result, the proposed admittee's membership in Michigan was suspended. After the proposed admittee learned of the rule change implemented by the Michigan Supreme Court, the proposed admittee resigned from the Michigan bar.

Similarly, in late January 1978, Mr. Levy was admitted to practice before this Court. At some point, this Court began charging members a renewal fee. Mr. Levy did not learn of, or taken action with respect to, the renewal fee within the required time frame and, as a result, his membership lapsed; he learned of the fee only when visiting the courthouse to obtain documents in connection with a case pending elsewhere

Other than as described immediately above, the proposed admittee has never been suspended to practice law in any jurisdiction.

5. The proposed admittee is familiar with the Code of Professional Responsibility, the Federal Rules of Civil and Criminal Procedure, the Federal Rules of Evidence, the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure and the Local Rules of this Court, and understands he shall be subject to the disciplinary jurisdiction of this Court.

6. The proposed admittee understands admission *pro hac vice* is for this case only and does not constitute formal admission to the bar of this Court.

7. The undersigned is also a member of the bar of this Court in good standing, and will serve as co-counsel in these proceedings.

8. **The \$50.00 fee for admission *pro hac vice* is enclosed.**

