UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

CHAMBERS OF STEPHANIE A. GALLAGHER UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 101 WEST LOMBARD STREET BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21201 (410) 962-7780 Fax (410) 962-1812

November 8, 2018

LETTER TO COUNSEL

RE: Kevin Lynn P. v. Commissioner, Social Security Administration;¹ Civil No. SAG-15-3603

Dear Counsel:

Arjun K. Murahari, Esq. has filed a motion for attorney's fees pursuant to the Social Security Act ("Act"), 42 U.S.C. § 406(b), in conjunction with his representation of Plaintiff before this Court. (ECF 23). In response, the Social Security Administration ("SSA") asked this Court to consider whether Mr. Murahari's requested amount constitutes a reasonable fee. (ECF 24). Mr. Murahari did not file a reply. No hearing is necessary. *See* Loc. R. 105.6 (D. Md. 2016). For the reasons set forth below, Mr. Murahari's motion for attorney's fees is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART.

On November 22, 2016, this Court awarded Mr. Murahari \$4,748.59 for 24.50 hours worked on Plaintiff's case in federal court, pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA"), 28 U.S.C. § 2412. (ECF 20, 22). Plaintiff subsequently received an Award Notice, in which he was awarded \$197,770.00 in past due benefits. (ECF 23-2). The SSA withheld twenty-five percent of Plaintiff's past due benefits, amounting to \$49,442.50. *Id.* at 3-4. On October 4, 2018, Mr. Murahari filed a Line in this Court, seeking \$49,442.50 in attorney's fees. (ECF 23). Mr. Murahari has agreed to reimburse Plaintiff the \$4,748.59 in fees previously received. *Id.*; *see Gisbrecht v. Barnhart*, 535 U.S. 789, 796 (2002); *Stephens ex rel. R.E. v. Astrue*, 565 F.3d 131, 135 (4th Cir. 2009).

The Act authorizes a reasonable fee for successful representation before this Court, not to exceed twenty-five percent of a claimant's total past-due benefits. 42 U.S.C. § 406(b). Although contingent fee agreements are the "primary means by which fees are set" in Social Security cases, a court must nevertheless perform an "independent check, to assure that they yield reasonable results in particular cases." *Gisbrecht*, 535 U.S. at 807. In determining whether a request for attorney's fees under section 406(b) is reasonable, the Supreme Court has explained that a reviewing court may properly consider the "character of the representation and the results the representative achieved." *Id.* at 808. Importantly, the Supreme Court acknowledged that a

¹ Currently, the position of Commissioner of the Social Security Administration is vacant, and most duties are fulfilled by Nancy A. Berryhill, Deputy Commissioner for Operations, performing the duties and functions not reserved to the Commissioner of Social Security.

Kevin Lynn P. v. Commissioner, Social Security Administration; Civil No. SAG-15-3603 November 8, 2018 Page 2

contingent fee agreement would not result in a reasonable fee if the fee constituted a "windfall" to the attorney. *Id.* (quoting *Rodriquez v. Bowen*, 865 F.2d 739, 746-47 (6th Cir. 1989). Courts may require the attorney to provide a record of hours spent working on the case and the attorney's typical hourly billing charge. *Id.*

Here, Mr. Murahari and Plaintiff entered into a contingent fee agreement, by which Plaintiff agreed to pay Mr. Murahari twenty-five percent of all retroactive benefits to which he might become entitled. (ECF 23-3). In his previous motion for attorney's fees pursuant to the EAJA, Mr. Murahari submitted an itemized report documenting the 24.50 chargeable hours he expended before this Court in Plaintiff's case. (ECF 20-7) (listing a total of 26.30 hours, 1.80 of which were spent on clerical and administrative tasks marked "NO CHARGE"). If Mr. Murahari receives the full amount of fees he requests, his fee for representation before this Court will effectively total \$2,018.06 per hour. Mr. Murahari must therefore show that an effective rate of \$2,018.06 per hour is reasonable for the services he rendered. *See Gisbrecht*, 535 U.S. at 807.

Notably, Mr. Murahari's requested fee is more than six times his typical hourly billing rate of \$300, (ECF 20-6), which, coincidentally, is also top hourly rate that is presumptively reasonable for attorneys of his experience level pursuant to the fee guidelines appended to the Local Rules of this Court.² Although it is customary in Social Security cases for courts to approve significantly higher rates, Mr. Murahari's requested rate exceeds the typical rate awarded by courts in the Fourth Circuit for attorney's fees in successful Social Security appeals. See, e.g., Melvin v. Colvin, No. 5:10-CV-160-FL, 2013 WL 3340490 (E.D.N.C. July 2, 2013) (approving contingency fee agreement with hourly rate of \$1,043.92); Claypool v. Barnhart, 294 F. Supp. 2d 829, 833 (S.D. W. Va. 2003) (approving contingency fee agreement with hourly rate of \$1,433.12); Lehman v. Comm'r, Soc. Sec. Admin., Civil No. SAG-10-2160 (D. Md. July 7, 2016) (unpublished) (approving contingency fee agreement with hourly rate of \$1,028.14). While this Court notes Mr. Murahari's effective performance and the substantial past-due benefit award to his client, Mr. Murahari's request for \$49,442.50 for 24.50 hours in this case would result in a windfall. Instead, this Court finds that an award of \$24,500.00, amounting to an hourly rate of \$1,000.00—more than triple the top hourly rate for an attorney of his experience, would adequately compensate Mr. Murahari for the time that he spent on this case in this Court. See Hunter v. Comm'r, Soc. Sec. Admin., Civil No. SAG-15-3758 (D. Md. Nov. 16, 2017) (unpublished) (approving contingency fee agreement with hourly rate of \$1,140.41, while noting that the requested rate was "slightly more than triple the top hourly rate" for an attorney with eleven years of experience).

² Although they do not govern Social Security cases, the Local Rules prescribe guidelines for determining attorney's fees in certain cases, which are instructive in evaluating the reasonableness of the effective hourly rate in this case. *See* Loc. R. App. B (D. Md. 2016). Currently, Mr. Murahari has seven (7) years of experience and the presumptively reasonable hourly rate for attorneys admitted to the bar for five (5) to eight (8) years is between \$165.00 and \$300.00. *Id*.

Kevin Lynn P. v. Commissioner, Social Security Administration; Civil No. SAG-15-3603 November 8, 2018 Page 3

For the reasons set forth herein, this Court GRANTS IN PART and DENIES IN PART Mr. Murahari's Line seeking attorney's fees, (ECF No. 23). This Court will award Mr. Murahari attorney's fees totaling \$24,500.00. Mr. Murahari is directed to reimburse to Plaintiff the \$4,748.59 in fees he received pursuant to the EAJA.

Despite the informal nature of this letter, it should be flagged as an opinion. An implementing order follows.

Sincerely yours,

/s/

Stephanie A. Gallagher United States Magistrate Judge