Godwin v. Piepszak et al Doc. 20

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

PAUL GODWIN \*

Plaintiff

vs. \* Civil Action No. JKB-17-3575

JENNIFER PIEPSZAK, et al. \*

Defendants \*

\*\*\*\*\*

## **MEMORANDUM AND ORDER**

Now pending before the Court is the Defendant's MOTION TO DISMISS (ECF No. 16). The Plaintiff has responded (ECF No. 18) and the Defendant has replied (ECF No. 19). For the reasons stated in the Defendant's Memorandum (ECF No. 16-2), the Motion is GRANTED. The Plaintiff is judicially estopped from contending that he has never had a relationship with Chase Bank. He has failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

The Clerk is directed to CLOSE THIS CASE.

Dated this 9<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2018.

\_\_\_\_\_\_/s/ James K. Bredar Chief Judge

BY THE COURT: