IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND Southern Division

COSTAR REALTY INFORMATION and
COSTAR GROUP

Plaintiff

v.

Case No. PJM-06-CV-655

ATKINSON HUNT, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER AMENDING EXISTING SCHEDULING ORDER

Upon consideration of the Defendants' Joint Motion to Amend the Scheduling Order, and upon a showing of good cause, the Scheduling Order enter on August 29, 2006, is amended as follows:

| February 12, 2007 | February 12, 2006, Defendants' Rule 26(a)(2) disclosures re experts |
|-------------------|---|
| February 28, 2007 | Plaintiff's rebuttal Rule 26(a)(2) disclosures re experts |
| March 5, 2007 | Rule 26(a)(2) supplementation of disclosures and responses |
| April 2, 2007 | Discovery Deadline; submission of status report |
| April 9, 2007 | Requests for Admission |
| April 30, 2007 | Dispositive pretrial motions deadline |

| All | other | terms | of | the | existing | Scheduling | Order | shall | remain | in | |
|------------------------|-------|-------|----|---|----------|------------|-------|-------|--------|----|--|
| full force and effect. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Date | ed: | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Peter J. Messitte United States District Judge | | | | | | | |