EEOC v. Blockbuster Inc. Doc. 37

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT *
OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION, *

Plaintiff, * Civil Case No. RWT 07-2612

*

v.

BLOCKBUSTER, INC.,

ORDER

Upon consideration of Defendant's Motion to Join and For Leave To File Third-Party Complaint [Paper No. 15], the response thereto, and the Joint Motion to Stay Proceedings [Paper No. 33], for the reasons stated in the accompanying Memorandum Opinion, it is this 10th day of November, 2008, by the United States District Court for the District of Maryland,

ORDERED, that Defendant's Motion to Join and For Leave To File Third-Party Complaint [Paper No. 15] is **DENIED** to the extent that it seeks to join additional parties; and it is further

ORDERED, that Defendant's Motion to Join and For Leave To File Third-Party Complaint [Paper No. 15] is **GRANTED** to the extent it requests leave to file a third-party complaint against Venturi Staffing Partners; and it is further

ORDERED, that the Scheduling Order in this case [Paper No. 10, as modified by Paper Nos. 14 & 30] is **VACATED**; and it is further

ORDERED, that the Joint Motion to Stay Proceedings [Paper No. 33] is **DENIED AS MOOT**; and it is further

ORDERED, that Venturi Staffing Partners is **DIRECTED** to file any third-party complaint(s), should it choose to do so, simultaneous with the filing of any responsive pleading to Defendant Blockbuster's third-party complaint.

ROGER W. TITUS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE