EEOC v. Blockbuster Inc. Doc. 86

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT *
OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION, *

Plaintiff, * Civil Case No. RWT 07-2612

*

v. *

BLOCKBUSTER, INC.,

Defendant & Third-Party
Plaintiff

V.

*

VENTURI STAFFING PARTNERS,

v.

Third-Party Defendant & *
Fourth-Party Plaintiff *

:

EXPRESS SERVICES, INC., ET AL. *

Fourth-Party Defendants *

ORDER

Upon consideration of the several motions pending before the Court, the responses and replies thereto, and the arguments of counsel presented at the hearing conducted before the undersigned on June 29, 2009, and for the reasons stated on the record, it is this 30th day of June, 2009, by the United States District Court for the District of Maryland,

ORDERED, that Venturi Staffing Partners' Motion For Judgment On the Pleadings [Paper No. 50] is **GRANTED**; and it is further

ORDERED, that Venturi Staffing Partners' Motion for Default Judgment As To Fourth Party Defendants Kevin Andrew Lenear and Lenco, Inc. [Paper Nos. 69 & 70] is DENIED AS MOOT; and it is further

ORDERED, that Express Services Inc.'s Motion To Dismiss, Or in the Alternative, For Summary Judgment [Paper No. 72] is **GRANTED**; and it is further

ORDERED, that Venturi Staffing Partners' Motion For Extension of Time To Complete Discovery [Paper No. 73] is **DENIED AS MOOT**; and it is further

ORDERED, that the remaining parties are **DIRECTED** to contact the chambers of Magistrate Judge Charles Day to schedule further mediation in this matter.

/s/
ROGER W. TITUS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE