### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND GREENBELT DIVISION

COSTAR REALTY INFORMATION and COSTAR GROUP, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

MARK FIELD D/B/A ALLIANCE VALUATION GROUP, et al.

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 8:08-CV-663-AW

# PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO LAWSON VALUATION GROUP INC.'S MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME

Plaintiffs CoStar Realty Information and CoStar Group, Inc. (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), by their attorneys, submits this Opposition to Lawson Valuation Group's ("Lawson") Motion for Enlargement of Time.

Plaintiffs do not oppose Lawson's Motion out of a sense of gamesmanship or without respect for the genuine professional courtesies extended between counsel in federal litigation. However, Plaintiffs cannot consent to Lawson's Motion.

First, Lawson has already sought and received from Plaintiffs consent to a substantial enlargement of time to reply to Plaintiffs' Opposition to Lawson's Motion to Dismiss. That enlargement was preceded by Plaintiffs' agreement to an enlargement of time for Lawson to respond to Plaintiffs' Complaint in the first instance. Accordingly, the briefing and resolution of Lawson's Motion to Dismiss – which, as Plaintiffs set out in their Opposition, is frivolous on a number of issues – would be further

delayed by the requested enlargement. Second, as the Court is aware, reply papers such as the one

Lawson proposes to file are voluntary in nature, and Lawson's Motion would otherwise stand fully

briefed at this junction. There is no reason for Lawson to need nearly a month at this juncture to file a

non-mandatory reply brief. Third, while counsel for Lawson has stated that counsel has had insufficient

time to consult with their client, communications between the parties that must have involved

Mr. Lawson have taken place during the last few weeks, indicating that counsel has had the opportunity

to discuss Plaintiffs' Opposition, but has chosen not to do so. Lawson and counsel should not be able to

seek relief from a deadline when they have not made diligent efforts in meeting that deadline. Fourth,

while Plaintiffs concede that a foot injury can be painful, the injury to one attorney of the three attorneys

on the papers for Lawson should not be an obstacle to the orderly litigation of this case.

Lawson and Lawson's counsel have already been afforded several courtesies by Plaintiffs, and

may yet again ask for and receive such courtesies by Plaintiffs when warranted. However, the serial

enlargements requested by Lawson – first in responding to the Complaint, and now two enlargements of

the time to file *reply* papers – cross the line between favors between counsel and an effort by Lawson to

take advantage of Plaintiff's courtesy to avoid the responsibility of litigating this case in an orderly

fashion. Accordingly, Plaintiffs request that the Court deny Lawson's Motion for an Enlargement.

Dated: June 17, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

Shari Ross Lahlou, Bar. No. 16570

William J. Sauers, Bar No. 17355

Sanya Sarich (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Crowell & Moring LLP

1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

2

Washington, D.C. 20004
Telephone: (202) 624-2500
Facsimile: (202) 628-5116
Email: slahlou@crowell.com
 wsauers@crowell.com
 ssarich@crowell.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs CoStar Realty Information, Inc. and CoStar Group, Inc.

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that service required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 5 was made, and that a true copy of the above document was served upon the attorneys of record for the following parties by electronically filing the document with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which caused a Notice of Electronic Filing (NEF) to be sent to the following on June 17, 2008:

Simeon Brier
Gary A. Woodfield
Edwards Angell Palmer Dodge LLP
350 East Las Olas Blvd.
Suite 1150
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301
sbrier@eapdlaw.com
gwoodfield@eapdlaw.com

Attorneys for Defendant Lawson Valuation Group

R. Wayne Pierce The Pierce Law Firm, LLC 133 Defense Highway Suite 106 Annapolis, MD 21401-7015 wpierce@adventurelaw.com

Attorney for Defendants Mark Field d/b/a Alliance Valuation Group and Pathfinder Mortgage Company

Mary-Olga Lovett Pamela Ferguson Greenberg Traurig 1000 Louisiana Street Suite 1800 Houston, TX 7700 lovettm@gtlaw.com

# fergusonp@gtlaw.com

## Attorneys for Defendant Russ A. Gressett

/s/

Sanya Sarich (admitted *pro hac vice*) CROWELL & MORING LLP 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20004-2595 Telephone: (202) 624-2500

Facsimile: (202) 628-5116 Email: ssarich@crowell.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs CoStar Realty Information, Inc. and CoStar Group, Inc.