

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND**

**EQUAL EMPLOYMENT
OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION,**

Plaintiff,

v.

FREEMAN,

Defendant.

*
*
*
*
*
*
* Case No.: RWT 09cv2573
*
*
*
*
*
*
*

ORDER

Upon consideration of the Motion for Modification of Scheduling Order [Paper No. 22], and having conducted a telephone status conference with the parties on May 11, 2010, it is this 12th day of May, 2010, by the United States District Court for the District of Maryland,

ORDERED, that the Motion for Modification of Scheduling Order [Paper No. 22] is

GRANTED IN PART and **DENIED IN PART**; and it is further

ORDERED, that the Scheduling Order be modified as follows:

May 28, 2010:	Deadline for conference re discovery of electronically stored information. (If either or both parties intend to take such discovery, before the conference counsel should review the Suggested Protocol for Discovery of Electronically Stored Information prepared by a Joint bench/bar committee published on the court's website.)
November 30, 2010:	Non-expert discovery deadline
December 24, 2010:	Plaintiff's Rule 26(a)(2) disclosures re experts
March 11, 2011:	Defendant's Rule 26(a)(2) disclosures re experts

April 22, 2011: Plaintiff's rebuttal Rule 26(a)(2) disclosures re experts

May 20, 2011: Rule 26(e)(2) supplementation of disclosures and responses

May 20, 2011: Expert discovery deadline; submission of status report

May 20, 2011: Requests for admission

June 24, 2011: Dispositive pretrial motions deadline

/s/

ROGER W. TITUS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE