Amgen Inc. v. F. Hoffmann-LaRoche LTD et al

Case 1:05-cv-12237-WGY

Document 1012-2 Filed 09/06/2007

Page 1 of 3

Doc. 1012 Att. 1

EXHIBIT A

Page 136

- Q. And why is it that those numbers may vary
- 2 depending on the individual?
- MS. BEN-AMI: Objection, expert testimony.
- 4 THE WITNESS: I don't know the answer to
- 5 that for sure. Many of these patients have multiple
- 6 other illnesses as well, which may influence their
- 7 hematocrit, their blood volumes, their performance
- 8 status, the function of their end organ like the
- 9 heart, et cetera, so that arbitrary numbers don't
- 10 always predict accurately what the status of the
- 11 patient's response is.
- 12 BY MR. MADRID:
- 13 Q. If we can take a look at Page 334 of this
- 14 letter, which would be the next page, there's a
- 15 sentence in this longer paragraph that carries over
- 16 from the previous page that reads, the hope is that
- 17 epo can be given to patients with chronic kidney
- 18 disease, open paren, like the hormone insulin is
- 19 given to diabetics, helping them to utilize sugar,
- 20 closed paren, so that their anemia can be either
- 21 improved or cured altogether.
- Do you see that?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, before initiating your three-patient

	Page 137
1	urinary epo experiment, did you have any hopes as to
2	what that experiment would do for the three patients
3	that were involved in the study?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And what were those hopes?
6	A. Those hopes were that there would be
7	significant response of the hematocrit, and in
8	tandem with that would be an improvement in the
9	patient's quality of life and would spare them the
10	need for repetitive blood transfusions.
11	Q. Do you know whether or not those hopes
12	were fulfilled by the study for those three
13	patients?
14	A. Again, we will refer to the two parameters
15	that we talk about. The clinical parameters were
16	not fulfilled in terms of sustained significant
17	laboratory change, and there was no significant
18	clinical improvement in terms of performance of
19	these patients.
20	Q. Was there what was their response in
21	the way of hematocrit, the three patients in the
22	urinary epo study?
23	A. I would have to go through the details
24	that we've done before. I think we've explicated
	I t

0

5