## **EXHIBIT 1**

USDC - Depo: Flavell, Richard RESTRICTED-ACCESS CONFIDENTIAL 6/26/2007 5:55:00 PM 1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS 4 5 6 -----) AMGEN INC. ) 7 Plaintiff(s), ) VS ) Civil Action No. ) 05-12237 WGY 8 F.HOFFMANN-LA ROCHE, LTD. ) (This transcript contains Confidential 9 ) BLA/IND information. Please treat the a Swiss Company, ROCHE DIAGNOSTICS GmbH, ) entire transcript in accordance with the 10 a German Company and ) Amended Protective Order in this matter) HOFFMANN-LA ROCHE INC., ) 11 a New Jersey Corporation ) Defendant(s). ) 12 -----) 13 14 15 16 DEPOSITION Of: RICHARD A. FLAVELL, Ph.D DATE: June 26, 2007 HELD AT: 17 Omni Hotel Goffe Room 18 New Haven, Connecticut 19 20 21 22 Reporter: JENNY C. EBNER, RPR, LSR 00030. BRANDON SMITH REPORTING SERVICES, LLC 23 44 Capitol Avenue Hartford, CT. 06106 (860) 549-1850 24

- 1 and so that is cumulative in what my opinion is.
- 2 Q How does Roche insert the DNA sequencing
- 3 coding human EPO into itself?
- 4 MR. FLEMING: Objection;
- 5 mischaracterizes his opinions, ambiguous
- 6 as to term insert human EPO DNA,
- 7 misstates the opinions in this report.
- 8 THE WITNESS: So, as I said, I
- 9 described this completely in this report
- 10 here. If you would like to refer to a
- 11 particular paragraph.
- 12 BY MS. BAXLEY:
- 13 Q No, well, you just referred to a number
- 14 of paragraphs --
- 15 A Uh-huh.
- 16 Q -- as to explain your opinion, and I am
- 17 trying to understand from these paragraphs
- 18 whether you can tell me what is the basis for
- 19 your opinion that Roche does not transform or
- 20 transvect with isolated DNA sequence in coding
- 21 human EPO itself?
- A I am sorry, you'll have to repeat that.
- 23 Q I am trying to understand the basis for
- 24 your opinion that Roche does not transform or
- transvect with an isolated DNA in coding human

- 1 THE WITNESS: I am not sure I
- 2 understand the question.
- 3 BY MS. BAXLEY:
- 4 Q I am asking you which of the four
- 5 methods that you -- would you -- you would agree
- 6 with me, wouldn't you, that Roche's cells have
- 7 EPO DNA inserted into it; is that correct?
- 8 MR. FLEMING: Objection; vague as to
- 9 EPO DNA, mischaracterizes the testimony,
- 10 calls for a legal conclusion.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Yes, I don't want -- I
- 12 am not quite sure what you mean by EPO
- 13 DNA. Do you want --
- 14 BY MS. BAXLEY:
- 15 Q Do you have any understanding as to
- 16 whether Roche uses CHO cells, Chinese hamster
- 17 ovary cells, to manufacture the epoetin beta
- 18 starting material used to make CERA?
- 19 MR. FLEMING: Objection; vague,
- 20 mischaracterizes the record,
- 21 mischaracterizes his opinions.
- 22 THE WITNESS: If we go to the right
- 23 part of the report I think we will find
- 24 the statement I make on that. If you
- 25 would like to go to that.

- 1 cell; is that correct?
- 2 MR. FLEMING: Objection;
- 3 mischaracterizes his testimony, his
- 4 opinion is what it is, vague as to the
- 5 term.
- 6 THE WITNESS: Well, what I just read
- 7 was the DNA contents of the bacterial
- 8 protoplasts are incorporated into the
- 9 host cell. In the event that it is akin
- 10 to a somatic cell hybridization the
- 11 bacterial chromosome, and I think this
- 12 is the relevant part for you, is
- 13 transferred into the host cells and
- 14 therefore there is a real possibility
- 15 that some bacterial DNA sequences are
- 16 incorporated into the transformed cells.
- 17 BY MS. BAXLEY:
- 18 Q Do you know whether Roche used bacterial
- 19 protoplast fusion to introduce genetic material
- 20 into their host cells?
- 21 MR. FLEMING: Objection; vague,
- 22 beyond the scope of his expert report.
- 23 THE WITNESS: I don't think
- 24 that's -- I actually asked that
- 25 question, but as I sit here now, I don't

- 1 recall exactly. It's beyond the scope
- 2 of the report.
- 3 BY MS. BAXLEY:
- 4 Q So you have no opinion as to how Roche
- 5 introduces its genetic material into its host
- 6 cells?
- 7 MR. FLEMING: Objection;
- 8 mischaracterizes his testimony. He says
- 9 he doesn't recall, vague.
- 10 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. What
- 11 I do recall is what they do not do which
- 12 is what I described. I described
- 13 several times now.
- 14 BY MS. BAXLEY:
- 15 Q In bacterial protoplast fusion is
- 16 that -- let me represent to you that Roche
- 17 actually uses bacterial protoplast fusion to
- 18 introduce the EPO DNA in coding epoetin beta into
- 19 its host cell. How does that EPO -- when it does
- 20 that, does any human DNA, other than the DNA in
- 21 coding the epoetin beta, get introduced into the
- 22 host cell?
- 23 MR. FLEMING: Objection; assumes
- 24 facts not in evidence, mischaracterizes
- the record.

- 1 Q So, using the protoplast, bacterial
- 2 protoplast fusion technique that you describe in
- 3 paragraph 65, other than epoetin beta DNA, the
- 4 DNA in coding epoetin beta, is any other human
- 5 DNA introduced into Roche's host cells?
- 6 MR. FLEMING: Objection; assumes
- 7 facts not in evidence, mischaracterizes
- 8 the record because he doesn't, he
- 9 never opined in paragraph 65 as Roche's
- 10 process. He's never opined in paragraph
- 11 65 as Roche's process. That is what you
- 12 tried to interject into the question,
- 13 and it's been asked and answered.
- 14 MS. BAXLEY: But Dr. Flavell,
- 15 doesn't have a recollection as to how
- 16 the DNA in coding epoetin beta is
- 17 introduced in cells. I will represent
- 18 to you that it is introduced by
- 19 bacterial protoplast fusion.
- 20 MR. FLEMING: He doesn't have to
- 21 accept your representations.
- 22 BY MS. BAXLEY:
- 23 Q So if -- assuming that that is how it is
- done, is any human DNA, other than the DNA in
- 25 coding epoetin beta, introduced into the host

1 cells?

2 A What I am --

3 MR. FLEMING:	Objection; incomplete
----------------	-----------------------

- 4 hypothetical, mischaracterizes the
- 5 record, assumes facts not in evidence,
- 6 beyond the scope of his report.
- 7 THE WITNESS: So the problem is you,
- 8 I would be extremely surprised if you
- 9 know Roche's production processes. We
- 10 do not have the information here. I
- 11 certainly haven't got that information
- 12 in front of me. And I am not going to
- 13 respond to a speculative question. I
- 14 just don't know what the answer is.
- 15 BY MS. BAXLEY:
- 16 Q Okay. So then when you refer to Amgen's
- 17 process for introducing genetic material into the
- 18 host cell as described in Amgen's patent
- 19 specification. You describe that at paragraph 67
- 20 of your report. Is it your opinion that only EPO
- 21 DNA is introduced or described to be introduced
- 22 in the host cells by Dr. Lin?
- 23 MR. FLEMING: Objection.
- 24 THE WITNESS: What I am saying is
- 25 that --

- 1 Q Dr. Flavell, paragraph 73 of your
- 2 report, Flavell Exhibit 3, again you say --
- 3 A My third report.
- 4 Q Third report, Flavell Exhibit 3,
- 5 paragraph 73. You say that Roche has not
- 6 infringed claims one or two of the 868 patent
- 7 because the cells Roche uses to create epoetin
- 8 beta reagent are not, quote, transformed or
- 9 transvected with an isolated DNA sequence in
- 10 coding human epoetin, closed quote.
- 11 So Roche's cells, what type of DNA was
- 12 used to transform or transvect Roche's cells?
- 13 MR. FLEMING: Objection.
- 14 Mischaracterizes the testimony;
- 15 mischaracterizes his report; asked and
- 16 answered.
- 17 THE WITNESS: I think you asked this
- 18 question before lunch.
- 19 BY MS. BAXLEY:
- 20 Q And you said you didn't know how Roche
- 21 transformed or transvected its cells; is that
- 22 correct?
- 23 MR. FLEMING: Objection.
- 24 Mischaracterizes his testimony. He said
- 25 he doesn't recall.

- 1 And to that end what I am asking is:
- 2 How does Roche introduce DNA sequence in coding
- 3 human epoetin into their cells?
- 4 MR. FLEMING: Objection.
- 5 Mischaracterizes his testimony;
- 6 ambiguous as to introducing human
- 7 epoetin; lacks foundation, if that is
- 8 even done, and asked and answered.
- 9 BY MS. BAXLEY:
- 10 Q If you know.
- 11 A So, I don't know what they do. I don't
- 12 remember -- I don't recall, as I sit here now.
- 13 The basic reason for this is that I have
- 14 a vast volume of paperwork here. I don't have
- 15 any material in front of me now that tells me or
- 16 refreshes my memory of what they do.
- 17 Q Okay. So can I have you turn to Flavell
- 18 Exhibit 2, which is your second report?
- 19 Specifically, if you can go to paragraph
- 20 10 of that report -- sorry -- make sure I have
- 21 the right one.
- 22 MR. FLEMING: Do you want Exhibit 2?
- 23 Exhibit 2 is the May 8 --
- 24 MS. BAXLEY: I think the one -- I am
- 25 sorry. It is actually Flavell Exhibit