Case 1:05-cv-12237-WGY

ONTAINS RESTRICTED ACCESS CONFIDENTIAL BLA/IND MATERIAL

EXHIBIT B

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

AMGEN INC.,

Plaintiff,

F. HOFFMANN-LA ROCHE LTD, a Swiss Company, ROCHE DIAGNOSTICS GmbH, a German Company and HOFFMANN-LA ROCHE INC.,

a New Jersey Corporation,

Defendants.

Civil Action No.: 05-12237 WGY

DEFENDANTS' SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFF AMGEN INC.'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO DEFENDANTS (NOS. 1-15)

Defendants F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd., Roche Diagnostics GmbH, and Hoffmann-La Roche Inc. (collectively "Roche") make the following objections and responses to Plaintiff Amgen Inc.'s ("Amgen") First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 1-15).

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

The following general objections apply to all of Defendants' responses and shall be incorporated in each response as if fully set forth therein. To the extent specific General Objections are cited in response to a specific interrogatory, those specific General Objections are provided because they are believed to be particularly applicable to the specific interrogatory and are not to be construed as waiver of any other General Objections applicable to the interrogatory.

Defendants object to each and every interrogatory to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine and/or any other applicable privilege. All answers herein shall be subject to this objection, and no provision of information herein may act as a waiver of these objections.

31416828.DOC

Page 2 of 9

Document 335-3

CONTAINS RESTRICTED ACCESS CONFIDENTIAL BLAIND MATERIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER

the microheterogeneity of glycoproteins and therefore Amgen has failed to set out with the requisite degree of precision and particularity the bounds of the invention which it has claimed and has failed to provide the necessary clear warning to others as to what constitutes infringement of the patent.

Lack of Definiteness Under Section 112 - "capable upon growth in culture of J. producing erythropoietin in the medium of their growth in excess of 100 U of erythropoietin per 10° cells in 48 hours as determined by radioimmunoassay"

Asserted claim 7 of the '349 patent depends from claims 1-6, each directed to vertebrate cells capable of producing erythropoietin in the medium of their growth. The claims require that claimed cells produce a specified number of "U of erythropoietin," either 100, 500, or 1000, per 100,000 cells in 48 hours. Claims 1-6 further require that "U of erythropoietin" be determined by radioimmunoassay. It is Roche's contention that the phrase as used in the claims is indefinite, cannot be properly defined in view of the patent specification and is otherwise scientifically inaccurate, as radioimmunoassay alone cannot measure crythropoietin units ("U") as required by the claim phrase. The specification does not define "U of crythropoietin" nor does it disclose any method for measuring "U of erythropoietin." Without further guidance that the specification fails to provide, the proper metes and bounds of this limitation cannot be determined. Because claim 7 depends from claims 1-6, each of which contains this limitation, claim 7 itself is indefinite under § 112 for failing to distinctly claim the subject matter in a manner that enables one skilled in the art to understand its true scope.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE

Roche supplements this response with the following chart showing which of the asserted claims of the patents-in-suit are invalid by certain defenses.

CONTAINS RESTRICTED ACCESS CONFIDENTIAL BLAVIND MATERIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER

Claims Asserted by Roche to Be Invalid

Occivies Claim	35 U.S.C. §102	35 U.S.C. §103	35 U.S.C. §112	Double Patenting / 35 U.S.C. § 101
3. A non-naturally occurring crythropoletin glycoprotein having the in vivo blological activity of causing bone marrow cells to increase production of reticulocytes and red blood cells, wherein said crythropoletin glycoprotein comprises the mature crythropoletin amino acid sequence of FIG. 6.	✓	✓	√	~
A pharmaceutical composition comprising a therapeutically effective amount an erythropoietin glycoprotein product according to claim 1, 2 or 3	√	✓	√	*
A method for treating a kidney dialysis patient which comprises administering a pharmaceutical composition of claim 4 in an amount effective to increase the hematocrit level of said patient.		✓	✓	✓

Materials and the second second				
Claim	35 U.S.C. §102	35 U.S.C. §103	35 U.S.C. §112	Double Patenting / 35 U.S.C §101
A process for the production of a glycosylated erythropoietin polypeptide having the in vivo biological property of causing bone marrow cells to increase production of reticulocytes and red blood cells comprising the steps of:				
(a) growing, under suitable nutrient conditions, mammalian host cells transformed or transfected with an isolated DNA sequence encoding human erythropoietin; and		✓	✓	✓
(b) isolating said glycosylated erythropoletin polypeptide therefrom.				
2. The process according to claim 1 wherein said host cells are CHO cells.		V	/	✓

CONTAINS RESTRICTED ACCESS CONFIDENTIAL BLAVIND MATERIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER

		25110.6	35 U.S.C.	Double Patenting /
Claim	35 U.S.C. §102	35 U.S.C. §103	35 U.S.C. §112	35 U.S.C §101
A process for the production of a glycosylated erythropoletin polypeptide having the in vivo biological property of causing bone marrow cells to increase production of reticulocytes and red blood cells comprising the steps of:				
a) growing, under suitable nutrient conditions, vertebrate cells comprising promoter DNA, other than human erythropoietin promoter DNA, operatively linked to DNA encoding the mature erythropoietin amino acid sequence of FKG. 6; and		√	√	✓
b) isolating said glycosylated erythropoletin polypeptide expressed by said cells.				
The process of claim 4 wherein said promoter DNA is viral promoter DNA.		✓	✓	✓
A process for the production of a glycosylated enythropoletin polypeptide having the in vivo biological property of causing bone marrow cells to increase production of reticulocytes and red blood cells comprising the steps of:				
a) growing, under suitable nutrient conditions, vertebrate cells comprising amplified DNA encoding the mature erythropoietin amino acid sequence of FIG. 6; and b) isolating said glycosylated erythropoietin		*		*
polypeptide expressed by said cells. 7. The process of claim 6 wherein said vertebrate cells further comprise amplified marker gene DNA.		✓	✓	✓
The process of claim 7 wherein sald amplified marker gene DNA is Dihydrololate reductase (DHFR) gene DNA.		✓	1	✓
9. The process according to claims 2, 4 and 6 wherein said cells are mammalian cells		1	✓	✓

CONTAINS RESTRICTED ACCESS CONFIDENTIAL BLA/IND MATERIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER

Claim	35 U.S.C.	35 U.S.C.	35 U.S.C.	Double Patenting/
	§102	§103	§112	35 U.S.C §101
7. A process for producing erythropoietin comprising the step of culturing, under suitable nutrient conditions, vertebrate cells according to claim 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6.		1	*	✓

Claim	35 U.S.C.	35 U.S.C.	35 U.S.C.	Double Patenting /
	§102	§103	§112	35 U.S.C §101
A pharmaceutical composition comprising a therapeutically effective amount of human erythropoietin and a pharmaceutically acceptable diluent, adjuvant or carrier, wherein said erythropoietin is purified from mammalian cells grown in culture.	~	/	~	*



GONTAINS RESTRICTED ACCESS CONFIDENTIAL BLA/IND MATERIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER

Claim	35 U.S.C. §102	35 U.S.C. §103	35 U.S.C. §112	Double Patenting / 35 U.S.C §101
A non-naturally occurring glycoprotein product of the expression in a mammalian host cell of an exogenous DNA sequence comprising a DNA sequence encoding human erythropoletin said product possessing the in vivo biological property of causing bone marrow cells to increase production of reticulocytes and red blood cells.	√	*	✓	✓
7. The glycoprotein product according to claim 3, 4, 5 or 6 wherein the host cell is a non-human mammalian cell.	✓	√	✓	*
8. The glycoprotein product according to claim 7 wherein the non-human mammalian cell is a CHO cell.	/	✓	✓	✓
9. A pharmaceutical composition comprising an effective amount [sic. of] a gylcoprolein product effective for erythropoietin therapy according to claim 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6 and a pharmaceutically acceptable diluent, adjuvant or carrier.	~	√	1	✓
11. A method for treating a kidney dialysis patient which comprises administering a pharmaceutical composition of claim 9 in an amount effective to increase the hematocrit level of said patient.		✓	✓	✓
12. A pharmaceutical composition comprising an effective amount of a glycoprotein product effective for erythropoietin therapy according to claim 7 and a pharmaceutically acceptable diluent, adjuvant or carrier.	~	✓	/	~
14. A method for treating a kidney dialysis patient which comprises administering a pharmaceutical composition of claim 12 in an amount effective to increase the hematocrit level of said product [sic. patient?].		✓.	✓	✓

CONTAINS RESTRICTED ACCESS CONFIDENTIAL BLA/IND MATERIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER

With respect to double patenting, Roche contends that at least claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 23, 24, 25, 26, and 27 of U.S. Patent No. 4,703,008 render the asserted claims of the patents-insuit invalid as identified above.

INTERROGATORY NO. 10

Separately, in claim chart form for each claim of Amgen's patents-in-suit that you contend is invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 102, identify and describe on a limitation-by-limitation basis for each claim:

- (a) where, on a limitation-by-limitation basis, you contend each claim limitation is disclosed in the prior art;
- (b) how each such limitation is disclosed in the prior art, including specific references to pages, claims, columns and/or line numbers (if applicable) in each document supporting such contention;
- (c) all evidence on which you rely in support of each contention, including all documents, testimony, prior knowledge, or public uses tending to support your contention(s), and every test, experiment, and/or data upon which you rely in support of each contention that a claim is invalid;
- (d) each person, other than counsel, who furnished information or was consulted regarding your response to this interrogatory including the nature and substance of each such person's knowledge or information; and
- (e) the three individuals affiliated with Roche, other than counsel, most knowledgeable regarding the subject matter of this interrogatory, stating the nature and substance of each such person's knowledge or information.

RESPONSE:

See Objections and Response To Interrogatory No. 9 above.

INTERROGATORY NO. 11

Separately, in claim chart form for each claim of Amgen's patents-in-suit that you contend is invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 103 or for double patenting, identify and describe for each claim and for each asserted defense:

(a) where, on a limitation-by-limitation basis, you contend each claim limitation is found or disclosed in the prior art or earlier Lin patent claims;

KKK

CONTAINS RESTRICTED ACCESS CONFIDENTIAL BLA/IND MATERIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER

DATED: February 9, 2007

F. HOFFMANN-LA ROCHE LTD, ROCHE DIAGNOSTICS GMBH, and HOFFMANN-LA ROCHE INC.

By its attorneys,

/s/ Thomas F. Fleming
Leora Ben-Ami (pro hac vice)
Patricia A. Carson (pro hac vice)
Thomas F. Fleming (pro hac vice)
Howard S. Suh (pro hac vice)
KAYE SCHOLER LLP
425 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10022
Tel: (212) 836-8000

and

Lee Carl Bromberg (BBO# 058480) Julia Huston (BBO# 562160) Keith E. Toms (BBO# 663369) BROMBERG & SUNSTEIN LLP 125 Summer Street Boston, MA 02110 Tel. (617) 443-9292 CONTAINS RESTRICTED ACCESS CONFIDENTIAL BLA/IND MATERIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of this document was served upon the attorneys of record for the plaintiff (as listed below) by overnight mail on the above date.

Lloyd R. Day, Jr. (pro hac vice)
David A. Madrid (pro hac vice)
Linda A. Sasaki-Baxley (pro hac vice)
DAY CASEBEER MADRID &
BATCHELDER LLP
20300 Stevens Creek Boulevard, Suite 400
Cupertino, CA 95014

Telephone: (408) 873-0110 Facsimile: (408) 873-0220

William G. Gaede III (pro hac vice) McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY 3150 Porter Drive Palo Alto, CA 94304 Telephone: (650) 813-5000 Facsimile: (650) 813-5100 D. Dennis Allegretti (BBO#545511) Michael R. Gottfried (BBO#542156) Patricia R. Rich (BBO# 640578) DUANE MORRIS LLP 470 Atlantic Avenue, Suite 500 Boston, MA 02210 Telephone: (617) 289-9200

Telephone: (617) 289-9200 Facsimile: (617) 289-9201

Kevin M. Flowers (pro hac vice)
Thomas I. Ross (pro hac vice)
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP
233 South Wacker Drive
6300 Sears Tower
Chicago IL 60606

Telephone: (312) 474-6300 Facsimile: (312) 474-0448

Gary Groblewski