Doc. 379 Att. 24

Page 1 of 4

Case 1:05-cv-12237-WGY

Document 379-25

Filed 04/13/2007

EXHIBIT 27

DAY CASEBEER MADRID & BATCHELDER LLP

20300 Stevens Creek Blvd., Suite 400 Cupertino, CA 95014 Telephone: (408) 873-0110

Facsimile: (408) 873-0220

Deborah E. Fishman (408) 342-4587 dfishman@daycasebeer.com

March 28, 2007

VIA E-MAIL & FACSIMILE

Christian T. Kemnitz, Esq. Katten, Muchin, Rosenman, LLP 525 S. Monroe, Suite 1900 Chicago, IL 60661

Re: Amgen Inc. vs. F. Hoffman-La Roche Ltd., et al. (05-CV-12237 WGY)

Dear Christian:

I write to confirm our meet and confer this morning concerning DaVita's production in response to Amgen's subpoena.

DaVita Agreements with Roche

You indicated DaVita has produced, for arrival via FedEx today, a summary of its agreements with Roche that include protocol numbers for any agreements to perform clinical trials on peg-EPO. You represented that the produced list is not a complete list of DaVita's agreements with Roche as it omits studies regarding the use of peg-EPO. You indicated that DaVita does not independently object to production of the agreed-upon information for these agreements with Roche. However, you indicated that DaVita has withheld from production certain protocol numbers based on Roche's assertion that such protocols and clinical trials are not within the scope of discovery allowed by Judge Young.

Amgen disagrees with Roche's position, and does not believe that DaVita has any basis to withhold these documents from production, particularly given that DaVita does not object to production, and had in fact already agreed to produce them. Contrary to Roche's apparent representation to DaVita concerning a motion to compel in the District of Massachusetts that was denied by Judge Young, there is no protective order in the District of Massachusetts or the Central District of California that prevents DaVita from producing the responsive materials. I noted that the documentation that DaVita is withholding is potentially core evidence of Roche's activities in the U.S. that infringe Amgen's patents and that fail to qualify as exempt Roche activity under the safe harbor of section 271(e)(1).

DAY CASEBEER MADRID & BATCHELDER LLP

Christian T. Kemnitz, Esq. Katten, Muchin, Rosenman, LLP March 28, 2007 Page 2

Without a complete production of responsive DaVita contracts in accordance with the schedule already agreed to by DaVita, Amgen is not in a position to know which DaVita studies are relevant and which ones are not. Therefore, Amgen requires a complete production of the agreements between DaVita and Roche regarding any on-going or planned studies involving peg-EPO. I asked you to confirm whether DaVita will produce this information, as it had previously agreed to do, by 2:30 p.m. Central Time, and you indicated that you would provide a response as soon as possible but needed to speak to counsel for Roche first. As we discussed, if you do not produce the information required by Amgen's subpoena as well as our agreement, we will be required to file a motion to compel in the Central District of California to enforce the subpoena and the production of responsive documents and will, necessarily, seek additional time in which to depose DaVita at a later date on any information that it is currently withholding from production.

Roche/DaVita communications

You represented that DaVita will produce tomorrow morning, via emailed PDF, approximately 20-100 pages that will constitute DaVita's production of communications between DaVita and Roche. You confirmed that all responsive documents collected from Shaun Collard, including any communications between Mr. Collard and Roche, will be included in that production.

Dennis Kogod

You indicated that there have been technical difficulties with production of the .pst files of Mr. Kogod. Your team will review those emails today and produce responsive emails and documents tomorrow afternoon. You represented that although Mr. Kogod had a large volume of collected materials, only a small volume of responsive materials would be produced, on the order of approximately 50 documents. You indicted that Mr. Kogod's production also includes many privileged documents. Please confirm that DaVita will produce a privilege log listing responsive communications withheld on the basis of privilege.

I await further communications from you today. I understand that Belinda Mathie has authority to negotiate on behalf of DaVita if I am unable to reach you.

Very truly yours,

DAY CASEBEER

MADRID & BATCHELDER LLP

Deborah E. Fishman

Michelle McGuiness, Esq. BeLinda Mathie, Esq. Kimberlin Morley, Esq. Linda Baxley, Esq. cc: