Case 1:05-cv-12237-WGY

Document 469-8

Filed 06/06/2007 Page 1 of 5

## **EXHIBIT 5**

## KAYE SCHOLER LLP

Michael M. Pomerantz 212 836-7735 Fax 212 836-6610 mpomerantz@kayescholer.com

425 Park Avenue New York, New York 10022-3598 212 836-8000 Fax 212 836-8689 www.kayescholer.com

April 27, 2007

Via Email and U.S. Mail

Mario Moore, Esq. Day, Casebeer, Madrid & Batchelder LLP 20300 Stevens Creek Blvd, Suite 400 Cupertino, CA 95014

Re:

Amgen Inc. v. F. Hoffman LaRoche Ltd., et al.

(05-CV-12237 WGY) privilege logs

Dear Mr. Moore:

I write in response to your letters dated March 30, 2007 and April 13, 2007, which take issue with certain entries on Roche's privilege log. Having reviewed the issues you raise, as well as Amgen's own privilege log, we are surprised, to say the least, at the positions you appear to advance.

In your April 13 letter, you take issue with Roche's designation of privilege with respect to communications with three Monitor employees. Contrary to your supposition, Roche is not claiming that it has entered into a joint defense agreement with Monitor. Rather, it is Roche's position that privileged communications reflecting legal advice that were transmitted to Monitor (and other third party consultants that worked closely with Roche and were necessarily exposed to privileged material in order to enable Roche's lawyers to render legal advice to Roche or to faciliate their ability to consult) retain their privilege (see, e.g., In re Copper Market Antitrust Litigation, 200 F.R.D. 213 (S.D.N.Y. 2001); FTC v. GlaxoSmithKline, 294 F.3d 141, 147 (D.C. Cir. 2002). In any event, Amgen has made numerous claims of privilege with respect to documents received by third parties (e.g., George Barnett, Amit Barve, Connie Chui, Lynn Dorsey-Bleil, Mariam Eghbal-Ahmadi, Jennifer Levinson, Dan Mendelson, and Donald Moran, to name just a few). Particularly ironic is the fact that Amgen has claimed privilege over communications with Mr. Barnett, a Monitor employee. If you intend to press this position, please confirm that Amgen will produce all communications listed on its privilege logs where third parties are listed as authors or recipients.

In your March 30 letter, you compile a lengthy list of documents from Roche's privilege log where no attorney is listed as an author or recipient. You then ask Roche to "confirm" that it will withdraw its claim of privilege with respect to those documents and any others whose log

Case 1:05-cv-12237-WGY Document 469-8 Filed 06/06/2007 Page 3 of 5

## KAYE SCHOLERLLP

Mario Moore, Esq. 2 April 27, 2007

entries do not list an attorney. Again, Amgen's own log is replete with the same type of entries where no attorney is listed as either an author or recipient (a list of examples from Amgen's log comparable in size to your list from Roche's log is attached to this letter). Of course, it is hardly surprising that both parties have identified documents as privileged that do not list an attorney, given that the attorney-client privilege extends to communications made within a corporation by non-attorneys if those communications are made for the purpose of securing legal advice or reflect legal advice (see, e.g., Williams v. Sprint/United Management Company, 238 F.R.D. 633, 638-40 (D. Kan. 2006) (citing cases)). If you intend to press this position, please confirm that Amgen will produce all communications listed on its privilege logs where no attorney is identified as an author or recipient.

In addition, in the course of reviewing Amgen's logs, we discovered a number of names that appear in log entries but are not identified in any of Amgen's Lists of Persons Named on Its Privileged Documents, including the following:

Olivera Tirnanic Errol Malta Graham Molineux Alex Lyons Wendy Whiteford Helen Torley Claes Hornstrand Jeff Andresen Bonnie Tucker Jim Wortner Mark H. Hopkins Michelle Hoban Matthew Knight Neal Birkett Diane Hoey Vanessa Huang Jonas Pedersen Lynn Jurss Omar Gaja Paula Boultbee

Obviously, it is impossible for us to assess whether documents between or among these individuals fall into either category of the documents that you challenge in your letters. Accordingly, please identify which, if any, of these individuals are attorneys, and please provide the names of any additional attorneys that you have not previously identified. If you intend to press your contention with respect to third party consultants, please also indicate which, if any, of these individuals are third party consultants.

Finally, in your March 30 letter, you challenge Roche's assertion of work product protection with respect to materials from 1995, 2001, 2002, and early 2003. As an initial matter, please note that work product protection applies in litigation other than the litigation that was actually anticipated when the document was created (see, e.g., In re Grand Jury Subpoena, 220 F.R.D. 130, 148-51 (D. Mass. 2004)). Thus, it is proper for Roche to claim work product protection in this case over material prepared in anticipation of other litigation. With respect to material prepared in anticipation of this litigation, however, Roche asserts the right to claim work product protection over material generated in 2001 and thereafter. We will review any pre-2001 documents in Roche's log over which work product protection is claimed, and we will withdraw the claim of work product protection unless we determine the document was prepared in anticipation of a

Case 1:05-cv-12237-WGY Document 469-8 Filed 06/06/2007 Page 4 of 5

KAYE SCHOLERLLP

Mario Moore, Esq. 3 April 27, 2007

different litigation. We will advise you of any supplemental production resulting from our review within a reasonable period of time.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

Michael M. Pomerantz

31458549.WPD

## Selection of Amgen Privilege Log Entries Where No Attorney Appears to Be Listed

- 99 026403 99 026404
- 99 029903 99 029938
- 99 030057 99 030094
- 99 030834 99 030835
- 99 030841 99 030842
- 99 030960 99 030989
- 99 031037 99 031043
- 22 031037 22 0310<del>4</del>3
- 99 031044 99 031050 99 031051 - 99 031052
- 99 031144 99 031144
- 99 031175 99 031176
- 99 031177 99 031224
- 99 031225 99 031247
- 99 031614 99 031615
- 99 031621 99 031679
- 99 031700 99 031701
- 99 031715 99 031718
- 99 031821 99 031829
- 99 031839 99 031863
- 99 031959 99 031982
- 99 032060 99 032063
- 99 032098 99 032100
- 99 032101 99 032102
- 99 032133 99 032133
- 99 032143 99 032143
- 99 032150 99 032150
- 99 032154 99 032155
- 99 032156 99 032157
- 99 032159 99 032159
- 99 032160 99 032168
- 99 032171 99 032171
- 99 032172 99 032173 99 032174 - 99 032174
- 99 032175 99 032176
- 99 032177 99 032192
- 99 032193 99 032218
- 99 032219 99 032293
- 99 032293 99 032293
- 99 032294 99 032304