|--|

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

				Address : COP		Office PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS 1231
i	UNG -		35	CHED NOTE OF		ANF G
os/100,1	97 08/02/93	LIN .			F	202986015501
					STANTON	B CYAN NER
<sub>CUSHMAN</sub> .	CARBY & CUSHM	18M2/ AN	0601			
NINTH FL	OOR YORK AV <b>EN</b> UE.	N. W.			Act	HET PARK ER
	ON. DC 20005-3				1804	20
					DATE MAILS	D: 06/01/94
ns signal of the same	ic to a therm way to that the	e of your abuild soon re,				
,	~					,
This application	n has been examined	Responsive to	commu	nication filed on	1/10/94	.   This action is made linal.
Part I THE FO  1. Notice of 3. Notice of	ory period for response to the within the period for responsibilities. ALLOWING ATTACHMENT() of References Cited by Example Art Cited by Applicant, PT stillon on How to Effect Drawl stillon on How to Effect Drawl	se will cause the app B) ARE PART OF TH niner, PTO-892. 'O-1449.	pilication I	to become aband	oned. 35 U.S.C	
	ARY OF ACTION					
	6/-63				ROMATS N	ere pending in the application
				·		•
	of the above, claims				-	are withdrawn from consideration
2. Claims						have been cancelled.
3. Claims						are allowed.
4. Claims	61-63				ITANDA	A 104 are rejected.
5. Claims		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				are objected to.
6. Cialms					are subject to res	triction or election requirement.
7. This sp	plication has been filed with	informal drawings u	ınder 37 (	C.F.R. 1.85 which	ere acceptable for	examination purposes.
8. 🗆 Formal	drawings are required in rea	ponse to this Office	action.			
	rected or substitute drawing sceeptable.   not accept					7 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings
10. 🗍 The pro	posed additional or substituer.   disapproved by the			on	has (have) b	een 🔲 approved by the
11. The pro	posed drawing correction, t	lled on		, has been 🗀 a	pproved. 🗆 disa	pproved (see explanation).
12. Acknow	viedgment is made of the cla	im for priority under	r U.S.C. 1	119. The certified o	copy has 🔲 beer	n received in not been received
	en filed in parent application					
	his application appears to be ance with the practice under				natters, prosecutio	n as to the merits is closed in
14. 🔲 Other	•					
	gs				,	
PTOL-326 (Rev.	9-89)		EXAMI	NER'S ACTION		

A 42411

250-B

Serial No. 08/100,197 Art Unit 1804

-2-

This Application is a Continuation of Application No. 07/957,073, filed 10/6/92, now abandoned. which is a Continuation of Application No. 07/609,741, filed 11/6/90, now abandoned, which is a Divisional of Application No. 07/113,179, filed 10/23/87, now pending, which is a Continuation of Application No. 06/675,298, filed 11/30/84, now U.S. Patent No. 4,703,008, which was a Continuationin-Part of Application No. 06/561,024, filed 12/13/83, now abandoned, and a Continuation-in-Part of Application No. 06/582,185, filed 2/21/84, now abandoned, and a Continuation-in-Part of Application No. 06/655,841, filed 9/28/84, now abandoned.

The amendment after final filed 1/10/94 (Paper No. 18) has been entered. Claims 61-63 remain pending in the instant Application.

Applicant is hereby informed that the FINALITY of the previous Office Action mailed 10/5/93 (Paper No. 16) is WITHDRAWN. Prosecution on the merits of the instantly claimed invention is herein reopened and the following new grounds of rejection are advanced.

Claims 62 and 63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 62 is vague and indefinite because it is unclear what the claimed composition is required to be "effective" for.

Claim 63 is vague and indefinite because it is unclear as to how the recitation that the claimed erythropoietin is "recombinant" modifies the physical erythropoietin composition. It is noted that in the body of the paragraph bridging pages 18 and 19, the specification indicates that a "recombinant" erythropoietin molecule may be prepared in eukaryotic cells and be fully glycosylated. Therefore, while the claimed erythropoietin may be prepared using recombinant techniques, the product would not necessarily distinguish over that found in nature.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

A 42412

250-C

AM670114492 AM-ITC 00899159





Serial No. 08/100,197 Art Unit 1804

It should be noted that the instant specification in general and claim 63 in particular is addressed towards the use of erythropoietin that is prepared using recombinant DNA technology. Within the paragraph bridging pages 18 and 19 of the specification, applicant states that.

The present invention provides...isolated polypeptide products having part or all of the primary structural conformation...and one or more of the biological properties (e.g. immunological properties and In vivo and In vitro biological activity) of naturally occurring erythropoietin...These polypeptides are also uniquely characterized by being the product of procaryotic or eucaryotic host expression...of exogenous DNA sequences obtained by genomic or cDNA cloning or by gene synthesis...Depending upon the host employed, polypeptides of the invention may be glycosylated with mammalian or other eucaryotic carbohydrates or may be non-plycosylated

From this quotation, it is apparent that the claimed erythropoietin (EPO) compositions read on any erythropoietin molecule regardless of its source. In particular, the specification indicates that glycosylated erythropoietin that exhibits the characteristic amino acid sequence and biological properties of naturally occurring erythropoietin is envisioned. Therefore, the EPO recited in the claims reads directly upon natural isolates and the basis of the instant rejection as explained above properly establishes that the claimed invention would have been prima facie obvious

This Application contains claims which conflict with the claims of U.S. Patent No. 4,806,524.

Although the instant Application has an effective U.S. filling date earlier than that of the conflicting patent, the instant Application may not issue if it would result in two patents being directed toward the same patentable invention, (see MPEP 2308.03).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian R. Stanton telephone number is (703) 308-2801.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Brian R. Stanton, Ph.D. May 26, 1994

Elizabeth. C Zleiman

ELizabeth C. WEIMAR

SUPERVISORY PATENT ELAMIKER

ART UNIT 184

A 42414

251

AM670114494 AM-ITC 00899161