Document 641

Filed 07/05/2007 Page 1 of 3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

)	
AMGEN INC.,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	CIVIL ACTION No.: 05-CV-12237WGY
F. HOFFMANN-LA ROCHE LTD)	
ROCHE DIAGNOSTICS GmbH)	
and HOFFMANN-LA ROCHE INC.)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

NOTICE OF SERVICE OF CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS TO BE FILED IN SUPPORT OF ROCHE'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF AMGEN INC.'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NO INEQUITABLE CONDUCT

Defendants F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Roche Diagnostics GmbH and Hoffmann-La Roche Inc. (collectively, "Roche") hereby certify, pursuant to the Protective Order dated February 7, 2007 [docket #274], that it will serve on July 5, 2007, via hand delivery, on plaintiff Amgen Inc.'s ("Amgen") counsel, Michael Gottfried at Duane Morris LLP, and via overnight delivery, on Amgen's counsel, Deborah Fishman at Day Casebeer Madrid & Batchelder LLP, the following documents which Amgen (not Roche) has identified as confidential:

- 1. Exhibits 1, 32-35, 54, 55, 57-59, 66, 68, 82, 83, 101, 103, 104, 118, 119, 128, 132, 136, 140-48, 151, 154, 171-74, 177-78, 186, 190-94, 198-99, 201, 221, 224-26, and 243-46 to the Declaration of Krista M. Rycroft in Support of Roche's Opposition to Plaintiff Amgen Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment of No Inequitable Conduct
- Confidential version of Roche's Response to Amgen's Rule 56.1 Statement of Undisputed Facts and Roche's Rule 56.1 Statement of Facts in Support of Roche's Opposition to Plaintiff Amgen Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment of No Inequitable Conduct
- 3. Confidential version of Declaration of Dr. Charles Zaroulis in Support of Roche's

- Opposition to Plaintiff Amgen, Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment of No Inequitable Conduct
- 4. Confidential version of Declaration of Dr. Carolyn Bertozzi in Support of Roche's Opposition to Plaintiff Amgen, Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment of No Inequitable Conduct

Amgen has designated these documents as confidential and accordingly, Roche hereby submits these documents for *in camera* inspection pursuant to the Protective Order because Amgen has not agreed to Roche's request to file the documents in the public record. It is necessary for the Court to review these documents, as they contain important facts and admissions that are highly relevant to the present motion for summary judgment.

Pursuant to paragraph 14 of the Protective Order, Amgen has four (4) Court days to seek leave of Court pursuant to Local Rule 7.2 if it seeks to have the Court deem such documents confidential and require their filing under seal. Roche will oppose any such motion within two (2) days.

Dated: July 5, 2007

Boston, Massachusetts

Respectfully submitted,

F. HOFFMANN-LA ROCHE LTD, ROCHE DIAGNOSTICS GMBH, and HOFFMANN-LA ROCHE INC.

By their Attorneys,

/s/ Keith E. Toms

Lee Carl Bromberg (BBO# 058480) Julia Huston (BBO# 562160) Keith E. Toms (BBO# 663369) Nicole A. Rizzo (BBO# 663853) Kimberly J. Seluga (BBO# 667655) BROMBERG & SUNSTEIN LLP 125 Summer Street Boston, MA 02110 Tel. (617) 443-9292 ktoms@bromsun.com

Leora Ben-Ami (pro hac vice) Mark S. Popofsky (pro hac vice) Patricia A. Carson (pro hac vice) Thomas F. Fleming (pro hac vice) Howard S. Suh (pro hac vice) Peter Fratangelo (BBO# 639775) Vladimir Drozdoff (pro hac vice) David L. Cousineau (pro hac vice) KAYE SCHOLER LLP 425 Park Avenue New York, New York 10022 Tel. (212) 836-8000

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this document filed through the ECF system will be sent electronically to the registered participants as identified on the Notice of Electronic Filing (NEF) and paper copies will be sent to those indicated as non registered participants on the above date.

> /s/ Keith E. Toms Keith E. Toms

03099/00501 698597.1