Document 752-7 Filed 07/16/2007 Page 1 of 3 Doc. 752 Att. 6

•	6/19/2007 Bradshaw, Ralph	6/19/2007 Bradshaw, Ralph EXHIBIT D
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	1 Exhibit 4 United States Patent No. 4,667,016 177
2	DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS	2 Exhibit 5 Purification of Human Erythropoietin 258
з	00000	3 Exhibit 6 Sugar profiling proves that human
4	AMGEN INC., )	4 serum erythropoietin differs from
5	<pre> Plaintiff, } </pre>	
	)	
6	vs. ) No. 05-CV-12237 WGY	6 Exhibit 7 United States Patent No. 4,703,008 295
	)	7 Exhibit 8 United States Patent No. 5,621,080 295
7	F. HOFFMAN-LA ROCHE, LTD., )	8 Exhibit 9 United States Patent No. 5,618,698 296
8	ROCHE DIAGNOSTICS, GmbH, and ) HOFFMAN-LA ROCHE, INC., )	9 Exhibit 10 United States Patent No. 5,756,349 296
-	)	10 Exhibit 11 United States Patent No. 5,441,868 296
9	Defendants. )	11 Exhibit 12 United States Patent No. 5,955,422 296
10	,	12 Exhibit 13 United States Patent No. 5,547,933 296
11		13
12	Videotaped Deposition of	14
13	RALPH BRADSHAW, Ph.D.	
14	TUESDAY, JUNE 19, 2007	15
15 16	Kantaine James Confidential and Parks Postricted	16
15	(Contains Amgen Confidential and Roche Restricted Access Confidential and Roche Statistics	17
18	Subject To Protective orders	18
19	- C al azono antern 12 19 antern 13 19 antern 13 1995 azo harrin 1	19
20		20
21	SHEILA CHASE & ASSOCIATES	
22	REPORTING ON BEHALF OF LIVENOTE WORLD SERVICE 221 Main Street, Suite 1250	21
44	San Francisco, CA 94105	22
23		23
24	Reported by:	24
	DIANA NOBRIGA, CSR, CRR	25
25	LICENSE NO. 7071	

б 

## 6/19/2007 Bradshaw, Ralph

I	N	D	Е	x

1		INDEX	
2	DEPOSITION (	OF RALPH BRADSHAW, Ph.D.	
3	TUESDAY, JUN	NE 19, 2007	
4	EXAMINATION	ВҮ:	Page
5	MR. JI	AGOE	7, 296
6	MS.C	ARTER	294
7		EXHIBITS	
8			Page
9	Exhibit 1	(Contains Amgen Confidential	
10		Information Subject to	
11		Protective Order) Rebuttal Report	
12		of Ralph A. Bradshaw, Ph.D.	40
13	Exhibit 2	(Contains Amgen Confidential and	
14		Roche Restricted Access	
15		Confidential Information BLA/IND	
16		Material Subject to Protective	
17		Order) Rebuttal Report of Ralph A.	
18		Bradshaw, Ph.D. to New	
19		Non-Infringement Arguments Raised i	n
20		the Rebuttal Reports of Defendants'	
21		Experts	40
22	Exhibit 3	The Amino Acid Sequence of the	
23		y-Subunit of Mouse Submaxillary	
24		Gland 7 S Nerve Growth Factor	97
25			

6/19/2007 Bradshaw, Ralph

BE IT REMEMBERED that, pursuant to Notice of Taking Deposition, and on TUESDAY, JUNE 19, 2007, commencing at the hour of 9:06 a.m., thereof at LiveNote, 221 Main Street, Suite 1250, San Francisco, CA 94105, before me, DIANA NOBRIGA, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of California, personally appeared

## RALPH BRADSHAW, Ph.D.,

called as a witness by the defendants, who being by me first duly sworn, was thereupon examined and testified as hereinafter set forth.

- - -

5

6/19/2007 Bradshaw, Ralph

1

•	
2	
3	FOR THE PLAINTIFF AND WITNESS:
4	KRISTA CARTER, ESQ.
5	DAY CASEBEER MADRID & BATCHELDER, LLP
6	20300 Stevens Creek Blvd., Suite 400
7	Cupertino, CA 95014
8	(408) 342-4534
9	kcarter@daycasebeer.com
10	
11	FOR THE DEFENDANTS:
12	CHRISTOPHER T. JAGOE, ESQ.
13	KAYE SCHOLER, LLP
14	425 Park Avenue
15	New York, NY 10022-3598
16	(212) 836-7800
17	cjagoe@kayescholer.com
18	
19	ALSO PRESENT: JAKE KROHN, VIDEOGRAPHER
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

6/19/2007 Bradshaw, Ralph

1	his expert report.
2	THE WITNESS: I have no direct knowledge what
3	Amgen did.
4	MR. JAGOE: Q. Well, did you review any Amgen
5	documents?
6	A. I reviewed the only Amgen documents I
7	reviewed related to the repeat of the Lin teachings and
8	also the application of the Miyake procedure by a
9	Dr. Egrie. Those were the only two Amgen documents I
10	reviewed.
11	Q. You didn't review the Amgen documents that
12	Dr. Flavell reviewed?
13	A. I don't believe I did.
14	Q. In the '422 patent, is there an example of
15	human erythropoietin that is purified to apparent
16	homogeneity?
17	A. So I believe in '422 a protocol is described
18	for obtaining homogeneous human recombinant
19	erythropoietin from CHO cells found in Example 10.
20	Q. What column and line are you looking at, for
21	the court reporter?
22	A. Column 28, line 44.
23	Q. And that's an example of a preparation of
24	human erythropoietin that's been purified to apparent
25	homogeneity?

113

## 6/19/2007 Bradshaw, Ralph

1	A. That's the information on how to obtain it.
2	Q. But my question is, is there an example of a
3	preparation of human erythropoietin that has been
4	purified to apparent homogeneity?
5	A. Can you define to me what you mean by an
6	example? We need to be in agreement on that word.
7	Q. I mean a description of work that was actually
8	done and shown to be what it reports to be.
9	MS. CARTER: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
10	THE WITNESS: So you mean by an example
11	chromatography or an electrophoretogram or some
12	indication of the actual physical properties of the
13	material? Is that what you're asking?
14	MR. JAGOE: Q. Something that would show a
15	person of skill in the art, for example, like one of the
16	readers of JBC, that Dr. Lin had actually in hand a
17	sample preparation of human erythropoietin that had been
18	purified to apparent homogeneity.
19	A. There is no material there is no direct
20	example showing the homogeneous material by that
21	criteria, no.
22	Q. Is there any example of a suggested protocol,
23	what we call it, prophetic example, of a preparation of
24	human erythropoietin that has been purified to apparent
25	homogeneity?