Connectu, Inc. v. Facebook, Inc. et al

Filed 10/24/2007

Page 1 of 6

Doc. 135 Att. 15

EXHIBIT 15



ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP 1000 MARSH ROAD MENLO PARK, CALIFORNIA 94025-1015

tel +1-650-614-7400 fax +1-650-614-7401 www.orrick.com

Monte M.F. Cooper (650) 614-7375 mcooper@orrick.com

September 6, 2007

VIA FACSIMILE AND MAIL

Meredith Schoenfeld Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner 901 New York Avenue N.W. Washington, DC 20001

Re: ConnectU v. Facebook, Case No. 07-10593 DPW

Dear Meredith:

This letter responds to your letter of August 24, 2007, and summarizes the Facebook Defendants' positions on outstanding discovery disputes associated with Facebook's written discovery to the Plaintiffs.

Interrogatory Responses

Almost two months ago, in a letter dated July 12, 2007, the Facebook Defendants identified deficiencies in plaintiffs' prior responses to Interrogatories Nos. 1, 3-8, 10, 11, 13 and 14. ConnectU has repeatedly promised that it would supplement these earlier responses, including during the meet-and-confer held on August 15, 2007 and in the original Joint Status Report filed with the Court. To date, ConnectU has provided nothing. If the Facebook Defendants do not receive the long-promised supplementation by Monday, September 12, 2007, they will move to compel a date certain for immediate supplementation.

Harvard Connection / ConnectU Code

In its two Sets of Requests for Production, Facebook requested all versions of the source code for the Harvard Connection and ConnectU websites since its inception. *See*, *e.g.*, Request Nos. 2, 4, 6. Plaintiffs have stated that they did not take efforts to preserve the original and most relevant Harvard Connection code stored on the Hurricane Electric servers. Further, ConnectU has refused to produce all versions of the ConnectU code, including the code in the possession,



Meredith Schoenfeld, Esq. September 6, 2007 Page 2

custody and control of its agents such as Winston Williams and PNS. Because ConnectU has an obligation to prove that the Harvard Connection code has been used continuously at all times by ConnectU, all versions of the code (including those developed after March 7, 2005) are relevant (unless of course ConnectU is willing to drop its trade secret claim). All versions also are relevant in light of other issues, such as damages and efforts to mitigate.

Plaintiffs' explanations for why they cannot produce the original Harvard Connection code on the Hurricane Electric servers both before and after it was allegedly given to Mark Zuckerberg also is unavailing. All plaintiffs had access to the code, as evidenced by their own documents. Facebook offered to pay for electronic imaging and keyword extraction to be performed by ConnectU's own expert using the same protocol accepted by ConnectU to search Defendants' hard drives for Harvard Connection code. ConnectU continues to refuse this offer. We also understand that ConnectU will not allow imaging or electronic keyword searches for the missing Harvard Connection code or other missing electronic data on Plaintiffs' hard drives under the same terms it demands of Defendants, even where Defendants' pay for the search.

You also representated that ConnectU has no right to demand copies of their own proprietary information in the possession of third parties. Facebook asked ConnectU to confirm whether ConnectU had ever attempted to request <u>all</u> versions of this code from at least Animal57, iMarc, PNS and Williams. Facebook also explained its understanding that ConnectU not only has the power to demand production of its proprietary code, but also the obligation to ensure its preservation during this litigation. You could not confirm at the meet and confer whether ConnectU had made such requests. You also said you would investigate this issue. Although you now argue "all known versions of such code ... have been produced," we are aware from the California action that both PNS and Williams admit that they have <u>not</u> produced all such versions of the Subversion ConnectU code – or even all electronic data, such as the database records reflecting invitations made by ConnectU to Facebook registered users.

Under these circumstances, Facebook Defendants will now move to compel. On a related issue, Facebook Defendants also may move to compel production of the protocol from the other proceeding that John Hornick promised to produce to Defendants during the July 6, 2007 meeting of counsel, but later recanted.

Requests for Production

You previously confirmed that ConnectU is not withholding any responsive, non-privileged documents (except as to the Harvard ConnectU code disputes set forth above) based on the objections raised in Facebook's July 2, 2007 letter, including any documents responsive to



Meredith Schoenfeld, Esq. September 6, 2007 Page 3

Request Nos. 22-23, 36-39, 41-42, 44, 46-53, 55, 57, 60-68, 72-73, 79, 87-88, 92-93, 96, 101, 103, 108-114, 116, 118-121, 123, 126-128, 131(a)-(b), 132-133, 137-138, 150-151. You also stated that ConnectU is not withholding any documents based on relevance, including on any self-defined criteria for what is or what is not relevant. We previously asked that some measure be taken to ensure that deleted documents were sought, and that the search for all relevant information be made by someone other than the parties themselves. It appears ConnectU will not acquiesce to either request, and hence the Facebook Defendants will proceed to move to compel. As part of our motion, we will request a similar keyword search to that employed by Facebook to ensure a complete production.

Document 135-16

Finally, with respect to documents "reflecting the present value of ConnectU and connectu.com," it appears that ConnectU without explanation either asserts the attorney-client and attorney workproduct privileges, or simply won't produce the information concerning the unidentified "hedge fund that wants to fund the rest [of the expenses for the litigation] on a contingent basis" mentioned in Howard Winklevoss' December 20, 2006 email correspondence to his family. Since the document refers to third parties, and because ConnectU demands the same type of documents concerning valuation from Facebook, the Facebook Defendants will move to compel the missing documentation. In any event, this disclosure should be made to the Court as part of the list of parties with an interest in this litigation.

As always, please do not hesitate to call or write if you have any questions regarding this letter, or any other matter relating to the litigation. If you believe I have misstated any part of our conversation, please let me know immediately. We all thank you for the time you took to address our inquiries and concerns.

Very truly yours

John F. Hornick, Esq. (via e-mail) cc: Margaret Esquenet, Esq. (via e-mail) Robert B. Hawk, Esq. (via e-mail) Daniel K. Hampton, Esq. (via e-mail)

Steve M. Bauer, Esq. (via e-mail) Jeremy Oczek, Esq. (via e-mail)



ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
1000 MARSH ROAD
MENLO PARK, CALIFORNIA 94025
TEL 650-614-7400
FAX 650-614-7401
WWW.ORRICK.COM

| _ | | | | | | |
|---|----|----|----|--------|-----|-----|
| - | ΛУ | TD | ΛΛ | 1 5 44 | 6.6 | ION |
| | | | | | | |

NO. OF PAGES September 6, 2007 DATE (INCLUDING COVER SHEET) FROM Monte M. F. Cooper (650) 614-7375 то company/firm tel fax name Meredith H. Schoenfeld, Esq., Finnegan, Henderson, (202) 408-4000 (202) 408-4400 Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP RE ConnectU, Inc. v. Facebook, Inc., et al. Massachusetts Action No. 07-10593 DPW

C-M-A 16069-2001

MESSAGE

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL PAGES, PLEASE CALL STEPHANIE C. HART AT (650) 614-7426 AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

notice to recipient

09/06/2007 09:09 FAX Case 1:07-0

*************** TX REPORT *** **************

TRANSMISSION OK

TX/RX NO

ST. TIME

1150

RECIPIENT ADDRESS

912024084400

DESTINATION ID

09/06 09:06

TIME USE PAGES SENT 02'29 4

RESULT

OK

ORRICK

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP 1000 MARSH ROAD MENLO PARK, CALIFORNIA 94025

TEL 650-614-7400 FAX 650-614-7401

WWW.ORRICK.COM

FAX TRANSMISSION

September 6, 2007 DATE

NO. OF PAGES (INCLUDING COVER SHEET)

FROM

name

tel

Monte M. F. Cooper

(650) 614-7375

TO

company/firm

fax

Meredith H. Schoenfeld, Esq., Finnegan, Henderson,

(202) 408-4000

(202) 408-4400

Farabow, Garrett & Dunner,

LLP

RE

ConnectU, Inc. v. Facebook, Inc., et al. Massachusetts Action No. 07-10593 DPW

MESSAGE