UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

HAM FREE LIBRARY, ET AL	
Plaintiff(s)	CIVIL ACTION
V.	NO. 07-11807-RGS
OF WAREHAM	NO
Defendant(s)	
	RE: REFERENCE FOR E DISPUTE RESOLUTION
TO JUDG	STEARNS
	settled after referral to the ADR Program, but prior to ADR the following ADR proceeding:
_	_
The parties were /were not p	resent in person or by authorized corporate officer [except
The case was:	
	•
	nce has been scheduled for unless
·	t uate. time are, in my judgment, unlikely to be productive. This
·	
ST 4, 2009	LEO T. SOROKIN, U.S.M.J.
DATE	ADR Provider
	Plaintiff(s) V. OF WAREHAM Defendant(s) REPORT I ALTERNATIV TO JUDGI The above entitled case was reported at the case was reported at the parties were represented by counse the parties were //were not // p The case was: Settled. Your clerk should enter a // case was progress. A further conference the case is reported settled prior to that Further efforts to settle this case at this case should be restored to your trial lis Suggested strategy to facilitate settlem.

(ADRReportforpdf.wpd - 4/12/2000)