## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

WAREHAM FREE LIBRARY, et al . CIVIL ACTION NO. 07-11807-RGS

Plaintiffs

V. BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

. AUGUST 3, 2009

TOWN OF WAREHAM, et al

Defendants

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

TRANSCRIPT OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT BEFORE THE HONORABLE LEO T. SOROKIN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

## APPEARANCES:

For the plaintiff: Philip N. Beauregard, Esq.

Beauregard, Burke & Franco

32 William Street New Bedford, MA 02740

508-993-0333

bbf.robeson@verizon.net

Thomas J. Gallitano, Esq.

Conn, Kavanaugh, Rosenthal, Peisch &

Ford, LLP

Ten Post Office Square

Boston, MA 02109

617-482-8200

tgallitano@ckrpf.com

For the defendant: Peter E. Montgomery, Esq.

Brody, Hardon, Perkins, & Kesten LLP

One Exeter Plaza Boston, MA 02116 617-880-7100

pmontgomery@bhpklaw.com

Michele E. Randazzo, Esq.

Kopelman & Paige, PC

101 Arch Street

Boston, MA 02110-1109

617-556-0007

mrandazzo@k-plaw.com

MARYANN V. YOUNG
Certified Court Transcriber
Wrentham, MA 02093
(508) 384-2003

Massachusetts Interlocal

Insurance Associate: Arthur E. Maravelis, Esq.

Tang & Maravelis, P.C.

50 Mall Road Suite 111

Burlington, MA 01803

781-221-1400

Court Reporter:

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

MARYANN V. YOUNG
Certified Court Transcriber
Wrentham, MA 02093
(508) 384-2003

1	INDEX		2
2	Proceedings	3	
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
	MARYANN V. YOUNG Certified Court Transcriber		
	(508) 384-2003		

## $\underline{P} \ \underline{R} \ \underline{O} \ \underline{C} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{D} \ \underline{I} \ \underline{N} \ \underline{G} \ \underline{S}$

CASE CALLED INTO SESSION

1

2

3

5

11

15

19

21

24

25

THE COURT: This is Magistrate Judge Sorokin. We've just finished the mediation in Wareham Free Library, et al v. Town of Wareham, 07CV11807. We don't have the members of the Board of Selectmen because one by one they had some 6 7 commitments, and I let them go and the last ones left but after 8 the issues with the town were resolved. So while the - what 9 I'm about to say is subject to two, the agreement I'm about to 10 describe is subject to two caveats. The first caveat is that on behalf of the town it has to be formally approved by the 12 Board of Selectmen and while counsel expects - (inaudible -13 #6:41:29) - with that it's not done until it's formally 14 approved. And the second caveat is the plaintiffs requested, and I agree it makes sense and the other parties are content 16 with that, that till the end of close of business tomorrow to 17 decide which of two options to accept that I'm going to 18 And plaintiffs' counsel will call, he'll call the describe. other counsel and let him know as to which option you've taken 20 and, Mr. Gallitano, I'll designate you to contact me once that's done to let me know it's settled and which of the two 22 options. 23

The terms of the settlement are as follows. Library Corporation will be dissolved with the execution of the agreement if it's a global settlement and if it's a, the

declaratory judgment action is preserved, it'll be dissolved once the declaratory judgment action is finally resolved, but all other terms I'm about to describe will be the same either way. The Town of Wareham Library trustees will be appointed pursuant to the town charter which everyone understands at the present moment would be by the Board of Selectmen. The monies that are held by the present, for lack of a better term, plaintiffs, the library trustees as well as the funds that are in the foundation will remain with the foundation except that the plaintiffs will issue a check or checks in the amount of \$50,000 to an account designated by the defendants to the, under the control of the library, the new library trustees appointed by the town for purposes of library trustees and the manager of the public library.

The foundation will amend its bylaws to provide that all of the present money that it has after disclosing the \$50,000 will be earmarked or used solely for the purposes of the public library of Wareham which is presently understood to mean the one existing library in Wareham that's a public library. If in the future the Spinney Library becomes a library of the town of Wareham, a public library under the jurisdiction of the trustees, the town's library trustees, or any other branches ever opened at any time in the future as a library, then those funds that are earmarked for the public library of Wareham can be used for not only the named branch

but these other branch or branches. But until such time those funds cannot be.

The \$75,000 with the Friends stays with the Friends.

The future donations will work as follows, any donations to the foundation will be to the foundation for its use and purposes for any purpose that it wishes consistent with the intent of the donor. The, if there are donations to the Wareham Free Library or the Trustees of the Wareham Library or the like, whenever the will was written, those donations that are received after today I suppose will be for the Trustees of the Public Library appointed pursuant to the town charter.

The Foundation, as is obvious I think, will have no formal advisory authority with respect to the library. And the attorneys' fees of the plaintiffs will be resolved in the following fashion; either the plaintiffs will accept a \$40,000 payment from the defendants toward their attorneys' fees in which case this is a global resolution of all claims of the parties or they will decline to accept any funds from the defendants in settlement of attorneys' fees, in which case this is a settlement of all claims and parties accept a third party claim against MIIA, in which case that declaratory judgment action will proceed to its normal resolution and all the rest of the case and parties with all the other claims are settled. They'll be releases and stipulations of dismissal with prejudice of all the claims and releases of all the parties

1	either globally if plaintiffs elect the global resolution or	
2	all regards except with respect to the declaratory judgment	
3	action between those parties to the declaratory judgment action	
4	if they elect that option. And plaintiffs will, plaintiffs'	
5	counsel will let all other counsel know as to what they're	
6	going to do by close of business tomorrow?	
7	MR. BEAUREGARD: Yes.	
8	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Gallitano, then if you'll	
9	then if you let me know by the next day what resolution was	
10	reached, I will then advise Judge Stearns to do one of two	
11	things. If it's a global resolution, issue a 60-day settlement	
12	order of dismissal with respect to everything, or issue a 60-	
13	day settlement order of dismissal with respect to everything	
14	other than the third party complaint against MIA.	
15	Yes?	
16	MR. BEAUREGARD: Just two quick small points. The	
17	formal approval of the Board of Selectmen will be forthcoming I	
18	take it by the end of tomorrow?	
19	MR. MONTGOMERY: They do have a scheduled meeting	
20	tomorrow, we're going to do I best. I don't think it's going	
21	to be a problem though.	
22	MR. BEAUREGARD: Okay.	
23	THE COURT: That's why I said 60 days	
24	MR. MONTGOMERY: We had all five of them here and	
25	they're all on board.	

Certified Court Transcriber (508) 384-2003

(508) 384-2003