EXHIBIT O

Claim Chart from Re-exam Petition

Anticipation Based On The Wetmore '713 Patent

Set 2: Server-Side Independent Claims 8, 21, 42, And 55:

Set 2: Server-Side Independent Claims 8, 21, 42, And 55:		
Claim Element	Claim Element	The Wetmore '713 Patent
8. A method for generating a compact difference result between an old executable program and a new executable program; each program including reference entries that contain reference that refer to other entries in the program; the method comprising the steps of:	21. A system for generating a compact difference result between an old executable program and a new executable program; each program including reference entries that contain reference that refer to other entries in the program; the system comprising a processing device capable of:	As discussed in more detail in each step below, the Wetmore '713 Patent generates a compact difference result (vector patch resource) between an old executable program (old non-vectorized program) and a new executable program (new non-vectorized program). Each program (old and new non-vectorized programs) including reference entries ("jump" entry points) that contain reference that refer to other entries in the program.
(a) generating a modified old program utilizing at least said old program; (b) generating a modified new program utilizing at least said new program,	(a) generating a modified old program utilizing at least said old program; (b) generating a modified new program utilizing at least said new program,	During vectorization, the Wetmore '713 Patent generates a modified old program (vectorized old program) utilizing at least the old program (the old non-vectorized program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.4 ll.38-39; col.5 ll.1-3, 10-17, 18-31; FIG. 4.) During vectorization, the Wetmore '713 Patent generates a modified new program (vectorized new program) utilizing at least the new program (the new non-vectorized program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.4 ll.38-39; col.5 ll.1-3, 10-17; col.10 ll.6-14, col.11 ll.2-12; FIG. 4.)
said modified old program and modified new program have at least the following characteristics:	said modified old program and modified new program have at least the following characteristics:	The modified old program (vectorized old program) and modified new program (vectorized new program) have at least the following characteristics:
(i) substantially each reference in an entry in said old program that is different than corresponding entry in said new program due to delete/insert modifications that form part of the	(i) substantially each reference in an entry in said old program that is different than corresponding entry in said new program due to delete/insert modifications that form part of the	Substantially each reference (the location in the ROM code in the jump entry point) in an entry (in each "jump" entry point) in the old program (the old non-vectorized program) that is different than corresponding entry in said new program (the new non-vectorized program) due to delete/insert modifications that form part of the transition between the old program and new program (e.g., routines in the Wetmore '713 Patent can be deleted or inserted), are reflected as invariant references (table pointers with an offset) in the corresponding entries in the modified old

transition between said old program and new programs are reflected as invariant references in the corresponding entries in said modified new programs; and new program are reflected as invariant references in the corresponding entries in said modified old and modified new programs; solventified old and modified new programs; and new programs are reflected as invariant references in the corresponding entries in said modified old and modified new programs; solventified old and modified new programs; and modified new programs wherein the address references in entries are replaced by label marks. Namely, the "552 Patent states that: "the invention aims at generating a modified old program and a modified new programs, wherein the difference in references in corresponding entries in said modified and modified new programs; solventified old and modified old program and a modified new program, whill not appear in the difference result, thereby reducing its size as compared to a conventional difference result obtained by using hitherto known techniques." ("552 Patent also states: "Those versed in the art will readily appreciate that according to the invention, it is desired to neutralize this change, since it has occurred solely due to the fact that other entries have been affected (i.e. entries 7 to 9). It is accordingly an object of the invention to give rise to a situation where modifications of this kind will be modified to invariant references with the obvious consequence that they are not reflected in the difference result, thereby keeping the latter relatively compact." ("552 Patent col.10 II.7-15 (emphasis added).) Further, the "552 Patent notes that for the particular embodiment of FIGS. 1 and 2, "the desired invariant references are replaced by label marks as follows" ("552 Patent col.10 II.7-15 (emphasis added).) The Patentence accomplished by generating modified of and new programs wherein the address references in entries are replaced by label marks as follows" ("552 Patent col.10 II.7-50 (em	Claim Flament	Claim Element	The Wetmore '713 Patent
said old program and new program are reflected as invariant references are reflected as invariant references in the corresponding entries in said modified old and modified new programs; solution of the programs wherein the address references in entires are replaced by label marks. Namely, the '552 Patent states that: "the invention aims at generating a modified old program and a modified new program, wherein the difference in references in the the modified old and new programs. The net effect is that the invariant reference ensult, thereby reducing its size as compared to a conventional difference result, thereby and the modified old and new programs and the modified new program and the modified old program and the modified old and new programs. The net effect is that the invariant reference ensults of the modified old and new programs and a modified new program and the modified new program and the modified new program and the modified old and new programs and the modified old and new programs and the modified old and new programs and the modified old and new programs. The net effect is that the invariant reference ensult, thereby the m	Claim Element		
and new program are reflected as invariant references in the corresponding entries in said modified old and modified new programs; **Programs** **Invariant references**: Invariant references are discussed in the '552 Patent and an example is given of providing invariant references by generating modified old and modified new programs; **Programs**:			col 5 1 18-56; col 6 45 - col 8 52; FIGS 3-5)
are reflected as invariant references: Invariant references in the corresponding entries in said modified old and modified new programs; **Programs** **Pr			001.5 11.10 50, 001.0 1.75 001.0 1.52, 1105. 5 5.7
invariant references in the corresponding entries in said modified old and modified new programs; modified old programs wherein the difference in references in entries are replaced by label marks. Namely, the modified old and modified new programs; modified old and modified old program and a modified new programs; modified old program and a modified new program, wherein the difference in references in corresponding entries in said new and old programs as explained above, will be reflected as invariant entries in the modified old and new programs. The net effect is that the invariant reference entries (between the modified old program and the modified old program in the modif			Invariant references: Invariant references are
references in the corresponding entries in said modified old and modified new programs; references in the corresponding entries in said modified new programs; references in the corresponding entries in said modified old and modified new programs; references in the corresponding entries in said modified old and new programs. Annually, the "552 Patent states that: "the invention aims at generating a modified old program and a modified new programs; reference result the invention traffer old program and a modified new program, wherein the difference in references in corresponding entries in said new and old program as explained above, will be reflected as invariant entries in the modified old program and the modified new program), will not appear in the difference result, thereby reducing its size as compared to a conventional difference result obtained by using hitherto known techniques." ("552 Patent. 10.3 11.36–46 (emphasis added)). The "552 Patent according to the invention to give rise to a situation where modifications of this kind will be modified to invariant references with the obvious consequence that they are not reflected in the difference result, thereby keeping the latter relatively compact." ("552 Patent 10.10.1.7-15 (emphasis added)). Further, the "552 Patent notes that for the particular embodiment of FIGS. 1 and 2, "the desired invariant references are accomplished by generating modified old and new programs wherein the address references in entries are replaced by label marks as follows" ("552 Patent 10.10.1.7-15 (emphasis added)). Further, the "552 Patent 10.10.1.7-15 (emphasis added)). The Patentee also provided its claim construction for "invariant references" under the "552 Patent 10.10.1.5" (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.) Thus, the vectorization process in the Wetmore "713 Patent generates the compact difference result utilizing at least said modified and modified new program and vectorized new program and vectorized old program (vectorized old program in the control of program) (Wetmore "		1	
corresponding entries in said modified old and modified new programs; old and new programs wherein the address references in motified new programs; rograms; old and new programs wherein the address references in motified new programs; rograms; old and new programs wherein the address references in motified new programs; rograms; old and new programs wherein the address references in tenties are replaced by label marks. Namely, the "552 Patent states that: "the invention aims at generating a modified old program and a modified new program, wherein the difference in references in corresponding entries in said new and old program as explained above, will be reflected as invariant entries in the modified old and new programs. The net effect is that the invariant reference entries (between the modified old program and the modified new program), will not appear in the difference result, thereby reducing its size as compared to a conventional difference result obtained by using hitherto known techniques." ("552 Patent col. 3 II.36-46 (emphasis added)). The "552 Patent also states: "Those versed in the art will readily appreciate that according to the invention, it is desired to neutralize this change, since it has occurred solely due to the fact that other entries have been affected (i.e. entries 7 to 9). It is accordingly an object of the invention to give rise to a situation where modifications of this kind will be modified to invariant references with the obvious consequence that they are not reflected in the difference result, thereby keeping the latter relatively compact." ("552 Patent col.10 II.7-15 (emphasis added)). Further, the "552 Patent notes that for the particular embodiment of FIGS. 1 and 2, "the desired invariant references are accomplished by generating modified old and new programs wherein the address references in the difference result, thereby keeping the latter relatively constant." ("552 Patent col.10 II.7-15 (emphasis added)). The Patentee also provided its claim construction for "invaria	1		
entries in said modified old and modified new programs; sin entries are replaced by label marks. Namely, the modified new programs; sin entries are replaced by label marks. Namely, the modified new programs; sin entries are replaced by label marks. Namely, the modified new programs; sin entries are replaced by label marks. Namely, the modified new programs; sin the modified old program and a modified new program, wherein the difference in references in the modified above, will be reflected as invariant entries in the modified old and new programs. The net effect is that the invariant reference entries (between the modified old program and the modified new program), will not appear in the difference result, thereby reducing its size as compared to a conventional difference result obtained by using hitherto known techniques." ("552 Patent also states: "Those versed in the art will readily appreciate that according to the invention, it is desired to neutralize this change, since it has occurred solely due to the fact that other entries have been affected (i.e. entries 7 to 9). It is accordingly an object of the invention to give rise to a situation where modifications of this kind will be modified to invariant references with the obvious consequence that they are not reflected in the difference result, thereby keeping the latter relatively compact." ("552 Patent col.10 II.7-15 (emphasis added).) Further, the "552 Patent of reflected in the difference result, thereby keeping the latter relatively compact." ("552 Patent col.10 II.7-15 (emphasis added).) The Patentee also provided its claim construction for "invariant references" as "References that are the same. See, e.g., "552 Patent (10-15." (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.) Thus, the vectorization process in the Wetmore "713 Patent generates the compact difference result utilizing at least said modified new program and vectorized new program. The Wetmore "713 Patent col.10 L62—col.11 brogram) (vectorized old program) and modified old program (vectorized old program)			old and new programs wherein the address references
modified old and modified new programs; modified old and modified new programs; modified old program and a modified new programs. The net effect is that the invariant reference entries (between the modified old program and the modified new program), will not appear in the difference result; thereby reducing its size as compared to a conventional difference result obtained by using hitherto known techniques." (*552 Patent. col. 3 II.36-46 (emphasis added)). The '552 Patent according to the invention, it is desired to neutralize this change, since it has occurred solely due to the fact that other entries have been affected (i.e. entries 7 to 9). It is accordingly an object of the invention to give rise to a situation where modifications of this kind will be modified to invariant references with the obvious consequence that they are not reflected in the difference result, thereby keeping the latter relatively compact." (*552 Patent col. 10 II.7-15 (emphasis added).) Further, the "552 Patent notes that for the particular embodiment of FIGS. 1 and 2, "the desired invariant references are accomplished by generating modified old and new programs wherein address references in entries are replaced by label marks as follows" (*552 Patent col. 10 II.47-50 (emphasis added).) The Patentee also provided its claim construction for "invariant references" as "References that are the same. See, e.g., "552 Patent that results in vectorized programs having table pointers with offset will be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program. (c) generating and compact difference result utilizing at least the modified new program (vectorized old program) and modified old program (vectorized old program) and modified old program (vectorized old program) and modified old program (vectorized old.)			in entries are replaced by label marks. Namely, the
modified new programs; modified of modified program and a modified new programs as explained above, will be reflected as invariant entries in the modified old and new programs. The net effect is that the invariant reference entries (between the modified old program and the modified new program), will not appear in the difference result, thereby reducing its size as compared to a conventional difference result to brained by using hitherto known techniques." ('552 Patent, col.3 Il.36-46 (emphasis added)). The 552 Patent also states: "Those versed in the art will readily appreciate that according to the invention, it is desired to neutralize this change, since it has occurred solely due to the fact that other entries have been affected (i.e. entries 7 to 9). It is accordingly an object of the invention to give rise to a situation where modifications of this kind will be modified to invariant references with the obvious consequence that they are not reflected in the difference result, thereby keeping the latter relatively compact." ('552 Patent col.10 Il.7-15 (emphasis added).) The patents are replaced by label marks as follows" ('552 Patent) col.10 Il.7-15 (emphasis added).) The Patentee also provided its claim construction for "invariant references" under the S25 Patent's label marks example and also under the Patent Owner's construction, since the table pointers with onfiset will be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program. The Wetmore '713 Patent generates the compact difference result utilizing at least staid modified new grogram (vectorized old program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.10 Il.62—col.11 program) and modified old program (vectorized old program) and modified old program (vectorized old program) and modified old program (vectorized old program).			'552 Patent states that: "the invention aims at
programs; programs; programs; programs; program, wherein the difference in references in corresponding entries in said new and old programs as explained above, will be reflected as invariant entries in the modified old and new programs. The net effect is that the invariant reference entries (between the modified old program and the modified new program), will not appear in the difference result, thereby reducing its size as compared to a conventional difference result obtained by using hitherto known techniques." ('552 Patent also states: "Those versed in the art will readily appreciate that according to the invention to give rise to a situation where modifications of this kind will be modified to invariant references with the obvious consequence that they are not reflected in the difference result, thereby keeping the latter relatively compact." ('552 Patent col. 10 Il.7-15 (Emphasis added)). The Patentee also provided its claim construction for "invariant references are accomplished by generating modified old and new programs wherein address references in entries are replaced by label marks as follows" ('552 Patent col. 10 Il.47-50 (emphasis added)). The Patentee also provided its claim construction for "invariant references" as "References that are the same. See, e.g., '552 Patent 10:10-15." (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.) Thus, the vectorization process in the Wetmore "713 Patent that results in vectorized new program table pointers with offsets will be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program. (vectorized new program) and modified old program (vectorized old program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col. 10. 16.62—col. 11			
corresponding entries in said new and old programs as explained above, will be reflected as <i>invariant entries</i> in the modified old and new programs. The net effect is that the <i>invariant reference entries</i> (between the modified old program and the modified new program), will not appear in the difference result, thereby reducing its size as compared to a conventional difference result obtained by using hitherto known techniques." ('552 Patent also states: "Those versed in the art will readily appreciate that according to the invention, it is desired to neutralize this change, since it has occurred solely due to the fact that other entries have been affected (<i>i.e.</i> entries 7 to 9). It is accordingly an object of the invention to give rise to a situation where modifications of this kind will be modified to <i>invariant references</i> with the obvious consequence that they are not reflected in the difference result, thereby keeping the latter relatively compact." ('552 Patent col.10 Il.7-15 (emphasis added).) Further, the '552 Patent notes that for the particular embodiment of FIGS. 1 and 2, "the desired <i>invariant references</i> are accomplished by generating modified old and new programs wherein address references in entries are replaced by label marks as follows" ('552 Patent col.10 Il.47-50 (emphasis added).) The Patentee also provided its claim construction for "invariant references" as "References that are the same. <i>See, e.g.</i> , '552 Patent 10:10-15." (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.) Thus, the vectorization process in the Wetmore '713 Patent generates the compact difference result utilizing at least said modified are with offsets will be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program. (vectorized new program) and modified old program (vectorized old program). (Wetmore '713 Patent generates the compact difference result undicing at least the modif	1		program, wherein the difference in references in
explained above, will be reflected as invariant entries in the modified old and new programs. The net effect is that the invariant reference entries (between the modified old program and the modified new program), will not appear in the difference result obtained by using hitherto known techniques." (552 Patent. col. 3l. 13-6-46 (emphasis added)). The '552 Patent also states: "Those versed in the art will readily appreciate that according to the invention, it is desired to neutralize this change, since it has occurred solely due to the fact that other entries have been affected (i.e. entries 7 to 9). It is accordingly an object of the invention to give rise to a situation where modifications of this kind will be modified to invariant references with the obvious consequence that they are not reflected in the difference result, thereby keeping the latter relatively compact." ('552 Patent col. 10 ll. 7-15 (emphasis added).) Timber, the '552 Patent notes that for the particular embodiment of FIGS. 1 and 2, "the desired invariant references are accomplished by generating modified old and new programs wherein address references in entries are replaced by label marks as follows" ('552 Patent col. 10 ll. 47-50 (emphasis added).) The Patentee also provided its claim construction for "invariant references" as "References that are the same. See, e.g., '552 Patent 10:10-15." (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.) Thus, the vectorization process in the Wetmore '713 Patent data residuant references' under the Patent Owner's construction, since the table pointers with offsets will be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program. (c) generating said compact difference result utilizing at least said modified new said modified old program (vectorized new program) and modified old program (vectorized old program).	P. 65	1 - 5	corresponding entries in said new and old programs as
that the <i>invariant reference entries</i> (between the modified old program and the modified new program), will not appear in the difference result, thereby reducing its size as compared to a conventional difference result obtained by using hitherto known techniques." ('552 Patent. col.3 Il.36-46 (emphasis added)). The '552 Patent also states: "Those versed in the art will readily appreciate that according to the invention, it is desired to neutralize this change, since it has occurred solely due to the fact that other entries have been affected (<i>i.e.</i> entries 7 to 9). It is accordingly an object of the invention to give rise to a situation where modifications of this kind will be modified to <i>invariant references</i> with the obvious consequence that they are not reflected in the difference result, thereby keeping the latter relatively compact." ('552 Patent col.10 Il.7-15 (emphasis added).) Further, the '552 Patent notes that for the particular embodiment of FIGS. 1 and 2, "the desired <i>invariant references</i> are accomplished by generating modified old and new programs wherein address references in entries are replaced by label marks as follows" ('552 Patent col.10 Il.47-50 (emphasis added).) The Patentee also provided its claim construction for "invariant references" as "References that are the same. <i>See, e.g.</i> , '552 Patent 10:10-15." (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.) Thus, the vectorization process in the Wetmore '713 Patent that results in vectorized programs having table pointers with an offset are "invariant references" under the Patent Owner's construction, since the table pointers with offsets will be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program. (c) generating said compact difference result tillizing at least said modified new program and modified old program (vectorized old program) and modified old program (vectorized old program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.10 I.62—col.11			explained above, will be reflected as invariant entries
modified old program and the modified new program), will not appear in the difference result, thereby reducing its size as compared to a conventional difference result obtained by using hitherto known techniques." ('552 Patent col.3 Il.36-46 (emphasis added)). The '552 Patent also states: "Those versed in the art will readily appreciate that according to the invention, it is desired to neutralize this change, since it has occurred solely due to the fact that other entries have been affected (i.e. entries 7 to 9). It is accordingly an object of the invention to give rise to a situation where modifications of this kind will be modified to invariant references with the obvious consequence that they are not reflected in the difference result, thereby keeping the latter relatively compact." ('552 Patent col.10 Il.7-15 (emphasis added).) Further, the '552 Patent notes that for the particular embodiment of FIGS. 1 and 2, "the desired invariant references are accomplished by generating modified old and new programs wherein address references in entries are replaced by label marks as follows" ('552 Patent col.10 Il.47-50 (emphasis added).) The Patentee also provided its claim construction for "invariant references" as "References that are the same. See, e.g., '552 Patent 10:10-15." (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.) Thus, the vectorization process in the Wetmore '713 Patent that results in vectorized new program having table pointers with an offset are 'invariant references' under the Patent Owner's construction, since the table pointers with offsets will be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program. (c) generating said compact difference result the vector patch resource) utilizing at least said modified new program and modified old program (vectorized old program) and modified old program (vectorized old program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.10.162—col.11			in the modified old and new programs. The net effect is
will not appear in the difference result, thereby reducing its size as compared to a conventional difference result obtained by using hitherto known techniques." ('552 Patent. col.3 Il.36-46 (emphasis added)). The '552 Patent also states: "Those versed in the art will readily appreciate that according to the invention, it is desired to neutralize this change, since it has occurred solely due to the fact that other entries have been affected (i.e. entries 7 to 9). It is accordingly an object of the invention to give rise to a situation where modifications of this kind will be modified to invariant references with the obvious consequence that they are not reflected in the difference result, thereby keeping the latter relatively compact." ('552 Patent col.10 Il.7-15 (emphasis added).) Further, the '552 Patent notes that for the particular embodiment of FIGS. I and 2, "the desired invariant references are accomplished by generating modified old and new programs wherein address references in entries are replaced by label marks as follows" ('552 Patent col.10 Il.47-50 (emphasis added).) The Patentee also provided its claim construction for "invariant references" as "References that are the same. See, e.g., '552 Patent 10:10-15." (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.) Thus, the vectorized programs having table pointers with an offset are "invariant references" under the '552 Patent's label marks example and also under the Patent Owner's construction, since the table pointers with offsets will be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new programs and compact difference result (the vector patch resource) utilizing at least said modified new program and vectorized old program (vectorized old program) and modified old program (vectorized old program).			that the <i>invariant reference entries</i> (between the
reducing its size as compared to a conventional difference result obtained by using hitherto known techniques." ('552 Patent. col.3 ll.36-46 (emphasis added)). The '552 Patent also states: "Those versed in the art will readily appreciate that according to the invention, it is desired to neutralize this change, since it has occurred solely due to the fact that other entries have been affected (i.e. entries 7 to 9). It is accordingly an object of the invention to give rise to a situation where modifications of this kind will be modified to invariant references with the obvious consequence that they are not reflected in the difference result, thereby keeping the latter relatively compact." ('552 Patent col.10 ll.7-15 (emphasis added).) Further, the '552 Patent notes that for the particular embodiment of FIGS. 1 and 2, "the desired invariant references are accomplished by generating modified old and new programs wherein address references in entries are replaced by label marks as follows" ('552 Patent col.10 ll.47-50 (emphasis added).) The Patentee also provided its claim construction for "invariant references" as "References that are the same. See, e.g., '552 Patent 10:10-15." (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.) Thus, the vectorization process in the Wetmore '713 Patent that results in vectorized programs having table pointers with an offset are "invariant references" under the '552 Patent's label marks example and also under the Patent Owner's construction, since the table pointers with offsets will be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program. The Wetmore '713 Patent generates the compact difference result utilizing at least said modified new program and vectorized new program) and modified old program (vectorized old program) and modified o			modified old program and the modified new program),
difference result obtained by using hitherto known techniques." ('552 Patent. col. 3 Il.36-46 (emphasis added)). The '552 Patent also states: "Those versed in the art will readily appreciate that according to the invention, it is desired to neutralize this change, since it has occurred solely due to the fact that other entries have been affected (i.e. entries 7 to 9). It is accordingly an object of the invention to give rise to a situation where modifications of this kind will be modified to invariant references with the obvious consequence that they are not reflected in the difference result, thereby keeping the latter relatively compact." ('552 Patent col.10 Il.7-15 (emphasis added).) Further, the '552 Patent notes that for the particular embodiment of FIGS. 1 and 2, "the desired invariant references are accomplished by generating modified old and new programs wherein address references in entries are replaced by label marks as follows" ('552 Patent col.10 Il.47-50 (emphasis added).) The Patentee also provided its claim construction for "invariant references" as "References that are the same. See, e.g., '552 Patent 10:10-15." (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.) Thus, the vectorization process in the Wetmore '713 Patent that results in vectorized programs having table pointers with an offset are "invariant references" under the '752 Patent's label marks example and also under the Patent Owner's construction, since the table pointers with offsets will be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program. (c) generating said compact difference result utilizing at least said modified new program and vectorized new program. (wetorized new program) and modified old program (vectorized old program).			will not appear in the difference result, thereby
techniques." ('552 Patent also states: "Those versed in the art will readily appreciate that according to the invention, it is desired to neutralize this change, since it has occurred solely due to the fact that other entries have been affected (i.e. entries 7 to 9). It is accordingly an object of the invention to give rise to a situation where modifications of this kind will be modified to invariant references with the obvious consequence that they are not reflected in the difference result, thereby keeping the latter relatively compact." ('552 Patent col.10 Il.7-15 (emphasis added).) Further, the '552 Patent notes that for the particular embodiment of FIGS. 1 and 2, "the desired invariant references are accomplished by generating modified old and new programs wherein address references in entries are replaced by label marks as follows" ('552 Patent col.10 Il.47-50 (emphasis added).) The Patentee also provided its claim construction for "invariant references" as "References that are the same. See, e.g., '552 Patent 10:10-15." (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.) Thus, the vectorization process in the Wetmore '713 Patent that results in vectorized programs having table pointers with an offset are "invariant references" under the '552 Patent's label marks example and also under the Patent Owner's construction, since the table pointers with offsets will be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program. (c) generating said compact difference result utilizing at least said modified old program (vectorized new program) and modified old program (vectorized new program) and modified old program (vectorized old program) and modified old program (vectorized old program).			reducing its size as compared to a conventional
added)). The '552 Patent also states: "Those versed in the art will readily appreciate that according to the invention, it is desired to neutralize this change, since it has occurred solely due to the fact that other entries have been affected (i.e. entries 7 to 9). It is accordingly an object of the invention to give rise to a situation where modifications of this kind will be modified to invariant references with the obvious consequence that they are not reflected in the difference result, thereby keeping the latter relatively compact." ('552 Patent col.10 Il.7-15 (emphasis added).) Further, the '552 Patent notes that for the particular embodiment of FIGS. 1 and 2, "the desired invariant references are accomplished by generating modified old and new programs wherein address references in entries are replaced by label marks as follows" ('552 Patent col.10 Il.47-50 (emphasis added).) The Patentee also provided its claim construction for "invariant references" as "References that are the same. See, e.g., '552 Patent 10:10-15." (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.) Thus, the vectorization process in the Wetmore '713 Patent that results in vectorized programs having table pointers with an offset are "invariant references" under the '552 Patent's label marks example and also under the Patent Owner's construction, since the table pointers with offsets will be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program. (c) generating said compact difference result utilizing at least said modified old program (vectorized new program) and modified old program (vectorized old program) and modified old program (vectorized old program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.10 1.62—col.11			difference result obtained by using hitherto known
the art will readily appreciate that according to the invention, it is desired to neutralize this change, since it has occurred solely due to the fact that other entries have been affected (i.e. entries 7 to 9). It is accordingly an object of the invention to give rise to a situation where modifications of this kind will be modified to invariant references with the obvious consequence that they are not reflected in the difference result, thereby keeping the latter relatively compact." ('552 Patent col.10 II.7-15 (emphasis added).) Further, the '552 Patent notes that for the particular embodiment of FIGS. 1 and 2, "the desired invariant references are accomplished by generating modified old and new programs wherein address references in entries are replaced by label marks as follows" ('552 Patent col.10 II.47-50 (emphasis added).) The Patentee also provided its claim construction for "invariant references" as "References that are the same. See, e.g., '552 Patent 10:10-15." (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.) Thus, the vectorization process in the Wetmore '713 Patent that results in vectorized programs having table pointers with offsets will be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program. (c) generating said compact difference result utilizing at least said modified at east said modified new program and diffeed old program (vectorized old program) and modified new program (vectorized new program) and modified old program (vectorized old program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.10 I.62—col.11			techniques." ('552 Patent. col.3 11.36-46 (emphasis
invention, it is desired to neutralize this change, since it has occurred solely due to the fact that other entries have been affected (i.e. entries 7 to 9). It is accordingly an object of the invention to give rise to a situation where modifications of this kind will be modified to invariant references with the obvious consequence that they are not reflected in the difference result, thereby keeping the latter relatively compact." ('552 Patent col.10 Il.7-15 (emphasis added).) Further, the '552 Patent notes that for the particular embodiment of FIGS. 1 and 2, "the desired invariant references are accomplished by generating modified old and new programs wherein address references in entries are replaced by label marks as follows" ('552 Patent col.10 Il.47-50 (emphasis added).) The Patentee also provided its claim construction for "invariant references" as "References that are the same. See, e.g., '552 Patent 10:10-15." (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.) Thus, the vectorization process in the Wetmore '713 Patent that results in vectorized programs having table pointers with on offset are "invariant references" under the Patent Owner's construction, since the table pointers with offset will be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program. The Wetmore '713 Patent generates the compact difference result (the vector patch resource) utilizing at least said modified of the program and modified old program (vectorized old program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.10 I.62—col.11			
has occurred solely due to the fact that other entries have been affected (i.e. entries 7 to 9). It is accordingly an object of the invention to give rise to a situation where modifications of this kind will be modified to invariant references with the obvious consequence that they are not reflected in the difference result, thereby keeping the latter relatively compact." ('552 Patent col.10 Il.7-15 (emphasis added).) Further, the '552 Patent notes that for the particular embodiment of FIGS. 1 and 2, "the desired invariant references are accomplished by generating modified old and new programs wherein address references in entries are replaced by label marks as follows" ('552 Patent col.10 Il.47-50 (emphasis added).) The Patentee also provided its claim construction for "invariant references" as "References that are the same. See, e.g., '552 Patent 10:10-15." (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.) Thus, the vectorization process in the Wetmore '713 Patent that results in vectorized programs having table pointers with an offset are "invariant references" under the '552 Patent's label marks example and also under the Patent Owner's construction, since the table pointers with offsets will be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program. (c) generating said compact difference result utilizing at least said modified new program (vectorized new program) and modified old program (vectorized old program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.10 1.62—col.11			the art will readily appreciate that according to the
have been affected (<i>i.e.</i> entries 7 to 9). It is accordingly an object of the invention to give rise to a situation where modifications of this kind will be modified to <i>invariant references</i> with the obvious consequence that they are not reflected in the difference result, thereby keeping the latter relatively compact." ('552 Patent col.10 Il.7-15 (emphasis added).) Further, the '552 Patent notes that for the particular embodiment of FIGS. 1 and 2, "the desired <i>invariant references</i> are accomplished by generating modified old and new programs wherein address references in entries are replaced by label marks as follows" ('552 Patent col.10 Il.47-50 (emphasis added).) The Patentee also provided its claim construction for "invariant references" as "References that are the same. <i>See, e.g.</i> , '552 Patent 10:10-15." (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.) Thus, the vectorization process in the Wetmore '713 Patent that results in vectorized programs having table pointers with an offset are "invariant references" under the '552 Patent's label marks example and also under the Patent Owner's construction, since the table pointers with offsets will be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program. (c) generating said compact difference result utilizing at least said modified new program and modified old program (vectorized new program) and modified old program (vectorized old program) and modified old program (vectorized old program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.10 I.62—col.11	,		invention, it is desired to neutralize this change, since it
an object of the invention to give rise to a situation where modifications of this kind will be modified to invariant references with the obvious consequence that they are not reflected in the difference result, thereby keeping the latter relatively compact." ('552 Patent col.10 II.7-15 (emphasis added).) Further, the '552 Patent notes that for the particular embodiment of FIGS. 1 and 2, "the desired invariant references are accomplished by generating modified old and new programs wherein address references in entries are replaced by label marks as follows" ('552 Patent col.10 II.47-50 (emphasis added).) The Patentee also provided its claim construction for "invariant references" as "References that are the same. See, e.g., '552 Patent 10:10-15." (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.) Thus, the vectorization process in the Wetmore '713 Patent that results in vectorized programs having table pointers with an offset are "invariant references" under the '552 Patent's label marks example and also under the Patent Owner's construction, since the table pointers with offsets will be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program. (c) generating said compact difference result utilizing at least the modified new program (vectorized new program) and modified old program (vectorized old program) and modified old program (vectorized old program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.10 1.62—col.11			has occurred solely due to the fact that other entries
where modifications of this kind will be modified to invariant references with the obvious consequence that they are not reflected in the difference result, thereby keeping the latter relatively compact." ('552 Patent col.10 ll.7-15 (emphasis added).) Further, the '552 Patent notes that for the particular embodiment of FIGS. 1 and 2, "the desired invariant references are accomplished by generating modified old and new programs wherein address references in entries are replaced by label marks as follows" ('552 Patent col.10 ll.47-50 (emphasis added).) The Patentee also provided its claim construction for "invariant references" as "References that are the same. See, e.g., '552 Patent 10:10-15." (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.) Thus, the vectorization process in the Wetmore '713 Patent that results in vectorized programs having table pointers with an offset are "invariant references" under the '552 Patent's label marks example and also under the Patent Owner's construction, since the table pointers with offsets will be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program. (c) generating said compact difference result utilizing at least said modified new program and wolffied old program (vectorized new program) and modified old program (vectorized old program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.10 l.62—col.11			
invariant references with the obvious consequence that they are not reflected in the difference result, thereby keeping the latter relatively compact." ('552 Patent col.10 Il.7-15 (emphasis added).) Further, the "552 Patent notes that for the particular embodiment of FIGS. 1 and 2, "the desired invariant references are accomplished by generating modified old and new programs wherein address references in entries are replaced by label marks as follows" ('552 Patent col.10 Il.47-50 (emphasis added).) The Patentee also provided its claim construction for "invariant references" as "References that are the same. See, e.g., '552 Patent 10:10-15." (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.) Thus, the vectorization process in the Wetmore '713 Patent that results in vectorized programs having table pointers with an offset are "invariant references" under the '552 Patent's label marks example and also under the Patent Owner's construction, since the table pointers with offsets will be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program. (c) generating said compact difference result utilizing at least said modified new program (vectorized old program) and modified old program (vectorized old program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.10 l.62—col.11			
they are not reflected in the difference result, thereby keeping the latter relatively compact." ('552 Patent col.10 Il.7-15 (emphasis added).) Further, the '552 Patent notes that for the particular embodiment of FIGS. 1 and 2, "the desired <i>invariant references</i> are accomplished by generating modified old and new programs wherein address references in entries are replaced by label marks as follows" ('552 Patent col.10 Il.47-50 (emphasis added).) The Patentee also provided its claim construction for "invariant references" as "References that are the same. See, e.g., '552 Patent 10:10-15." (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.) Thus, the vectorization process in the Wetmore '713 Patent that results in vectorized programs having table pointers with an offset are "invariant references" under the '552 Patent's label marks example and also under the Patent Owner's construction, since the table pointers with offsets will be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program. (c) generating said compact difference result utilizing at least difference result utilizing at least said modified new program and modified old program (vectorized old program) and modified old program (vectorized old program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.10 1.62—col.11			
keeping the latter relatively compact." ('552 Patent col.10 II.7-15 (emphasis added).) Further, the '552 Patent notes that for the particular embodiment of FIGS. 1 and 2, "the desired <i>invariant references</i> are accomplished by generating modified old and new programs wherein address references in entries are replaced by label marks as follows" ('552 Patent col.10 II.47-50 (emphasis added).) The Patentee also provided its claim construction for "invariant references" as "References that are the same. <i>See, e.g.</i> , '552 Patent 10:10-15." (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.) Thus, the vectorization process in the Wetmore '713 Patent that results in vectorized programs having table pointers with an offset are "invariant references" under the '552 Patent's label marks example and also under the Patent Owner's construction, since the table pointers with offsets will be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program. (c) generating said compact difference result utilizing at least said modified new program and modified old program (vectorized old program) and modified old program (vectorized old program) and modified old program (vectorized old program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.10 1.62—col.11			they are not reflected in the difference result thereby
col.10 ll.7-15 (emphasis added).) Further, the '552 Patent notes that for the particular embodiment of FIGS. 1 and 2, "the desired invariant references are accomplished by generating modified old and new programs wherein address references in entries are replaced by label marks as follows" ('552 Patent col.10 ll.47-50 (emphasis added).) The Patentee also provided its claim construction for "invariant references" as "References that are the same. See, e.g., '552 Patent 10:10-15." (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.) Thus, the vectorization process in the Wetmore '713 Patent that results in vectorized programs having table pointers with an offset are "invariant references" under the '552 Patent's label marks example and also under the Patent Owner's construction, since the table pointers with offsets will be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program. (c) generating said compact difference result utilizing at least said modified new program and (c) generating said compact difference result utilizing at least said modified new program) and modified old program (vectorized old program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.10 l.62—col.11			keeping the latter relatively compact " ('552 Patent
'552 Patent notes that for the particular embodiment of FIGS. 1 and 2, "the desired invariant references are accomplished by generating modified old and new programs wherein address references in entries are replaced by label marks as follows" ('552 Patent col.10 ll.47-50 (emphasis added).) The Patentee also provided its claim construction for "invariant references" as "References that are the same. See, e.g., '552 Patent 10:10-15." (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.) Thus, the vectorization process in the Wetmore '713 Patent that results in vectorized programs having table pointers with an offset are "invariant references" under the '752 Patent's label marks example and also under the Patent Owner's construction, since the table pointers with offsets will be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program. (c) generating said compact difference result utilizing at least said modified new program (vectorized new program) and modified old program (vectorized old program) and modified old program (vectorized old program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.10 l.62—col.11			col 10 ll 7-15 (emphasis added)) Further, the
FIGS. 1 and 2, "the desired invariant references are accomplished by generating modified old and new programs wherein address references in entries are replaced by label marks as follows" ('552 Patent col.10 ll.47-50 (emphasis added).) The Patentee also provided its claim construction for "invariant references" as "References that are the same. See, e.g., '552 Patent 10:10-15." (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.) Thus, the vectorization process in the Wetmore '713 Patent that results in vectorized programs having table pointers with an offset are "invariant references" under the '552 Patent's label marks example and also under the Patent Owner's construction, since the table pointers with offsets will be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program. (c) generating said compact difference result utilizing at least said modified new program (vectorized new program) and modified old program (vectorized old program) (wettorized old program) (wettorized old program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.10 l.62—col.11			
accomplished by generating modified old and new programs wherein address references in entries are replaced by label marks as follows" ('552 Patent col.10 ll.47-50 (emphasis added).) The Patentee also provided its claim construction for "invariant references" as "References that are the same. See, e.g., '552 Patent 10:10-15." (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.) Thus, the vectorization process in the Wetmore '713 Patent that results in vectorized programs having table pointers with an offset are "invariant references" under the '552 Patent's label marks example and also under the Patent Owner's construction, since the table pointers with offsets will be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program. (c) generating said compact difference result utilizing at least said modified new program and vectorized new program) and modified old program (vectorized old program) and modified old program (vectorized old program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.10 l.62—col.11			
programs wherein address references in entries are replaced by label marks as follows" ('552 Patent col.10 ll.47-50 (emphasis added).) The Patentee also provided its claim construction for "invariant references" as "References that are the same. See, e.g., '552 Patent 10:10-15." (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.) Thus, the vectorization process in the Wetmore '713 Patent that results in vectorized programs having table pointers with an offset are "invariant references" under the '552 Patent's label marks example and also under the Patent Owner's construction, since the table pointers with offsets will be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program. (c) generating said compact difference result utilizing at least said modified new program and modified old program (vectorized new program) and modified old program (vectorized old program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.10 l.62—col.11			accomplished by generating modified old and new
replaced by label marks as follows" ('552 Patent col.10 ll.47-50 (emphasis added).) The Patentee also provided its claim construction for "invariant references" as "References that are the same. See, e.g., '552 Patent 10:10-15." (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.) Thus, the vectorization process in the Wetmore '713 Patent that results in vectorized programs having table pointers with an offset are "invariant references" under the '552 Patent's label marks example and also under the Patent Owner's construction, since the table pointers with offsets will be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program. (c) generating said compact difference result utilizing at least said modified new program and westorized new program (vectorized new program) and modified old program (vectorized old program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.10 l.62—col.11			programs wherein address references in entries are
col.10 ll.47-50 (emphasis added).) The Patentee also provided its claim construction for "invariant references" as "References that are the same. See, e.g., '552 Patent 10:10-15." (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.) Thus, the vectorization process in the Wetmore '713 Patent that results in vectorized programs having table pointers with an offset are "invariant references" under the '552 Patent's label marks example and also under the Patent Owner's construction, since the table pointers with offsets will be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program. (c) generating said compact difference result utilizing at least said modified new program and wordified new program (vectorized new program) and modified old program (vectorized old program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.10 l.62—col.11			
provided its claim construction for "invariant references" as "References that are the same. See, e.g., '552 Patent 10:10-15." (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.) Thus, the vectorization process in the Wetmore '713 Patent that results in vectorized programs having table pointers with an offset are "invariant references" under the '552 Patent's label marks example and also under the Patent Owner's construction, since the table pointers with offsets will be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program. (c) generating said compact difference result utilizing at least said modified new program (vectorized new program) and modified old program (vectorized old program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.10 1.62—col.11			col.10 ll.47-50 (emphasis added).) The Patentee also
references" as "References that are the same. See, e.g., '552 Patent 10:10-15." (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.) Thus, the vectorization process in the Wetmore '713 Patent that results in vectorized programs having table pointers with an offset are "invariant references" under the '552 Patent's label marks example and also under the Patent Owner's construction, since the table pointers with offsets will be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program. (c) generating said compact difference result utilizing at least said modified new program and (c) generating said compact difference result utilizing at least said modified new program) and modified old program (vectorized old program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.10 l.62—col.11			provided its claim construction for "invariant
'552 Patent 10:10-15." (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.) Thus, the vectorization process in the Wetmore '713 Patent that results in vectorized programs having table pointers with an offset are "invariant references" under the '552 Patent's label marks example and also under the Patent Owner's construction, since the table pointers with offsets will be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program. (c) generating said compact difference result utilizing at least said modified new program and vectorized new program (vectorized new program) and modified old program (vectorized old program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.10 1.62—col.11			references" as "References that are the same. See, e.g.,
'713 Patent that results in vectorized programs having table pointers with an offset are "invariant references" under the '552 Patent's label marks example and also under the Patent Owner's construction, since the table pointers with offsets will be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program. (c) generating said compact difference result utilizing at least said modified new program and vectorized new program (vectorized new program) and modified old program (vectorized old program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.10 1.62—col.11			'552 Patent 10:10-15." (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.)
'713 Patent that results in vectorized programs having table pointers with an offset are "invariant references" under the '552 Patent's label marks example and also under the Patent Owner's construction, since the table pointers with offsets will be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program. (c) generating said compact difference result utilizing at least said modified new program and vectorized new program (vectorized new program) and modified old program (vectorized old program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.10 1.62—col.11			Thus the vectorization process in the Wetman
table pointers with an offset are "invariant references" under the '552 Patent's label marks example and also under the Patent Owner's construction, since the table pointers with offsets will be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program. (c) generating said compact difference result utilizing at least said modified new program and vectorized new program (vectorized new program) and modified old program (vectorized old program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.10 1.62—col.11			1 mus, the vectorization process in the wethore
under the '552 Patent's label marks example and also under the Patent Owner's construction, since the table pointers with offsets will be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program. (c) generating said compact difference result utilizing at least said modified new program and vectorized new program (vectorized new program) and modified old program (vectorized old program) and modified old program (vectorized old program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.10 1.62—col.11			table pointers with an offset are "inverient references"
under the Patent Owner's construction, since the table pointers with offsets will be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program. (c) generating said compact difference result utilizing at least said modified new program and vectorized new program (vectorized new program) and modified old program (vectorized old program) and modified old program (vectorized old program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.10 1.62—col.11			under the 1552 Detent's label marks example and also
pointers with offsets will be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program. (c) generating said compact difference result utilizing at least said modified new program and vectorized new program (vectorized new program) and modified old program (vectorized old program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.10 1.62—col.11			under the Datent Owner's construction since the table
(c) generating said compact difference result utilizing at least said modified new program and compact and program and vectorized new program. The Wetmore '713 Patent generates the compact difference result (the vector patch resource) utilizing at least the modified new program (vectorized new program) and modified old program (vectorized old program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.10 1.62—col.11			nointers with offsets will be "the same" in both the
(c) generating said compact difference result utilizing at least said modified new program and (c) generating said compact difference result (the vector patch resource) utilizing at least the modified new program (vectorized new program) and modified old program (vectorized old program). (Wetmore '713 Patent generates the compact difference result (the vector patch resource) utilizing at least the modified new program (vectorized old program).			vectorized old program and vectorized new program
compact difference result utilizing at least said modified new program and said modified new program and said modified new program and said modified new program) and modified old program (vectorized old program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.10 1.62—col.11	(c) generating said	(c) generating	
result utilizing at least said modified new program and difference result utilizing at least said modified new program and said modified new program) and modified old program (vectorized new program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.10 1.62—col.11			difference result (the vector patch resource) utilizing at
least said modified new program and utilizing at least said modified new program and said modified new program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.10 l.62—col.11			
new program and said modified new program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.10 1.62—col.11			
	I		program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.10 1.62—col.11
modified old program and 1.12.)		program and	1.12.)

Claim Element	Claim Element	The Wetmore '713 Patent
program.	modified old	
,	program.	

Claim Element	Claim Element	The Wetmore '713 Patent
42. A method for generating a compact difference result between an old data table and a new data table; each data table including reference entries that contain reference that refer to other entries in the data table; the method comprising the	55. A system for generating a compact difference result between an old data table and a new data table; each data table including reference entries that contain reference that refer to other entries in the data table; the system comprising a	As discussed in more detail in each step below, the Wetmore '713 Patent generates a compact difference result (vector patch resource) between an old data table (old non-vectorized program) and a new data table (new non-vectorized program). Each data table (old and new non-vectorized programs) includes reference entries ("jump" entry points) that contain references that refer to other entries in the data table. Data table: The '552 Patent defines a "data table" as "a table of entries, each may have a different size." ('552 Patent col.2 ll.33-34.) The '552 Patent also explains that "a data table can be an executable program either as a loaded program in machine-memory or as an executable-file. In this
steps of:	processing device capable of:	example, entries are individual machine instructions of the program or the individual data elements used by the program." ('552 Patent col.2 ll.61-65.) The Patentee also provided its claim construction for "data table" as "a table of entries, where an entry is an addressable unit within the data table. An executable program is one example of a data table. See, e.g., '552 Patent 2:33-36; 2:61-63." (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.) Thus, the ROM based code of the Wetmore '713 Patent qualifies as a data table. Reference entry: The '552 Patent states in the
		Glossary that "Entries that include references are designated also as reference entries." ('552 Patent col.2 ll.46-47.) The Patentee also provided its claim construction for "reference entry" as "An addressable unit containing data that includes a reference. See, e.g., '552 Patent 2:35-36; 2:46-47." (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.) In Wetmore, the entry points (304) may be "jump[s] to a label somewhere else in the ROM code." (Wetmore '713 Patent col.5 ll.38-39.) Under the '552 Patent's definition of "reference entries," the Wetmore '713 Patent's "jump" entry points (reference entries) include references to labels somewhere else in the ROM code.
		Reference: A "reference" is defined in the '552 Patent to be "a part of the data appearing in an entry in the data table which is used to refer to some other entry from the same data table. A reference can be either an address or a number used to compute an address." ('552 Patent col.2 ll.42-45.) The Patentee also provided the identical claim construction for

Claim Element	Claim Element	The Wetmore '713 Patent
		"reference" as "Part of the data appearing in an entry in the data table which is used to refer to some other entry from the same data table. A reference can be either an address or a number used to compute an address. <i>See</i> , <i>e.g.</i> , '552 Patent 2:42-45." (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.) Under this definition, in the Wetmore '713 Patent, all of the jump entry points in the old non-vectorized program would be all of the references.
		Entry: The '552 Patent states in the Glossary that "a data table includes entries, each of which is an addressable unit that contains data." ('552 Patent col.2 ll.35-36.)
(a) generating a modified old data table utilizing at least said old data table; (b) generating a modified new data table utilizing at least said new data table,	(a) generating a modified old data table utilizing at least said old data table; (b) generating a modified new data table utilizing at least said new data table,	During vectorization, the Wetmore '713 Patent generates a modified old data table (vectorized old program) utilizing at least the old data table (the old non-vectorized program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.4 ll.38-39; col.5 ll.1-3, 10-17, 18-31; FIG. 4.) During vectorization, the Wetmore '713 Patent generates a modified new data table (vectorized new program) utilizing at least the new data table (the new non-vectorized program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.4 ll.38-39; col.5 ll.1-3, 10-17; col.10 ll.6-14, col.11
said modified old data table and modified new data table have at least the following characteristics:	said modified old data table and modified new data table have at least the following characteristics:	Il.2-12; FIG. 4.) The modified old data table (vectorized old program) and modified new data table (vectorized new program) have at least the following characteristics:
(i) substantially each reference in an entry in said old data table that is different than corresponding entry in said new data table due to delete/insert modifications that form part of the transition between said old data table and new data table are reflected as invariant references in the corresponding entries in said modified old and modified new data tables;	(i) substantially each reference in an entry in said old data table that is different than corresponding entry in said new data table due to delete/insert modifications that form part of the transition between said old data table and new data table are reflected as invariant references in the corresponding entries in said modified old and modified new data tables;	Substantially each reference (the location in the ROM code in the jump entry point) in an entry (in each "jump" entry point) in the old data table (the old non-vectorized program) that is different than corresponding entry in said new data table (the new non-vectorized program) due to delete/insert modifications that form part of the transition between the old data table and new data table (e.g., routines in the Wetmore '713 Patent can be deleted or inserted), are reflected as invariant references (table pointers with an offset) in the corresponding entries in the modified old and modified new data tables. (Wetmore '713 Patent col.5 ll.18-56; col.6 l.45 – col.8 l.52; FIGS. 3-5.) Invariant references: Invariant references are discussed in the '552 Patent and an example is given providing invariant references by generating modified old and new programs wherein the address references in entries are replaced by label marks. Namely, the '552 Patent states that: "the invention aims at generating a modified old program and a modified new program, wherein the difference in references in

Claim Element	Claim Element	The Wetmore '713 Patent
Claim Element	Claim Element	The Wetmore '713 Patent corresponding entries in said new and old programs as explained above, will be reflected as <i>invariant entries</i> in the modified old and new programs. The net effect is that the <i>invariant reference entries</i> (between the modified old program and the modified new program), will not appear in the difference result, thereby reducing its size as compared to a conventional difference result obtained by using hitherto known techniques." ('552 Patent. col.3 ll.36-46 (emphasis added)). The '552 Patent also states: "Those versed in the art will readily appreciate that according to the invention, it is desired to neutralize this change, since it has occurred solely due to the fact that other entries have been affected (<i>i.e.</i> entries 7 to 9). It is accordingly an object of the invention to give rise to a situation where modifications of this kind will be modified to <i>invariant references</i> with the obvious consequence that they are not reflected in the difference result, thereby keeping the latter relatively compact." ('552 Patent. col.10 ll.7-15.) Further, the '552 Patent notes that for the particular embodiment of FIGS. 1 and 2, "the desired <i>invariant references</i> are accomplished by generating modified old and new programs wherein address references in entries are replaced by label marks as follows" ('552 Patent. col.10 ll.47-50.) The Patentee also provided its claim construction for "invariant references" as "References that are the same. <i>See, e.g.</i> , '552 Patent 10:10-15." (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.) Thus, the vectorization process in the Wetmore '713 Patent that results in vectorized programs (data table) having table pointers with offsets are "invariant references" under the '552 Patent's label marks example and also under the Patent Owner's construction, since the table pointers with offset will
		be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program.
(c) generating said compact difference result utilizing at least said modified new data table and modified old data table.	(c) generating said compact difference result utilizing at least said modified new data table and modified old data table.	The Wetmore '713 Patent generates the compact difference result (the vector patch resource) utilizing at least the modified new data table (vectorized new program) and modified old data table (vectorized old program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.10 l.62—col.11 l.12.)

Obviousness Based On The Wetmore '713 Patent Alone

Set 2: Server-Side Independent Claims 8, 21, 42, And 55:

Set 2: Server-Side Independent Claims 8, 21, 42, And 55:		
Claim Element	Claim Element	The Wetmore '713 Patent
8. A method for generating a compact difference result between an old executable program and a new executable program; each program including reference entries that contain reference that refer to other entries in the program; the method comprising the steps of:	21. A system for generating a compact difference result between an old executable program and a new executable program; each program including reference entries that contain reference that refer to other entries in the program; the system comprising a processing device capable of:	As discussed in more detail in each step below, the Wetmore '713 Patent generates a compact difference result (vector patch resource) between an old executable program (old non-vectorized program) and a new executable program (new non-vectorized program). Each program (old and new non-vectorized programs) includes reference entries ("jump" entry points) that contain references that refer to other entries in the program.
(a) generating a modified old program utilizing at least said old program; (b) generating a modified new program utilizing at least said new program,	(a) generating a modified old program utilizing at least said old program; (b) generating a modified new program utilizing at least said new program,	During vectorization, the Wetmore '713 Patent generates a modified old program (vectorized old program) utilizing at least the old program (the old non-vectorized program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.4 ll.38-39; col.5 ll.1-3, 10-17, 18-31; FIG. 4.) During vectorization, the Wetmore '713 Patent generates a modified new program (vectorized new program) utilizing at least the new program (the new non-vectorized program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.4 ll.38-39; col.5 ll.1-3, 10-17; col.10 ll.6-14, col.11 ll.2-12; FIG. 4.)
said modified old program and modified new program have at least the following characteristics:	said modified old program and modified new program have at least the following characteristics:	The modified old program (vectorized old program) and modified new program (vectorized new program) have at least the following characteristics:
(i) substantially each reference in an entry in said old program that is different than corresponding entry in said new program due to delete/insert modifications that form part of the transition between	(i) substantially each reference in an entry in said old program that is different than corresponding entry in said new program due to delete/insert modifications that form part of the transition between	To the extent that it is asserted or determined that the Wetmore '713 Patent does not disclose reflecting "substantially each reference in an entry" as invariant references, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the alleged invention of the '552 Patent to have done so. In the Wetmore '713 Patent, when the entry points (304) are jumps to labels somewhere else in the ROM code, they will be replaced by references to a table (table pointers) in RAM with an offset. However, reference to entry points (303), which themselves point to entry points (304), are not expressly disclosed as

Claim Flamont	Claim Element	The Wetmore '713 Patent
Claim Element	said old program	being replaced or modified because there is no need to
said old program and new program	and new program	change reference to entry points (303) as they would
are reflected as	are reflected as	not change when updating the ROM program and thus
invariant references	invariant	do not need to be accounted for as would normal lines
in the	references in the	of code with internal references to other lines of code.
corresponding	corresponding	Rather, any code that may need to be changed is
entries in said	entries in said	already moved out into RAM and referenced by the
modified old and	modified old and	entry point (304) replaced by the table pointer and
modified new	modified new	offset. These reference to entry points (303) are
programs;	programs;	therefore akin to invariant references as discussed in
		the '552 Patent wherein the "net effect is that the
		invariant reference entries will not appear in the
		difference result." ('552 Patent col.3 ll.40 43.)
		Moreover, the Wetmore '713 Patent also discloses 'modification of existing vector table entries
		(replacing a routine), adding new vector table entries
		(adding new routines to an existing function) or adding
		a new vector table (adding new routines for new
		functions). (Wetmore '713 Patent col. 3, lines 9 13;
		FIGS.7A, 7B). Thus, the Wetmore '713 Patent's
		handling of vectorization and replacement of references
		is all done with respect to insert/delete type
		modifications like the '552 Patent, and is analogous to
		only replacing substantially all references when there
		are insert/delete modifications like in the '552 Patent.
		Thus, in view of the Wetmore '713 Patent, it
		would have been obvious for substantially each
*		reference (the location in the ROM code in the jump entry point) in an entry (in each "jump" entry point) in
		the old program (the old non-vectorized program) that
		is different than corresponding entry in said new
		program (the new non-vectorized program) due to
		delete/insert modifications that form part of the
		transition between the old program and new program
		(e.g., routines in the Wetmore '713 Patent can be
		deleted or inserted), to be reflected as invariant
		references (table pointers with an offset) in the
		corresponding entries in the modified old and modified
		new programs. (Wetmore '713 Patent col.5 ll.18-56;
		col.6 1.45 – col.8 1.52; FIGS. 3-5.)
		Invariant references: Invariant references are
		discussed in the '552 Patent and an example is given of
		providing <i>invariant references</i> by generating modified
		old and new programs wherein the address references
		in entries are replaced by label marks. Namely, the
		'552 Patent states that: "the invention aims at
		generating a modified old program and a modified new
		program, wherein the difference in references in
		corresponding entries in said new and old programs as
		explained above, will be reflected as invariant entries
		in the modified old and new programs. The net effect is
		that the invariant reference entries (between the
		modified old program and the modified new program),

Claim Element	Claim Element	The Wetmore '713 Patent
Claim Element	Claim Element	will not appear in the difference result, thereby reducing its size as compared to a conventional difference result obtained by using hitherto known techniques." ('552 Patent. col.3 ll.36-46 (emphasis added)). The '552 Patent also states: "Those versed in the art will readily appreciate that according to the invention, it is desired to neutralize this change, since it has occurred solely due to the fact that other entries have been affected (<i>i.e.</i> entries 7 to 9). It is accordingly an object of the invention to give rise to a situation where modifications of this kind will be modified to <i>invariant references</i> with the obvious consequence that they are not reflected in the difference result, thereby keeping the latter relatively compact." ('552 Patent col.10 ll.7-15 (emphasis added).) Further, the '552 Patent notes that for the particular embodiment of FIGS. 1 and 2, "the desired <i>invariant references</i> are accomplished by generating modified old and new programs wherein address references in entries are replaced by label marks as follows" ('552 Patent col.10 ll.47-50 (emphasis added).) The Patentee also provided its claim construction for "invariant"
(c) generating said compact difference result utilizing at least said modified new program and modified old program.	(c) generating said compact difference result utilizing at least said modified new program and modified old program.	references" as "References that are the same. See, e.g., '552 Patent 10:10-15." (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.) Thus, the vectorization process in the Wetmore '713 Patent that results in vectorized programs having table pointers with an offset are "invariant references" under the '552 Patent's label marks example and also under the Patent Owner's construction, since the table pointers with offsets will be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program. The Wetmore '713 Patent generates the compact difference result (the vector patch resource) utilizing at least the modified new program (vectorized new program) and modified old program (vectorized old program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.10 l.62—col.11 l.12.)

Claim Element	Claim Element	The Wetmore '713 Patent
42. A method for	55. A system for	As discussed in more detail in each step below,
generating a	generating a	the Wetmore '713 Patent generates a compact
compact	compact	difference result (vector patch resource) between an
difference result	difference result	old data table (old non-vectorized program) and a
between an old	between an old	new data table (new non-vectorized program). Each
data table and a	data table and a	data table (old and new non-vectorized programs)
new data table;	new data table;	includes reference entries ("jump" entry points) that
each data table	each data table	contain references that refer to other entries in the
including	including	data table.
reference entries	reference entries	
that contain	that contain	Data table: The '552 Patent defines a "data

Claim Element	Claim Element	The Wetmore '713 Patent
reference that refer	reference that	table" as "a table of entries, each may have a different
to other entries in	refer to other	size." ('552 Patent col.2 ll.33-34.) The '552 Patent
the data table; the	entries in the data	also explains that "a data table can be an executable
method	table; the system	program either as a loaded program in
comprising the	comprising a	machine-memory or as an executable-file. In this
steps of:	processing device	example, entries are individual machine instructions of
	capable of:	the program or the individual data elements used by
	_	the program." ('552 Patent col.2 ll.61-65.) The
		Patentee also provided its claim construction for "data
		table" as "a table of entries, where an entry is an
		addressable unit within the data table. An executable
		program is one example of a data table. See, e.g.,
		552 Patent 2:33-36; 2:61-63." (Edwards Decl. Exh.
		A.) Thus, the ROM based code of the Wetmore
		'713 Patent qualifies as a data table.
		Reference entry: The '552 Patent states in the
		Glossary that "Entries that include references are
		designated also as reference entries." ('552 Patent
		col.2 ll.46-47.) The Patentee also provided its claim
		construction for "reference entry" as "An addressable
		unit containing data that includes a reference. See,
		e.g., '552 Patent 2:35-36; 2:46-47." (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.) In Wetmore, the entry points (304) may be
		"jump[s] to a label somewhere else in the ROM code."
	6-1-1-3	(Wetmore '713 Patent col.5 Il.38-39.) Under the
		'552 Patent's definition of "reference entries," the
		Wetmore '713 Patent's "jump" entry points (reference
		entries) include references to labels somewhere else in
		the ROM code.
		Reference: A "reference" is defined in the
		'552 Patent to be "a part of the data appearing in an
		entry in the data table which is used to refer to some
		other entry from the same data table. A reference can
		be either an address or a number used to compute an
		address." ('552 Patent col.2 ll.42-45.) The Patentee
		also provided the identical claim construction for
		"reference" as "Part of the data appearing in an entry
		in the data table which is used to refer to some other
		entry from the same data table. A reference can be either an address or a number used to compute an
		address. See, e.g., '552 Patent 2:42-45." (Edwards
		Decl. Exh. A.) Under this definition, in the Wetmore
		'713 Patent, all of the jump entry points in the old
		non-vectorized program would be all of the references.
		Entry: The '552 Patent states in the Glossary
		that "a data table includes entries, each of which is an
		addressable unit that contains data." ('552 Patent col.2
		11.35-36.)
(a) generating a	(a) generating a	During vectorization, the Wetmore '713 Patent
modified old data	modified old data	generates a modified old data table (vectorized old
table utilizing at	table utilizing at	program) utilizing at least the old data table (the old

Claim Element	Claim Element	The Wetmore '713 Patent
least said old data	least said old data	non-vectorized program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.4
table;	table;	ll.38-39; col.5 ll.1-3, 10-17, 18-31; FIG. 4.)
(b) generating a modified new data table utilizing at least said new data table,	(b) generating a modified new data table utilizing at least said new data table,	During vectorization, the Wetmore '713 Patent generates a modified new data table (vectorized new program) utilizing at least the new data table (the new non-vectorized program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.4 ll.38-39; col.5 ll.1-3, 10-17; col.10 ll.6-14, col.11 ll.2-12; FIG. 4.)
said modified old data table and modified new data table have at least the following characteristics:	said modified old data table and modified new data table have at least the following characteristics:	The modified old data table (vectorized old program) and modified new data table (vectorized new program) have at least the following characteristics:
(i) substantially each reference in an entry in said old data table that is different than corresponding entry in said new data table due to delete/insert modifications that form part of the transition between said old data table and new data table are reflected as invariant references in the corresponding entries in said modified old and modified new data tables;	(i) substantially each reference in an entry in said old data table that is different than corresponding entry in said new data table due to delete/insert modifications that form part of the transition between said old data table and new data table are reflected as invariant references in the corresponding entries in said modified old and modified new data tables;	To the extent that it is asserted or determined that the Wetmore '713 Patent does not disclose reflecting "substantially each reference in an entry" as invariant references, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the alleged invention of the '552 Patent to have done so. In the Wetmore '713 Patent, when the entry points (304) are jumps to labels somewhere else in the ROM code, they will be replaced by references to a table (table pointers) in RAM with an offset. However, reference to entry points (303), which themselves point to entry points (304), are not expressly disclosed as being replaced or modified because there is no need to change reference to entry points (303) as they would not change when updating the ROM program and thus do not need to be accounted for as would normal lines of code with internal references to other lines of code. Rather, any code that may need to be changed is already moved out into RAM and referenced by the entry point (304) replaced by the table pointer and offset. These reference to entry points (303) are therefore akin to invariant references as discussed in the '552 Patent wherein the "net effect is that the invariant reference entries will not appear in the difference result." ('552 Patent col.3 Il.40 43.) Moreover, the Wetmore '713 Patent also discloses "modification of existing vector table entries (replacing a routine), adding new vector table entries (adding new routines to an existing function) or adding a new vector table (adding new routines for new functions). (Wetmore '713 Patent col. 3, lines 9 13; FIGS.7A, 7B). Thus, the Wetmore '713 Patent's handling of vectorization and replacement of references is all done with respect to insert/delete type modifications like the '552 Patent, and is analogous to only replacing substantially all references when there are insert/delete modifications like in the '552 Patent. Thus, in view of the Wetmore '713 Patent, it

Claim Element	Claim Element	The Wetmore '713 Patent
		would have been obvious for substantially each reference (the location in the ROM code in the jump entry point) in an entry (in each "jump" entry point) in the old program (the old non-vectorized program) that is different than corresponding entry in said new data table (the new non-vectorized program) due to delete/insert modifications that form part of the transition between the old data table and new data table (e.g., routines in the Wetmore '713 Patent can be deleted or inserted), to be reflected as invariant references (table pointers with an offset) in the corresponding entries in the modified old and modified new data tables. (Wetmore '713 Patent col.5 ll.18-56; col.6 l.45 – col.8 l.52; FIGS. 3-5.)
		Invariant references: Invariant references are discussed in the '552 Patent and an example is given providing <i>invariant references</i> by generating modified old and new programs wherein the address references in entries are replaced by label marks. Namely, the '552 Patent states that: "the invention aims at generating a modified old program and a modified new program, wherein the difference in references in corresponding entries in said new and old programs as explained above, will be reflected as <i>invariant entries</i> in the modified old and new programs. The net effect is that the <i>invariant reference entries</i> (between the modified old program and the modified new program), will not appear in the difference result, thereby reducing its size as compared to a conventional difference result obtained by using hitherto known techniques." ('552 Patent. col.3 ll.36-46 (emphasis added)). The '552 Patent also states: "Those versed in the art will readily appreciate that according to the invention, it is desired to neutralize this change, since it has occurred solely due to the fact that other entries have been affected (<i>i.e.</i> entries 7 to 9). It is accordingly an object of the invention to give rise to a situation where modifications of this kind will be modified to <i>invariant references</i> with the obvious consequence that they are not reflected in the difference result, thereby keeping the latter relatively compact." ('552 Patent. col.10 ll.7-15.) Further, the '552 Patent notes that for the particular embodiment of FIGS. 1 and 2, "the desired <i>invariant references</i> are accomplished by generating modified old and new programs wherein address references in entries are replaced by label marks as follows" ('552 Patent. col.10 ll.47-50.) The Patentee also provided its claim construction for "invariant references" as "References that are the same. <i>See</i> , <i>e.g.</i> , '552 Patent 10:10-15." (Edwards Decl. Exh. A.)
		Thus, the vectorization process in the Wetmore

Claim Element	Claim Element	The Wetmore '713 Patent
		'713 Patent that results in vectorized programs (data table) having table pointers with offsets are "invariant references" under the '552 Patent's label marks example and also under the Patent Owner's construction, since the table pointers with offset will
		be "the same" in both the vectorized old program and vectorized new program.
(c) generating said compact difference result utilizing at least said modified new data table and modified old data table.	(c) generating said compact difference result utilizing at least said modified new data table and modified old data table.	The Wetmore '713 Patent generates the compact difference result (the vector patch resource) utilizing at least the modified new data table (vectorized new program) and modified old data table (vectorized old program). (Wetmore '713 Patent col.10 l.62—col.11 l.12.)