EXHIBIT 3

CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY MARTIN G. WALKER, Ph.D.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS EASTERN DIVISION

RED BEND LTD., and RED BEND SOFTWARE INC.,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

No. 09-cv-11813-DPW

GOOGLE INC.,

Defendant.

*** CONFIDENTIAL, ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY ***

DEPOSITION OF MARTIN G. WALKER, Ph.D. March 9, 2010

Reported by:

Natalie Y. Botelho

CSR No. 9897

CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY MARTIN G. WALKER. Ph.D.

- 1 the meaning in the context of this patent.
- 2 Q. Okay. So let's just now talk for a moment
- 3 about Windows executable files. Would a Windows
- 4 executable file contain symbolic code?
- 5 A. Let's see. To be -- I think you mean --
- 6 you're referring to a Windows executable file --
- 7 Windows PE executable file format --
- 8 O. Yes.
- 9 A. -- is that right?
- 10 O. Yes.
- 11 A. I haven't considered that before. Let
- 12 me -- notes, my notes. There is some parts of the
- 13 Windows PE file format that I actually -- that I
- 14 didn't completely investigate, but of the parts that
- 15 did, there's text and data and the resources and the
- 16 reloc section that would not be symbolic.
- 17 Q. That would not be symbolic?
- 18 A. Would not be symbolic.
- 19 Q. So there are portions of the Windows PE
- format, though, that would be symbolic?
- 21 A. I don't know.
- 22 Q. So on your notes on the next page, MW 3,
- 23 at the bottom, there's a heading that says, "PE
- 24 (portable executable) file format." Do you see
- 25 that?

CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY MARTIN G. WALKER, Ph.D.

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And about three lines later, four lines
- 3 later, there's something that says, "symbol table
- 4 info." Can you explain what that is?
- 5 A. Yeah, that was -- this is actually done a
- 6 little bit before this previous page, but the
- 7 previous page, the symbol table info had to do with
- 8 this reloc section, R-E-L-O-C section, that at the
- 9 end of the day Courgette used to identify the -- all
- 10 of the absolute addresses.
- 11 Q. Okay. So in your investigation of the
- 12 Windows portable executable file format, you
- determined that, in fact, there is a symbol table in
- 14 that file format, correct?
- 15 A. It was more a list of addresses as opposed
- 16 to a symbol table.
- 17 Q. In your notes you call it a symbol table,
- 18 though, correct?
- 19 A. Yeah, that was -- that -- that was when I
- 20 was trying to figure out what it was, then the page
- 21 02 became sort of my bible for the PE file format.
- 22 Q. So what do you mean by "symbolic code" in
- 23 your declaration? What are the characteristics of
- 24 symbolic code as you use that term in your
- 25 declaration?

- 1 A. Symbolic -- symbolic code, as I use the
- 2 term, had to do with representation of the objects
- 3 in the program through the use of symbols, that
- 4 the -- whereas the executable files referred to
- 5 addresses or other -- whereas the executable files
- 6 referred to addresses or used direct references,
- 7 there is symbols used in level of indirection or a
- 8 layer of abstraction, or symbolic code would use a
- 9 layer of abstraction.
- 10 Q. Well, ex -- so executable code also uses a
- 11 layer of abstraction on occasion, correct?
- 12 A. I don't know what you mean by that.
- 13 Q. Well, let me ask you what you meant by
- 14 "representation of objects through the use of
- 15 symbols." Can you give an example of that?
- 16 A. So the representation of objects that
- instead of having a direct reference to something,
- 18 an executable -- an executable -- an executable
- 19 object doesn't -- has all of the references
- 20 presumably resolved, that is, that you don't -- that
- 21 it refers to an address. The instruction refers to
- 22 an address as opposed to refers to go off to look
- 23 something -- well, that's not quite right, but it
- 24 basically refers to -- directly refers to the
- 25 address or as opposed to some more abstract

- 1 representation.
- 2 Q. Is a relative address, in your view, an
- 3 address or a more abstract representation?
- 4 A. Relative address is part of an
- 5 instruction, so that's something that can be
- 6 executed directly. So --
- 7 Q. So would a relative address be symbolic,
- 8 in your view, or no?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. What about the name of a register; would
- 11 that be symbolic or an address?
- 12 A. Well, the -- you know, these -- so the
- 13 name of a register -- there's a one-to-one
- 14 correspondence between the name to the register --
- 15 name of a register and the code that references that
- 16 register, the bits in the code that reference that
- 17 register. So that's not symbolic then. That's just
- 18 replacement.
- 19 Q. So in the Windows portable executable file
- 20 format, what is the symbol table info that you're
- 21 referring to on MW 3?
- 22 A. When I'm looking at this, my -- that it
- 23 was referring -- my memory is that it's referring
- 24 off to the reloc table as a part of the -- as a part
- 25 of the sections.

CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY MARTIN G. WALKER. Ph.D.

- 1 Q. And sitting here today, do you have -- can
- 2 you say with certainty that the Windows portable
- 3 executable file format does not include symbolic
- 4 code, as you use that term in your declaration?
- 5 A. I can't say definitively. I mean, the
- 6 parts of it that I looked at were not symbolic.
- 7 There might be other parts that are.
- 8 Q. Sorry. Other parts that are?
- 9 A. I said there might be other parts that
- 10 could be.
- 11 Q. If a Windows file -- Windows executable
- 12 file did have symbolic code in it and that -- that
- 13 file could not be an old data table as that term is
- 14 used in the claims of the asserted patent; is that
- 15 correct?
- 16 A. Can you try the question again? I --
- 17 O. If a Windows executable file did include
- 18 symbolic code in it, such a file could not be an old
- 19 data table as that term is used in the claims of the
- asserted patent?
- 21 A. Let's see. So the difficulty that I'm
- 22 having is that there's a -- that the
- 23 representation -- the question is, is it -- if it
- 24 had some small insignificant component of symbolic
- 25 information that was just treated as pure data, not

CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY MARTIN G. WALKER, Ph.D.

- 1 there's a distinction -- let's see. So there's --
- 2 he refers to them -- he refers to object files
- 3 differently than object code, but for the purposes
- 4 of what's disclosed here, I don't see the relevance
- 5 of the distinction.
- 6 MR. WILLIAMS: Q. Okay. Well, what does
- 7 he disclose is in the content of an object file in
- 8 the section that we're referring to now?
- 9 A. "Object files contain a series of defined
- 10 records, each one containing specific items such as
- 11 object code for a routine, the name of a routine,
- 12 external reference from one routine to another, or
- 13 comments."
- 14 Q. Would such an object file as described
- 15 there be executable?
- 16 A. This file would not be executable until a
- 17 linker touched it and loaded it into memory.
- 18 Q. When you say "a linker touched it," what
- 19 do you mean? What would the linker do?
- 20 A. Resolve -- one of the things, resolve
- 21 the -- well, let's see. I don't know what it would
- 22 do in every case, but at least it would resolve some
- 23 external references.
- 24 Q. All right.
- 25 A. Address issues with relocatable code.