Carlsen v. DiPaola Doc. 25

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CIVIL ACTION NO. 11-11498-RGS

JEFFREY PAUL CARLSEN, Petitioner

v.

## JAMES V. DiPAOLA, Respondent

## ORDER ON REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE

November 4, 2011

STEARNS, D.J.

As I agree with Magistrate Judge Collings' Report and his determination that petitioner has failed to exhaust his state remedies, the Recommendation is <u>ADOPTED</u> and the petition is <u>DISMISSED</u> with prejudice.<sup>1</sup> *See McFarland v. Scott*, 512 U.S. 849, 856 (1994) (habeas petition may be dismissed if it appears to be legally insufficient on its face). Any request for the issuance of a Certificate of Appealability pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2253 is <u>DENIED</u>, the court seeing no meritorious or substantial basis for an appeal. The Clerk is instructed to close the case.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Petitioner has filed a lengthy objection that repeats the substance of his petition without addressing in any meaningful way the substance of the Report.

$\alpha$	0	וח	$\neg$	תר			
SO	()	КΙ	) t	чκ	H	I).	

/s/ Richard G. Stearns

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE