UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

	(DOUGLAS SURPRENANT,)	
	QC	Plaintiff,)	
20	$2 \leq 0$	∕yv.	,) Civil No. 12-10019-PBS	
9	3/:	PNC MORTGAGE,		
24	3:	Defendant.)))	
report	Jores.	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT		
tic	20	November 20, 2013		
4	502	SOROKIN, C.M.J.		
127	ن لأع	This case arises from a familiar scenario: a homeowner's efforts to obtain a loan modification after defaulting on his mortgage payments. Although he ultimately was granted a		
da,	170			
0	defendant's handling of his various applications for loan modifications over the course of severa years. Pending is a motion filed by the defendant, PNC Mortgage ("PNC"), seeking entry of			
$(\neg$				
\mathbf{c}				
1 - 3 - 0	627	\int_{1}^{1} summary judgment with respect to each of the four claims asserted in Surprenant's complaint.		
8	le s	Doc. No. 45. Surprenant has opposed the motion, Doc. No. 65, which has been referred to the		
		undersigned for a report and recommendation, Doc. No. 9. For the reasons that follow, I		
Ö		recommend the motion be ALLOWED in par	t and DENIED in part.	

I. <u>BACKGROUND</u>

21/01/02

A. Motion to Strike

When he originally opposed PNC's motion, Surprenant, an attorney proceeding here pro