UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and)
THE STATE OF NEW YORK ex rel.)
DR. ANTONI NARGOL and)
DR. DAVID LANGTON)
)
Plaintiffs-Relators,)
)
V.) Civil Action No. 12-10896-LTS
)
DEPUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC., DEPUY, INC.,)
and JOHNSON & JOHNSON SERVICES, INC.,)
)
Defendants.)

ORDER

December 14, 2017

SOROKIN, D.J.

The Court has reviewed the proposed Protective Order (Doc. No. 243-1) and the parties' related Joint Supplemental Discovery Statement (Doc. No. 242) explaining the language upon which they disagree. The Court rejects Relators' additional language for paragraph 5; adopts the timing proposed by Defendants for paragraph 7 (and suggests that the parties only litigate confidentiality when the designation impairs a party from actually using a document in a manner necessary for this litigation); rejects the language appearing in paragraph 12; adopts Relators' proposed additional language for paragraph 13(b); and adopts Defendants' version of paragraph 14(b), though the Relators may make specific application if the language actually prevents them from fairly presenting their case as to a given type of expert. The parties shall submit a conforming protective order within seven days for the Court's signature.

In light of the Joint Supplemental Discovery Statement, the default discovery limits

established by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure apply in this case, except that: (1) Relators

may take up to fifteen depositions; and (2) each side may serve up to six document requests.

With respect to Defendants' Motion for Phased Discovery (Doc. No. 244), the Court is

not persuaded, based on the assertions in Relators' Second Amended Complaint and in

Defendants' Motion, that this case merits prioritization of the public disclosure bar and statutory

bar questions for purposes of forming "a realistic assessment of the case" pursuant to Local

Rules 26.3 and 16.1(d)(1)(b). At the same time, further delay in proceeding with full discovery

in this matter is unwarranted and would unduly prejudice the Relators, in light of the appeal to

the First Circuit and the longevity of this case. Accordingly, the Motion for Phased Discovery is

DENIED. The parties shall complete discovery as specified in the Court's Order of November

20, 2017 (Doc. No. 234). The Court will hold a status conference on February 15, 2018 at 2:00

p.m.

SO ORDERED.

/s/ Leo T. Sorokin

Leo T. Sorokin

United States District Judge

_

¹ The Court established June 30, 2018 as the deadline for fact discovery and invited the parties to propose joint or separate subsidiary fact discovery deadlines. Besides Defendants' proposal for an initial phase of discovery, the parties proposed no additional deadlines in their Joint Supplemental Discovery Statement.

2