

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Friedrich Lu, Plaintiff)	Civil Action No 12-cv-11117-MLW
v)	
George Hulme,)	
Trustees of Boston Public Library, Defendants)	

PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO COURT'S ORDER

(1) Under penalties of perjury, plaintiff Friedrich Lu states the following:

"Only at 4 pm Friday (May 10, 2013) did Lu learn of court's order (which comprised six points and nothing else) entered on Mar 30, as he viewed the docket entry in a computer terminal of clerk's office. Not until just now did Lu read the court order in pdf format did he know of the text before the six-point order. He was shocked, and will file separate papers (as soon as he conducts necessary research) to the extent permissible.

"The mailing address Lu supplies to federal district court is that of a homeless shelter, Pine Street Inn. To his horror, the shelter apparently every now and then inexplicably returns letter addressed to Lu, without first listing Lu's name in the incoming list. Lu was so frustrated, that he asked for permission from docket clerk of another district judge to receive court notice directly from the latter, in hand. See Exhibit 1.

(2)

(a) Since last Friday, Lu thought long and hard about appointment of counsel. Indeed when defendant

George Hulme barred Lu from bringing in the shopping cart, Lu was disconcerted enough--he felt the move was totally wrong and he needs to get access to that library quickly--to abandon his long-time practice to represent himself, and called

(i) two lawyers who listed practice as civil rights in Yellow Pages, plus

(ii) American Civil Liberty Union of Massachusetts.

(b) The two separate lawyers had solo practice and an answering machine (but obviously no paralegal or secretary). Neither called back.

(c) ACLU deliberated a couple of months but elected not to represent Lu. It had been aware of a

shopping cart not allowed in but did not ask details. To Lu, devil is in the details. However, Lu respected that decision. At the same time, he was convinced his case had merits, under the criteria of Fed Rule Civ Proc 11 and others.

(3) Lu believes he has been wronged, including by this judge/court. Lu asks for equal protection, being treated like everybody else.

(4) With that appeal, Lu will want to represent himself.

Plaintiff Friedrich Lu, pro se



Date: May 15, 2013

Email address: x2flu@yahoo.com

Telephone number: none

Address: Pine Street Inn, 444 Harrison Avenue, Boston, MA 02118

A copy of this document was served electronically on Ms Driscoll on the same day.

===== **Exhibit 1**

Can I Pick up Mail From You Instead?

Tuesday, March 5, 2013 6:13 PM

From: "Friedrich Lu" <x2flu@yahoo.com>

To: Matthew_Paine@mad.uscourts.gov

Yesterday (Monday, Mar 4) before the trial in Lu v Boston Collge, I checked court docket and found there were two rulings (both dated Feb 4, 2013; docket ##166 & 167) that I did not receive. I am not blaming the court. There is something wrong with the mail receiving point at Pine Street Inn (PSI), as I watched closely the mailing lists, not just once but twice/three times. If those two letters are returned to the court, please so docket--but there is no need to notify me AGAIN (in several occasions, court notifications do not show up in the mailing lists of PSI).

(2) Can I elect to pick up district court notifications at the front desk of clerk office?