Lu v. Hulme et al Doc. 19

τ	UNITED STATES DISTRICT	COURT	- 2	÷
	DISTRICT OF MASSACHU	JSETTS 3	2013	
Friedrich Lu, Plaintiff) Civil Ao	ction No 12-cv-🗐		177 m
v)	ਨ	7 -	₹ =
George Hulme,)	T OF	<u>≅</u>	MS)
Trustees of Boston Public Librar	ry, Defendants)	•	- (1	9P
		AS	COUR:	FIC
	PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE TO (COURT .	36 181	LL1
(1) A	1 101 (1.1)	20.0010\		

- (1) Among other things, Memorandum and Order (dated Mar 20, 2013) 6-8 denied Lu's motion to disqualify counsel and his cross-motion for basis of attorney-client relationship. This is an issue Lu feels strongly from the very outset (City of Boston is bullying him, again), and the ruling carries ramifications (such as whether to amend/supplement complaint to add City of Boston as a defendant).
- (2) Last night it occurred to Lu that discovery is imminent. Why not wait? On the other hand, in his motion to disqualify, Lu had vowed to take the issue to Supreme Judicial Court, through a separate state action action if necessary (as advised by United States Supreme Court in decades past). Should he initiate an action and conduct discovery there?
- (3) In any event, for now Lu will not submit more than the above, despite the statement yesterday.

Plaintiff: Friedrich Lu, pro se

Date: May 16, 2013

Email address: x2flu@yahoo.com

Telephone number: none

Address: Pine Street Inn, 444 Harrison Avenue, Boston, MA 02118

A copy of this document was served electronically on Ms Driscoll on the same day.