## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CIVIL ACTION NO. 12-12290-RGS

SITUATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS, INC.

v.

## ALEXANDER MOORE, DANE HARWOOD AND ALON SHKLAREK

## ORDER ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

May 29, 2013

STEARNS, D.J.

The motion to dismiss is <u>ALLOWED</u>. Judgment having entered, the court's jurisdiction over the attempt to enforce the judgment against non-parties to the original lawsuit is foreclosed by *Peacock v. Thomas*, 516 U.S. 349, 357 (1996) ("We have never authorized the exercise of ancillary jurisdiction in a subsequent lawsuit to impose an obligation to pay an existing federal judgment on a person not already liable for that judgment."). Plaintiff offers no principled basis in law or fact to distinguish a binding precedent of the Supreme Court of the United States.<sup>1</sup>

## ORDER

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> *Peacock* involved a claim of veil-piercing virtually identical to the one being advanced by plaintiff in the instant Complaint.

For the foregoing reasons, defendants' motion to dismiss for want of subject matter jurisdiction is <u>ALLOWED</u>. The Clerk will enter an Order of Dismissal and close the case.

SO ORDERED.

/s/ Richard G. Stearns

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE