Murray v. Colvin Doc. 30

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

#### CIVIL ACTION NO. 13-40081-RGS

### JEAN MURRAY

v.

### CAROL COLVIN, ACTING COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY

## ORDER ON REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE

January 9, 2015

STEARNS, D.J.

I thoroughly agree with Magistrate Judge Bowler's exhaustive analysis of the record in this appeal of the determination of the Commissioner of Social Security that appellant Jean Murray is not disabled within the meaning of the Social Security Act and is therefore ineligible for Supplemental Security Income Benefits.<sup>1</sup> Consequently, the

On appeal, Murray attacks the Administrative Law Judge's (ALJ) findings as to her Residual Functional Capacity (for a purported failure to take fully into account her limitations), as well as the ALJ's evaluation of her credibility. Like Magistrate Judge Bowler, I find no error in the ALJ's methodology, factual findings, or application of the law and I do not propose to attempt to improve upon her careful recitation of the ALJ's assessment of the medical evidence. I also agree that credibility

Recommendation is ADOPTED, the Commissioner's motion to affirm the decision is ALLOWED, and the appeal is DISMISSED with prejudice.<sup>2</sup> The Clerk will enter judgment for the Commissioner and close the case.

SO ORDERED.

/s/ Richard G. Stearns

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

determinations by an ALJ, when supported as they are here by specific findings, are entitled to deference and are not be disturbed on review.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Murray has filed no objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (which issued on December 23, 2014). Murray is represented by counsel.