EXHIBIT 275

| 1 | Q. Did you write this document? |
|-----------|--|
| 2 | MR. PARK: Objection. I'm going to |
| 3 | instruct the witness not to answer that. |
| 4 | MR. DULBERG: On what grounds? |
| 5 | MR. PARK: Attorney-client privilege, |
| 6 | work product doctrine. |
| 7 | Q. (BY MR. DULBERG) Do you know whether |
| 8 | this document was drafted by counsel? |
| 9 | MR. PARK: Same objection. Same |
| 10 | instruction. |
| 11 | Q. (BY MR. DULBERG) Did counsel provide |
| 12 | this document to you for you to sign? |
| 13 | MR. PARK: Same instruction. |
| 14 | Q. (BY MR. DULBERG) Did you review this |
| 15 | document before you signed it? |
| 16 | MR. PARK: You can answer that. |
| 17 | A. I did. |
| 18 | Q. (BY MR. DULBERG) Did you make any |
| 19 | changes to this document before you signed it? |
| 20 | MR. PARK: Objection, and instruct the |
| 21 | witness not to answer that. |
| 22 | MR. DULBERG: On attorney-client |
| 23 | privilege and work product? |
| 24 | MR. PARK: Yeah. Yes. |
| 25 | Q. (BY MR. DULBERG) Sitting here today, is |

It's discussed in paragraph 5. Do you see

the fall.

admissions, I would think my chances of being admitted

had risen enough, because of that change, that I would

24

| 1 | apply again for transfer to see if I could get in |
|---|---|
| 2 | under the new system. |
| 3 | O. But under the current system you hav |

- Q. But under the current system you have no intent to apply to transfer; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Is it just if Harvard were to cease the use of race as an admissions process, then you would intend to apply to transfer to Harvard?
 - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. This statement also contains a reference, as you just read, to ceasing its intentional discrimination against Asian Americans. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you believe that Harvard intentionally discriminates against Asian Americans in its college admissions process?
 - A. I do believe so.
- Q. Do you believe that admissions officers are biased against Asian Americans?

MR. PARK: Objection. You can answer.

A. I don't know what the mental process is behind it, but I am fairly sure that they set a higher bar for Asian Americans. I don't know if they have any personal dislike for Asian Americans or not, but

| 1 | you've had with other SFFA members? |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. PARK: Objection. Instruct the |
| 3 | witness not to answer. |
| 4 | Q. (BY MR. DULBERG) You mentioned an email |
| 5 | in which you asked Mr. Blum whether you could assist |
| 6 | with the standing issue. Was that the first time you |
| 7 | had corresponded with SFFA regarding your possible |
| 8 | service as a standing member? |
| 9 | MR. PARK: Objection. |
| 10 | A. Yes. That was I'm sorry. Could you |
| 11 | repeat that? |
| 12 | Q. (BY MR. DULBERG) You described an email |
| 13 | to Mr. Blum in which you asked whether you could help |
| 14 | provide SFFA with standing, correct? |
| 15 | A. Um-hum yes. |
| 16 | Q. And my question is, was that the first |
| 17 | time you corresponded with anyone from SFFA regarding |
| 18 | your possible role as a standing member in this |
| 19 | litigation? |
| 20 | MR. PARK: Objection. |
| 21 | A. Yes. That was the first time. |
| 22 | Q. (BY MR. DULBERG) You write in |
| 23 | paragraph 9 of your declaration, which is Exhibit 1, |
| 24 | "They have answered my questions and afforded me the |

opportunity to have input and direction on SFFA's

| | Page 70 |
|----|--|
| 1 | case." Do you see that? |
| 2 | A. Yes. |
| 3 | Q. And what does that mean? |
| 4 | A. So what that means to me is obviously |
| 5 | they have answered my questions. I've been very |
| 6 | curious about this, asked a lot of questions, and |
| 7 | they've answered them thoroughly. |
| 8 | And having input and direction, I have |
| 9 | suggested things to them about, like, possible |
| 10 | arguments to make in the lawsuit or I've actually |
| 11 | had more input I've given them more input about |
| 12 | future lawsuits to bring. |
| 13 | I haven't had to, like, give them much |
| 14 | input, because I think SFFA is doing a very good job |
| 15 | in representing its interests and my interests in the |
| 16 | case. So I haven't had to, like, tell them what I |
| 17 | would do differently. |
| 18 | MR. PARK: Thank you. I again caution |
| 19 | the witness not to disclose contents of communications |
| 20 | with lawyers. |
| 21 | Q. (BY MR. DULBERG) Setting aside your |
| 22 | communications with lawyers, which Mr. Park and I |
| 23 | agree are off limits, what input have you provided |
| 24 | SFFA with respect to this case, if any? |

I don't remember giving them a specific

Α.

| 1 | A. I don't know of anyone who I know is a |
|----|---|
| 2 | member. There may be people who I know who happen to |
| 3 | be members, but I don't know that they're members. |
| 4 | Q. (BY MR. DULBERG) Have you ever attended |
| 5 | a meeting of SFFA? |
| 6 | MR. PARK: You can answer that. You can |
| 7 | answer. |
| 8 | A. No, I have not. Actually, I should |
| 9 | revise that. I was on a phone conference for SFFA |
| 10 | this past December. |
| 11 | Q. (BY MR. DULBERG) Is that a phone |
| 12 | conference that was open to all SFFA members, to the |
| 13 | best of your knowledge? |
| 14 | A. It was. All SFFA members received an |
| 15 | email a couple days before that stating there will be |
| 16 | this phone conference, dial in if you want to attend |
| 17 | it, and so I did. |
| 18 | Q. Was that the only time you were invited |
| 19 | to participate in a phone conference that all SFFA |
| 20 | members were invited to? |
| 21 | A. Yes. |
| 22 | Q. Do you know whether there have been any |
| 23 | other phone conferences of SFFA members as a whole? |
| 24 | A. I don't think there have been any |

because, as I said, I have been a member since