

FILED  
IN CLERKS OFFICE

2018 OCT -3 AM 11:33

U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MASS.

Exhibit

Exhibit # 2

2

File in case 1:14-cv-1476-ADB

2

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

YAHYA MUQUIT ET. AL., #318455

PLAINTIFF(S)

Vs.

JUDGE ROBERT E. HOOD ET. AL.,

DEFENDANT(S)

RECEIVED  
USDC, CLERK GREENVILLE, SC

2018 AUG -8 PM 3:20

C/A 8:17-cv-01804-RBH-JDA

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

WE, YAHYA MUQUIT ET. AL., DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT WE HAVE MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION FOR LEAVE TO SUPPLEMENT THE COMPLAINT; MOTION TO INTERVENE DUE TO FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CHALLENGING THE DISTRICT COURT'S JURISDICTION; MOTION FOR AN EVIDENTIARY HEARING; MOTION RE-ASSERTING THE DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL; NOTICE OF CONSTITUTIONAL CHALLENGE AND INTERVENTION; NOTICE SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL PURSUANT TO FED. RULE(S) 5.1; 15 a)(1)(C)(1)(B)(d); 16(a)(5)(2)(I); 18; 19; 20; 24(a)(2)(b)(1)(B); 38; 39; AND 73(C) AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, (20) PAGES DATED AUGUST 2, 2018 ON THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT BY U.S. MAIL POSTAGE PREPAID BY PLACING IT WITH ITS ATTACHMENTS IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX ON JULY 2, 2018. IT IS DEEMED FILED ON THAT DATE, HOUSTON-V.-LACK, 287 U.S. 266, 273-76, 108 S.Ct. 2379(1988).

RESPECTFULLY,

YAHYA MUQUI ET. AL.,

JULY 2, 2018

*Yahya Muquit*

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

YAHYA MUQUIT #318455

PLAINTIFF(S)

Vs.

JUDGE ROBERT E. HOOD ET. AL.,  
DEFENDANT(S)

)  
)  
) C/A 8:17-cv-01804-RBH-JDA  
)  
)  
) AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING  
) JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION FOR  
) LEAVE TO SUPPLEMENT THE  
) COMPLAINT; MOTION TO INTERVENE  
) DUE TO FRAUD UPON THE COURT,  
) CHALLENGING THE DISTRICT  
) COURT'S JURISDICTION; MOTION  
) FOR AN EVIDENTIARY HEARING;  
) MOTION RE-ASSERTING THE DEMAND  
) FOR A JURY TRIAL; NOTICE  
) OF CONSTITUTIONAL CHALLENGE  
) AND INTERVENTION; NOTICE  
) SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL  
) PURSUANT TO FED. RULE(S)  
) 5.1; 15(a)(1)(C)(1)(B)(d);  
) 16(a)(5)(2)(I); 18; 19; 20;  
) 24(a)(2)(b)(1)(B); 38 AND  
) 39 AND MOTION TO MOTION  
) THEREFOR  
)  
)

TO: THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS,  
THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT ET. AL.,

YAHYA MUQUIT ET. AL., THE UNDERSIGNED AFFIANT(S),  
HEREINAFTER, THE AFFIANT(S) DO HEREBY SOLEMNLY SWEAR AND OR  
AFFIRM AND OR DECLARE AND OR STATE AS FOLLOWS:

THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT MAGISTRATE JUDGE ENTERED ORDER,

RECEIVED  
USDC CLERK GREENVILLE, SC  
2018 AUG -8 PM 3:20

ENTRY # 28 ON JULY 23, 2018. THE PLAINTIFF DID NOT RECEIVE THE DOCUMENT UNTIL JULY 26, 2018. THIS GIVES THE PLAINTIFF (14) DAYS UNTIL AUGUST 8, 2018 TO FILE OBJECTIONS TO IT AND APPEAL IT PURSUANT TO RULE 73(c) AND 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(3). EVEN THOUGH A MAGISTRATE JUDGE DO NOT HAVE THE POWER TO ENTER A FINAL JUDGMENT ON A CASE. THAT JUDGE DOES HAVE JURISDICTION TO ENTER A FINAL JUDGMENT ON ALL NON DISPOSITIVE PRETRIAL OR OTHER SUCH PRELIMINARY MATTERS. THEREFORE, THE ORDER, ENTRY # 28, MUST BE DEEMED AS A FINAL ORDER ON THE ISSUE OF RECUSAL; CRAWFORD JOINING AS PARTY OR ACTING AS ATTORNEY FOR MUQUIT; ON THE ISSUE OF AMENDING THE DEFENDANTS IN THIS CASE; ON PAGE LIMITS; ON THE RIGHT OF MUQUIT TO ESTABLISH COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL AS A NON PARTY; THE RIGHT TO HAVE PLRA PLACED BEFORE A JURY AND NOT A JUDGE AND CLASS ACTION CERTIFICATION. THEREFORE, THIS DOCUMENT WITH ITS ATTACHMENTS CONSTITUTE A NOTICE SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL FOR BOTH YAHYA MUQUIT AND LAWRENCE CRAWFORD WHERE HIS SIGNATURE IS SIGNED FOR THIS PURPOSE VIA THE ATTACHMENTS ON THE FACE OF THIS DOCUMENT PURSUANT TO FED. RULE 73(c) AND 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(3), TORKORNOO-v.-HELWIG, 2017 WL 4898260(D.C.Md.2017); BONNER v.-KILMORE, 2017 WL 1057633, \* 11 N.D.Ala.; STRONG-v.-U.S. 57 F.Supp.2d. 908, 1999 WL 543737 (N.D.Cali.1999); INTELLIGENT VERIFICATION SYSTEMS, LLC-v.-MICROSOFT CORP. F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 846012(E.D.Va.2015); MONTGOMERY-v.-INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, --F.Supp.3d.--, 2018 WL 953331(D.D.C.2018); IN-RE: WHOLE-SALE GROCERY PRODUCTS ANTITRUST LITIGATION, 849 F3d. 761, 96 Fed. R. SERV.3d. 1207(8th.Cir.2017); ALILA-KATITA-v.-U.S.-BANK-NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, 2016 WL 4992464(N.D.Cal.2016).

INSOMUCH, JUDGE AUSTIN ENTERED ENTRY # 28 ASSERTING THAT THE COURT NOR CLERK CAN FILE ANY DOCUMENT SUBMITTED OR SIGNED BY CRAWFORD OR ANY DOCUMENT THAT EXCEED (20) PAGES. NOTWITHSTANDING, WE ARE CHALLENGING THAT ORDER, ENTRY # 28, VIA THIS NOTICE SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL AS WELL AS CHALLENGING THE DISTRICT COURT'S JURISDICTION TO ENTER IT DUE TO FRAUD UPON THE COURT. THE ORDERS OR DECREES OF ALL COURTS CAN BE COLLATERALLY ATTACKED FOR FRAUD UPON THE COURT WHICH IS FREE FROM ALL PROCEDURAL LIMITATIONS WHICH ENTRY # 28 IMPOSES. THEREFORE, THE COURT AND JUDGE AUSTIN ARE REQUIRED TO FILE THIS DOCUMENT WITH ITS ATTACHMENTS WITHOUT RESTRICTIONS FOR THE PURPOSE OF ESTABLISHING THIS APPEAL, MYLES-v.-DOMINO'S-RIZZA, LLC, 2017 WL 238436(D.C.Miss.2017); FIRST-TECHNOLOGY-CAPITAL, INC.-v.-BANCTEC, INC., 2016 WL 7444943 (D.C.Ky.2016); BYNE-v.-UNITED STATES, F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 1377402 (D.C.Md.2016); VAETH-v.-BOARD-OF-TRUSTEES, F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 775386(D.C.Md.2016); IN-RE: DEX, --B.R.--, 2015 WL 669788(10th. Cir.2015); WELLS-FARGO-BANK, N.A.-v.-H.M.H.-ROMAN-TWO-N.C. LLC., 859 F3d. 295(4th.Cir.2017); MILFORD-v.-MIDDLETON, 2018 WL 348059 (DSC.2018); MOSLEY-v.-UNITED STATES, 2018 WL 1187778 (W.D.N.C.2018).

FURTHERMORE, ANY ATTACHMENT NOW FILED WITH THIS DOCUMENT IS OFFICIALLY ATTACHED TO THE NOTICE SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL. IT IS AUTOMATICALLY FILED WHEN PLACED IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX PURSUANT TO HOUSTON-v.-LACK, 287 U.S. 266, 273-76, 108 S.Ct. 2379 (1988). SINCE ALL DOCUMENTS ARE OFFICIALLY ATTACHED TO THE FACE OF THE NOTICE SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL. THE NOTICE SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL IS AN EVENT OF JURISDICTIONAL SIGNIFICANCE. THE DISTRICT COURT'S JURISDICTION IS DIVESTED TO EVEN RETURN THE DOCUMENTS BECAUSE YOU WOULD BE ESSENTIALLY ALTERING OR

AMENDING THE NOTICE SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL. A NOTICE SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL, WHICH INCLUDE ITS ATTACHMENTS, CANNOT BE ALTERED, AMENDED OR VACATED. THE FEDERAL JUDGE CANNOT CONCLUDE THE CASE. THUS, THE PLEADINGS MUST BE PERMITTED TO BE FILED AS IS. THE DISTRICT COURT WOULD NOT REGAIN JURISDICTION TO DO ANYTHING UNTIL THE 4TH. CIRCUIT ISSUES A MANDATE. THEREFORE, THE DISTRICT COURT MUST ACCEPT THIS DOCUMENT WITH ALL OF ITS VARIOUS ATTACHMENTS AS FILED BEING A PART OF THE NOTICE SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL, U.S.-v.-ROGERS, 101 F3d. 247(2nd.Cir.1996); U.S.-v.-CAMACHO 302 F3d. 35 (2nd.Cir.2002); KLAYMAN-v.-OBAMA, 142 F.Supp.3d. 404, 407n. (4th.Cir.2001); NORTHROPE-GAUMAN-TECHNICAL-SERVICE INC.-v.-DYNCORP-INTERNATIONAL-LLC., 2016 WL 3346349, \* 5 E.D.Va.; DOE-v.-PUBLIC-CITIZEN, 749 F3d. 246 (4th.Cir.2014); HUNTER-v.-TOWN-OF-MOCKSVILLE,-NORTH-CAROLINA,--F.Supp.3d.--, 2017 WL 4221109 (N.C.2017); NEWTON-v.-CONSOLIDATED-GAS-CO.-OF-NEW-YORK, 258 U.S. 165, 42 S.Ct. 264, 66 L.Ed. 538(U.S.1922); BAYOU-SHORES S.N.F.,LLC.-v.-BURNWELL, F.Supp.3d., 2014 WL 4101761 (D.C.Fla. 2014); EAGLESVIEW-TECHNOLOGIES-INC.-v.-XACTWARE-SOLUTIONS-INC., F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 12071668 (2013).

SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION CAN BE RAISED AT ANY TIME, CANNOT BE WAIVED BY US AND THE COURT SHALL NOT FAIL TO TAKE NOTICE WHICH OCCURRED BY AUSTIN'S FRAUD RENDERING THE CASE UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND VOIDS THE ORDERS AND HER JURISDICTION AS MAGISTRATE JUDGE. SHE IS STILL REQUIRED TO ACT AS TRUSTEE. THIS IS JURISDICTIONAL CHALLENGE, GRUPO-DALAFLEX-v.-ATLAS-GLOBAL GROUP,-L.P., 541 U.S. 567, 124 S.Ct. 1920, 158 L.Ed.2d. 866(U.S. 2004); LOUMIET-v.-UNITED-STATES, 65 F.Supp.3d. 19 (2014); U.S.-v.-TISDALE, F.Supp.2d., 2007 WL 2156666 (DSC.2007); SEBELIUS v.-AUBURN-REGIONAL-MEDICAL-CENTER, 133 S.Ct. 817, 184 L.Ed.2d. 627, 81 U.S.L.W. 4053(U.S.2013); IN-RE:-GENESYS-DATA-TECHNOLOGIES -INC., 204 F3d. 124 (4th.Cir.2000); UNITED-STATES-v.-CONRAD, 675 Fed. Appx' 263, 265 CA4 (N.C.2017); FOX-EX-REL.-FOX-v.-ELK RUN-COAL-CO.-INC., 739 F3d. 131, 87 Fed. R. SERV.3d. 534 (4th. Cir.2014).

INSOMUCH, HERE THE COURT WILL FIND:

(1) EXHIBIT, "JUDGE LEE #1". THESE ARE THE ATTORNEY

LETTERS AND SCHEDULING ORDERS FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084, 2294. TAKE NOTICE OF PAGE(S) 2, 3, 7, 9, AND 10. YOU WILL SEE THE NAMES OF PAUL GUNTER AND KRISTY KHOL APPEAR ON THESE PAGES. NOTE PAGE (3). IT IS PERSPICUOUS THAT THESE ARE FEDERAL AGENTS OR EMPLOYEES OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT BECAUSE THEY HAVE A FEDERAL GOVERNMENT E-MAIL DESIGNATION. IT DOESN'T MATTER WHETHER OR NOT THE STATE DEFENDANTS DEFAULTED. THE UNITED STATES DID BY FAILING TO RESPOND, WHICH BINDS THIS COURT AND ALL STATE PARTIES BY THE SUPREMACY CLAUSE. WITH THE AID OF THE STATE PARTIES THEY HID THEIR APPEARANCE AND FAILED TO PLEAD SUBJECTING THEM AND ALL ~~THEY~~ TO THE DEFAULT WHICH BINDS THIS COURT AND JUDGE AUSTIN AS AN EMPLOYEE OF THE UNITED STATES AND THE STATE PARTIES BY THE SUPREMACY CLAUSE. VOLUNTARY APPEARANCE BY A PARTY IS EQUIVALENT TO SERVICE. AN APPEARANCE MAY BE EXPRESSLY MADE BY A PARTY, SUCH THAT THE COURT ACQUIRES JURISDICTION OVER THE PARTY, BY A FORMAL WRITTEN OR ORAL DECLARATION AS THE UNITED NATIONS DID FILED IN THIS CASE, OR RECORD ENTRY; BY THESE DOCUMENTS FILED IN THIS CASE WE HAVE RECORD ENTRY, OR IT MAY BE IMPLIED BY SOME ACT DONE WITH THE INTENTION OF APPEARING AND SUBMITTING TO THE COURT'S JURISDICTION. THE RECEIVING BY THE UNITED STATES VIA ITS AGENTS OF PLEADINGS FROM THE COURT IS SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE OF APPEARING AND SUBMITTING TO THE COURT'S JURISDICTION, STEARNS-BANK-NAT'L-ASS'N-v.-GREENWOOD-FALLS,-L.P., 373 S.C. 331, 644 S.E.2d. 793, REHEARING DENIED CERT. DENIED (S.C.App.2007); BRANCH-BANKING-AND-TRUST-CO.-v.-HUNT, F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 2173047(DSC.2015).

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE OF PAGE(S) 4, 5, 11, 12, 13, 14, 19 AND 20. IT IS CONSPICUOUS THAT THE KING-KHALIFAH FILED "AFFIDAVITS OF FACTS". JUDGE LEE MADE AN ORAL DETERMINATION OF LAW AND FACT AND STATED, "MR. CRAWFORD YOU FILED THE DOCUMENTS AS AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS BUT YOU ALSO PLACED THE WORD "MOTION" IN THEM. IF THE WORD "MOTION" IS IN THEM. I HAVE TO RULE ON THEM. IF THE WORD "MOTION" IS TAKEN OUT OF THE DOCUMENT. THEY DO NOT HAVE TO BE RULED ON. THEY STAND UNLESS TIMELY REBUTTED BY THE COURT OR PARTIES". THIS ORAL DETERMINATION MADE BY JUDGE LEE IN THAT APRIL 3, 2014 HEARING IS ALSO SUPPORTED BY FEDERAL LAW. SEE McKELVEY-v.-REYNOLDS, F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 6518337(DSC. 2016); GLANTON-v.-DOLBEY, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1786416 (DSC. 2013); DEL-ZOTTO-v.-UNIVERSAL-PHYSICIANS-SERVICES,-LLC., 214 F.Supp.3d. 499(DSC.2016); FARRARA-v.-QUADROZZI-EQUIPMENT-LEASING CORP., 2013 WL 3226735(E.D.N.Y.2013); ANDERSON-v.-LIBERTY-LOBBY INC., 477 U.S. 242, 106 S.Ct. 2505, 91 L.Ed.2d. 202(U.S.1986); IN-RE:-CLEAN-BURN-FUELS,-LLC., 2014 WL 2987330(N.C.2014); WILLIAMS-v.-SECRETARY-OF-VETERANS-AFFAIRS,--F.Supp.3d.--, 2015 WL 5935169(N.D.Ala.2015); MILLER-v.-PARRISH, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1868028(Va.2013).

IN ABSENCE OF A WRITTEN DECISION ON A PARTICULAR ISSUE FOR PURPOSES OF ESTOPPEL PARTY CAN POINT TO THE TRANSCRIPT AND OR COURT DOCUMENTS AND OR AN ORAL DECISION SUCH AS THE ONE JUDGE LEE MADE IN THE APRIL 4, 2014 HEARING CONTAINING FINDINGS OF FACT, IN-RE-SMITH, 2016 WL 3943710 (Md.2016); IN-RE:-QIAO-LIN, 576 B.R. 32 (N.Y.2017); NEW-HAMPSHIRE-v.-MAINE, 532 U.S. 742, 121 S.Ct. 1808, 149 L.Ed.2d. 968(U.S.2001); BAKER-BY-THOMAS v.-GENERAL-MOTORS-CORP., 522 U.S. 222, 118 S.Ct. 657, 139 L.Ed.2d 580 (U.S.1998); GATES-v.-STRAIN, 2017 WL 2417051(E.D.La.2017).

(2) EXHIBIT, "JUDGE LEE # 2". THIS IS THE [92] PAGE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS\*\*\*, FILED MAY 13, 2014. THE KING-KHALIFAH AND ANTHONY COOK THEN FOLLOWED JUDGE LEE'S ORAL DETERMINATION AND THEN RE-FILED THE DEFAULT DOCUMENT, EXHIBIT, "JUDGE LEE # 2", AS AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS WITHOUT THE WORD "MOTION" IN IT AS WAS DETERMINED BY JUDGE LEE. THIS DOCUMENT SAT IN THE COURT RECORD UNCHALLENGED BY THE PARTIES SINCE ITS FILING UNTIL THIS PRESENT DATE.

(3) EXHIBIT, "JUDGE LEE # 3". THIS IS THE [152] PAGE DOCUMENT FILED OCTOBER 1, 2015. THE KING-KHALIFAH AND ANTHONY COOK THEN FILED EXHIBIT, JUDGE LEE # 3", EXPLAINING HOW JURISDICTION CAN BE VOIDED FOR UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION AND ADDRESSING THEIR OTHER FRAUD IN THAT OCTOBER 1, 2015 FILING.

(4) EXHIBIT, "JUDGE LEE # 4". THIS IS THE [31] PAGE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS FILED DECEMBER 1, 2015. THEREAFTER, THE OCTOBER 1, 2015 HEARING. THE KING-KHALIFAH AND ANTHONY COOK THEN FILED EXHIBIT, "JUDGE LEE # 4", AS AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS WITHOUT THE WORD "MOTION" IN IT. JUDGE LEE, THE COURT AND DEFENDANTS MISSED THE [30] DAY WINDOW TO CHALLENGE THAT DOCUMENT. SO THEY ATTEMPTED FRAUD TO OBTAIN FRAUDULENT PROTECTIVE ORDERS TO MISREPRESENT THE FACTS AND TO CIRCUMVENT THEIR FAILURE TO TIMELY RESPOND WHICH WERE VOID AB INITIO DUE TO REMOVAL, WHICH FURTHER VOIDED THEIR JURISDICTION BY THIS ADDITIONAL FRAUD BEING UNCONSTITUTIONAL. THEY DID NOT APPEAL OR SEEK TO CHALLENGE THE FILINGS WHICH MAKE THEM VALID. JUDGE LEE, THE COURT, NOR THE DEFENDANTS CHALLENGED THE DOCUMENTS. THEIR SILENCE IS ACCEPTANCE BEING UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVITS OF FACTS, N.L.R.B.-v.-AMAX-COAL-CO., DIV. OF-AMAX-INC., 453 U.S. 322, 101 S.Ct. 2789 (U.S.1981); CHIMMEBY'S MANAGEMENT-CO., LLC.-v.-AFFILIATED-F.M.-INSURANCE-CO., 152 F.Supp. 3d. 159 (2016); BAUER-v.-QUEST-COMMUNICATORS-CO., LLC., 743 F.Supp.3d. 221(2014); GLOBAL-TECH., 131 S.Ct. 2060 (U.S.2011).

(5) EXHIBIT, "RANDOM MASS KILLINGS". THIS DOCUMENT SUBSTANTIATES THAT NOT EVEN THESE CLAIMS MADE IN THE DOCUMENTS RELATED TO THE MASS KILLINGS CAN BE DEEMED FRIVOLOUS DUE TO THE RECENT INVESTIGATION DONE BY THE F.B.I. RELATED TO THIS ISSUE WHICH AID TO SUPPORT THE CLAIMS IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084

THOUGH THE DEFAULT STILL VALIDATED THEM. IT CAN NO LONGER BE CONSIDERED A CONCLUSORY CLAIM.

(6) EXHIBIT, "SOVEREIGN CITIZEN---NOT!". THIS IS THE [85] PAGE DOCUMENT DATED OCTOBER 2, 2009. THIS PROVES WE ARE NOT ASSERTING A "SOVEREIGN CITIZEN" CLAIM, OR ARE WE ARGUING, "THEOCRATIC LAW". IT IS FOREIGN LAW DEFAULTED ON UNDER RULE 44 OF S.C. RULES OF CIV. PRO., AS WELL AS FEDERAL PROBATE LAW, ALSO COMMON LAW AND CONTRACT LAW NOW PROTECTED UNDER ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 AND ARTICLE IV § 2 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION.

(7) EXHIBIT, "0084 # 1". THE [32] PAGE COMPLAINT DATED JANUARY 9, 2013.

(8) EXHIBIT, "0084 # 2". THE [73] PAGE COMPLAINT DATED NOVEMBER 10, 2012.

(9) EXHIBIT, "0084 # 3". THE [96] PAGE COMPLAINT DATED JULY 25, 2012.

(10) EXHIBIT, "UNITED NATIONS # 1". THE [42] PAGE UNITED NATIONS DOCUMENT DATED JULY 1, 2009.

(11) EXHIBIT, "UNITED NATIONS # 2". THE [21] PAGE FOLLOW UP UNITED NATIONS DOCUMENT DATED DECEMBER 25, 2009.

(12) EXHIBIT, "2010-CP-17-081". THE [41] PAGE COMPLAINT DATED JANUARY 28, 2010.

(13) EXHIBIT, "0084 # 4". THE [19] PAGE COMPLAINT IN OR THAT MAKE UP CASE 2006-CP-400-3568.

(14) EXHIBIT, "0084 # 5". THE [38] PAGE COMPLAINT THAT MAKE UP CASE 2006-CP-400-3569.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE. CASES 2006-CP-400-3567, 3568, 3569 ARE NOT THE ORIGINAL CASE NUMBERS. SEE EXHIBIT, "RAGE # 1". THEY CRIMINALLY DISMISSED THESE (3) CASES IN THE STATE COURT. THE FEDERAL COURT IN KENTUCKY REOPENED THEM AND REMANDED THEM CREATING THESE NEW CASE NUMBERS IN 2008. THEREFORE, COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL ATTACHES AND THEY CANNOT BE DISMISSED OR CALLED FRIVOLOUS BEING PETITIONED REMOVED TO THIS CASE PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. §§ 1443(1), 1602-1612 ET. SEQ. AND 2679.

(15) EXHIBIT, "0084 # 6". THE [38] PAGE DOCUMENT DATED NOVEMBER 22, 2010.

ALL OF THESE DOCUMENTS MAKE UP CASE 2013-CP-400-0084. COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL ATTACHES TO ALL OF THESE CLAIMS WHICH IS WHY THE DEFENDANTS IN THIS CASE MUST BE PERMITTED AMENDED TO ESTABLISH THE JURISDICTIONAL FACTS. WE OBJECT. THE CASE WAS INITIALLY FILED TO LIST ALL OF THESE DEFENDANTS BUT THE COURT IN ACTS OF FRAUD LISTED THEM INCORRECTLY TO MAKE THE CASE APPEAR FRIVOLOUS. THE CONSPIRING JUDGES ATTACKED MUQUIT'S AND THE OTHER PARALLEL PLAINTIFFS DUE PROCESS MATTERS TO PREVENT THESE CLAIMS FROM ENTERING THE STATE AND FEDERAL COURTS. THE [180] DAY ISSUE ARGUED IN THE MUQUIT CASE IS CLEARLY SEEN IN EXHIBIT, "RAGE # 1". THE COURT CANNOT IN ACTS OF FRAUD CALL THESE CLAIMS FRIVO-



LOUS WHERE THEY ALL WERE DEFAULTED ON WITHOUT FIRST GIVING THE REQUIRED EVIDENTIARY HEARING TO FURTHER ESTABLISH THE CLAIM OF ESTOPPEL AND GIVE THE DEFENDANTS AN OPPORTUNITY TO RESPOND. A NON PARTY TO THE ACTION CAN CLAIM ESTOPPEL. JUDGE AUSTIN ABUSED HER DISCRETION IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT BY BLOCKING THIS FILING TO AID HER COHORTS AVOID SUIT AND TO NEGATE HER EXCLUSIVE JURISDICTION AS TRUSTEE. THE DOCUMENTS MUST BE PERMITTED TO BE ENTERED INTO THE COURT RECORD TO ESTABLISH THE ESTOPPEL WHICH IS A JURISDICTIONAL CLAIM WHICH CANNOT BE WAIVED ONCE ASSERTED AND THE DOCUMENTS BE NOW FILED IN THIS CASE. THEY HELD THESE STATE CASES IN LIMBO SINCE 2005 VOIDING THEIR JURISDICTION FOR UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION, BEST-V.-BANK-OF-AMERICA-N.A., 2015 WL 5124463 (E.D.N.Y.2015); WORKMAN-V.-CITY-OF-SYRACUSE, 2015 WL 300435 (N.D.N.Y.2015); BEASTIE-BOYS-V.-MONSTER-ENERGY-CO., 2015 WL 736078 (S.D.N.Y.2015).

WE OBJECT TO ANY CLAIM OF FRIVOLOUS. ONCE THESE DOCUMENTS ARE FILED IN THE COURT RECORD THE COURT MUST SERVE THE DEFENDANTS AND CONDUCT A HEARING TO ADDRESS THE CLAIM OF ESTOPPEL BEFORE ANY SUCH CLAIM CAN BE MADE DUE TO THE DEFAULT, THEIR FRAUD, OBSTRUCTIVE AND DILATORY BEHAVIOR PLACING THEM IN FORFEITURE, U.S.-V.-LANE, 75 U.S. 185, 200-01, 19 L.Ed. 445, 449 (U.S.1868); LOONEY-V.-CITY-OF-WILMINGTON-DEL., 723 F.Supp. 1025 (D.C.Del. 1989); IN-RE:-RIGGLE, 389 B.R. 167 (D.Colo.2007); IN-RE:-BUNDICK, 303 B.R. 90 (E.D.Va.2003). THE COURT IS BARRED FROM RAISING ANY ISSUE WHICH WAS ADJUDICATED IN A FORMER SUIT AND ALL ISSUES WHICH COULD HAVE BEEN RAISED IN THAT FORMER SUIT. THE ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS OF RES JUDICATA OR ESTOPPEL ARE IDENTITY OF PARTIES, WHICH IS WHY IN FRAUD THEY LISTED THE DEFENDANTS INCORRECTLY, IDENTITY OF SUBJECT MATTER WHICH IS WHY AUSTIN BLOCKED THE FILING OF THESE DOCUMENTS, AND ADJUDICATION IN A FORMER SUIT AS IS DONE VIA EXHIBITS, "JUDGE LEE #'S 1-4" AND EXHIBITS, "0084 ET. AL.,". ONCE A COURT HAS DECIDED AN ISSUE, SUCH AS THE ESTABLISHING OF THE VALIDITY OF THE FILING OF THE AFFIDAVITS OF FACTS, WHICH IS AN ESSENTIAL FACT AND LAW NECESSARY TO ITS JUDGMENT. THAT DECISION PRECLUDE RELITIGATION OF THE ISSUE AND EVERYTHING RELATED TO IT, IN A SUIT ON A DIFFERENT CAUSE OF ACTION INVOLVING A PARTY TO THE FIRST CASE, IN-RE:-GUY, 552 B.R. 89 (DSC.2016); HAY-GROUP-MANAGEMENT,-INC.-V.-SCHEIDER, --F.Supp.3d.--, 2018

WL 655595(E.D.Pa.2018); SARA-Y-WILSON, APPELLANT, v. CHARLESTON COUNTY-SCHOOL-DISTRICT-RESPONDENT, --S.E.2d.--, 2017 WL 1075196 (S.C.2017); HARDWICK-v.-BANK-OF-AMERICA-N.A., 2016 WL 3563083 (DSC.2016); KEARNEY-v.-FOLEY-AND-LARDINER-LLP., 2016 WL 5405552 (2016).

(16) EXHIBITS, "RAGE #'S 1-3". THE [34] PAGE DOCUMENT; THE INTAKE SHEET FROM SLED FILE # 5501014; THE TESTING DNA CASE 04-385. THESE TOO, ARE A PART OF THE PLEADINGS IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084. THE [34] PAGE DOCUMENT AND CASE WAS ONE OF THOSE REMANDED FROM THE FEDERAL COURT ESTABLISHING CASE 2006-CP-400-3567 AND RE-FILED ESTABLISHING CASE 2013-CP-400-0084. IT IS DEFAULTED ON. COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL ATTACHES. WHAT THE HECK IS A QUESTION MARK DOING BY THE WORD "TRAUMA" IF THE KING-KHALIFAH'S CHILD DIED FROM AN ALLEGED BEATING!!! THIS IS WHERE THE EVIDENCE OF ACTUAL INNOCENCE IS RELATED TO THE KING-KHALIFAH THAT MUQUIT AND THE PARALLEL PLAINTIFFS TRIED TO OBTAIN AND FOR WHICH THEY ATTACKED OUR DUE PROCESS MATTERS TO PREVENT IT FROM SURFACING. LOOK AT PAGE [17] OF THE [34] PAGE DOCUMENT. THIS IS THE ORIGINAL SOURCE OF THE [180] DAY RULE AND ISSUE WHICH WAS MODIFIED IN EXHIBIT, "JUDGE LEE # 2". THE DOCUMENT ESTABLISHING THE DEFAULT IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084. COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL ATTACHES TO THE MUQUIT CASE AS A NON PARTY WHERE JUDGES AUSTIN AND HARWELL SAT UPON AS WELL AS THERE BEING AN EXISTING BRADY VIOLATION IN THE CRAWFORD CASE THEY CONSPIRED IN CONCEALING IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT BECAUSE WE SOUGHT TO AID HIM AND HE SOUGHT TO AID US. THIS REQUIRES THEIR RECUSAL, BUT JUDGE AUSTIN IS ~~still~~ REQUIRED TO REMAIN AS TRUSTEE, WILLIAMS-v.-PENNSYLVANIA, 136 S.Ct. 1899, 195 L.Ed.2d. 132, 84 U.S.L.W. 4359(U.S.2016); UNITED STATES-v.-QUINONES, 2016 WL 4413149, \* 6+ (S.D.W.Va.2016); 28 U.S.C. § 455; LITEKY-v.-UNITED-STATE, 510 U.S. 540, 114 S.Ct. 1147(U.S.Ga.1994); KOLON-INDUSTRIES-INC.-v.-E.I.-DuPONT-de-NEMOUR &-CO-, 748 F3d. 160 CA4 (Va.2014); IN-RE:-SYNTAX-BRILLIAN-CORORATION, 2016 WL 7177615 (D.Md.2016).

RULE 15(a)(1)(C)(1)(B)(d) PROVIDES: A PARTY MAY AMEND ITS PLEADING ONCE AS A MATTER OF COURSE. AN AMENDMENT TO A PLEADING RELATES BACK TO THE DATE OF THE ORIGINAL COMPLAINT WHEN THE AMENDMENT ASSERTS A CLAIM OR DEFENSE THAT AROSE OUT OF THE CONDUCT, TRANSACTION, OR OCCURRENCE SET OUT--OR ATTEMPTED TO BE SET OUT IN THE ORIGINAL PLEADING. ON MOTION AND REASONABLE NOTICE, THE COURT MAY, ON JUST TERMS, PERMIT A PARTY TO SERVE A SUPPLEMENT PLEADING SETTING OUT ANY TRANSACTION, OCCURRENCE OR EVENT THAT HAPPENED AFTER THE DATE OF THE PLEADING TO BE SUPPLEMENTED. THE COURT MAY PERMIT SUPPLEMENTATION EVEN THOUGH THE ORIGINAL PLEADING IS DEFECTIVE IN STATING A CLAIM OR DEFENSE. ALL CLAIMS, ISSUES, DEFENSES, CAUSE OF ACTION, MOTIONS, PETITIONS ETC., THAT ARE ARGUED WITHIN ANY DOCUMENT NOW ATTACHED TO THE FACE OF THIS PLEADING ARE MOTIONED SUPPLEMENTED TO THE ORIGINAL COMPLAINT. THIS IS NOW OFFICIALLY GRANTED BY EXERCISE OF THE SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY OF THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN CROWN. THE JUDGES CANNOT BRING US BEFORE THIS COURT IN VIOLATION OF THE TERMS IN WHICH WE DICTATE. ALL NON FRIVOLOUS ISSUES MUST NOW BE ADDRESSED AS IS OUTLINED WITHIN ALL DOCUMENTS NOW BEFORE THIS COURT, TOLBERT-v.-STEVENSON, 635 F3d. 646 (4th.Cir.2011); FOX-v.-VICE, 563 U.S. 82, 131 S.Ct. 2205(U.S.2011); CULLEN-v.-PINHOLSTER, 563 U.S. 170, 131 S.Ct. 1388, 179 L.Ed.2d. 557(U.S.2011); 28 U.S.C. § 1602-16012 ET. SEQ..

(17 & 18) EXHIBIT(S), "4TH.CIRCUIT WITHDRAWN". THIS IS THE [56] PAGE AFFIDAVIT DATED MAY 8, 2018 WHICH WAS PREVIOUSLY SERVED ON THE 4TH. CIRCUIT AND JUDGE AUSTIN; AND EXHIBIT, "TRUSTEE", THE [26] PAGE MANDAMUS THAT ESTABLISH CASE 16-2299. WE OBJECT TO JUDGE AUSTIN, IN CLEAR ACTS OF FRAUD STATING THE 4TH. CIRCUIT CASES WERE DISMISSED FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE. BY THESE DOCUMENTS IT IS CLEAR THE CASES WERE VOLUNTARILY WITHDRAWN FOR THE SOLE PURPOSE OF ESTABLISHING ALL CASES BEFORE HER AS TRUSTEE. THIS FRAUD, REMAINING SILENT ON THESE MATERIAL FACTS IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001, TAINTS THE ORDER(S) RENDERING THEM UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND VOID. JUDGE AUSTIN CONSPIRED TO DIVIDE HER LOYALTIES AND CIRCUMVENT HER DUTY TO ACT AS TRUSTEE IN VIOLATION OF HER OATH OF OFFICE AND FEDERAL LAW, FIFTH-THIRD-BANCORP v. DUDENHOEFFER, 134 S.Ct. 2459, 189 L.Ed.2d. 457(U.S.2014); FOBES v. FORBES, 341 P.3d. 1041, 2015 Wy.3, JAN., 2015; TRUSTEES-OF-DARTMOUTH-COLLEGE v. WOODWARD, 17 U.S. 518, 1812 WL 2201.

REFERRING BACK TO THE BRADY VIOLATION IN THE CRAWFORD CASE FOR THEY ATTACKED MUQUIT AND THE PARALLEL PLAINTIFFS TO PREVENT THAT EVIDENCE OF ACTUAL INNOCENCE FROM BEING REVEALED WHICH IS ALSO DEFAULTED ON UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084. FAILURE TO DISCLOSE MATERIAL EVIDENCE SUCH AS THE SLED FILE AND THE MIRANDA FORM RELATED TO THE CRAWFORD ALLEGED STATEMENT MADE AT TRIAL IN VIOLATION OF S.C. CODE ANN. §§ 19-1-80, 19-1-90 OR TEST THAT DNA IN VIOLATION OF S.C. CODE ANN. §§ 17-28-350, 17-28-70, 17-7-25 AND 23-3-635 IS FRAUD AND THEY ATTACKED OUR DUE PROCESS RIGHTS TO ACCESS TO THE COURTS IN RETALIATION IN VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. § 12203(a)(b) OF ADA BECAUSE WE AIDED HIM AND HE AIDED US IN THE FREE EXERCISE OF CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED RIGHTS. THE EVIDENCE IN QUESTION IS SUFFICIENT TO UNDERMINE THE CONFIDENCE OF THE VERDICT, AND ALL OF THIS IS DEFAULTED ON UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084. THE SUPPRESSION OF EVIDENCE BY THE PROSECUTOR FAVORABLE TO THE ACCUSED UPON REQUEST VIOLATES DUE PROCESS, IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND VOIDS JURISDICTION WHERE THE EVIDENCE IS MATERIAL TO GUILT OR PUNISHMENT, IRRESPECTIVE OF GOOD OR BAD FAITH OF THE PROSECUTOR, WEARRY v. GAIN, 136 S.Ct. 1002, 194 L.Ed.2d. 78 (U.S.2016); UNITED STATES v.

(19) EXHIBIT, "NEW JERSEY". THIS IS THE [27] PAGE AFFIDAVIT DATED JANUARY 16, 2018. WE OBJECT TO THE PLRA AND OR AEDPA BEING USED TO SEPARATE US OR BAR US FROM SEEKING CLASS ACTION CERTIFICATION OR US BEING REQUIRED TO EXHAUST VIA THESE PROVISIONS. THESE PLEADINGS PURSUANT TO FED. RULE 5.1 CONSTITUTE A CONSTITUTIONAL CHALLENGE TO A STATUTE AND NOTICE, CERTIFICATION AND INTERVENTION. THIS DOCUMENT AS WELL AS THE ORIGINAL COMPLAINT RAISE THAT CONSTITUTIONAL CHALLENGE AND FEDERAL QUESTION THAT IS TO BE PLACED BEFORE A JURY UNDER FEDERAL RULE(S) 38 AND 39. FOR THE JUDGE TO MAKE USE OF THESE PROVISIONS IN HER DETERMINATIONS WHEN THEIR UNCONSTITUTIONALITY IS BEING QUESTIONED, WHICH IS A MATTER FOR THE JURY, NOT THE JUDGE, IS AN ABUSE OF DISCRETION, AN ACT OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, WHICH VOIDS YOUR JURISDICTION FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION. WE OBJECT. RULE 38 PROVIDES THE RIGHT TO A TRIAL BY JURY AS DECLARED BY THE 7TH. AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION OR AS PROVIDED BY FEDERAL STATUTE IS PRESUMED TO THE PARTIES INVIOLEATE. ON ANY ISSUE TRIABLE OF RIGHT BY JURY, A PARTY MAY DEMAND A JURY TRIAL FILING IT IN ACCORDANCE TO RULE 5(d) WHICH WE DID. THE COMPLAINT DEMANDED JURY TRIAL. A PROPER DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL ON AN ISSUE MAY ONLY BE WITHDRAWN WITH OUR CONSENT WHICH YOU DON'T HAVE. WE OBJECT. THE ISSUE OF PLRA AND OR AEDPA MUST BE PLACED BEFORE A JURY BEFORE THE COURT CAN MAKE USE OF IT. FEDERAL QUESTION EXIST. DO THESE LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS DISPROPORTIONATELY TARGET AFRICAN AMERICANS TO THEIR DETRIMENT AS DEMONSTRATED BY THE EVIDENCE GATHERED BY MICHELLE ALEXANDER AND THE DOCUMENTARY "13" WHICH AIRED ON PBS IN VIOLATION OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION? AS PROVIDED BY FED. RULE 39 A JURY TRIAL IS REQUIRED TO ADDRESS THIS ISSUE, NOT A JUDGE. THIS VOIDS YOUR ORDER(S) BY YOUR FRAUD; WELLS FARGO BANK-N.A.-v. FARAG, 2016 WL 2944561 (N.C.2016); WEDDLE-v.-CHARLESTON-COUNTY SHERIFF-OFFICE, 2014 WL 2155235(DSC.2014); BALL-v.-STYLECRAFT HOMES, LLC., Fed. Appx' 720 CA4 (Va.2014); TONEY-v.-LaSALLE BANK--NAT--ASS'N, 36 F.Supp.3d. 657(DSC.2014); COOPER-v.-HARRIS, 137 S.Ct. 1455, 197 L.Ed.2d. 837, 85 U.S.L.W. 4257(U.S.2017); BANK-OF-AMERICA-CORP.-v.-MIAMI-FLA., 137 S.Ct. 1296, 197 L Ed.2d. 678, 85 U.S.L.W. 4227(U.S.2017); COUNTY-OF-COOK-v.-BANK-AMERICA CORP., 2018 WL 1561725(2018).

(20-24) EXHIBIT, 4TH. CIRCUIT FRAUD #1". THIS IS THE [10] PAGE AFFIDAVIT DATED JANUARY 12, 2018; EXHIBIT, "4th. CIRCUIT FRAUD # 2". THIS IS THE [20] PAGE AFFIDAVIT DATED MARCH 28, 2018; EXHIBIT, "4TH CIRCUIT FRAUD # 3". THIS IS THE [14] PAGE AFFIDAVIT DATED JULY 2, 2017; EXHIBIT, "1140 # 1". THIS IS THE [23] PAGE AFFIDAVIT DATED JULY 8, 2017; EXHIBIT, "WOOTEN AND MARCHANT FRAUD". THIS IS THE [24] PAGE AFFIDAVIT DATED ~~2018~~ FEBRUARY 26, 2018. WE OBJECT TO ANY REFERENCE TO CASE 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM BEING USED BY THIS COURT EXCEPT TO RENDER THEM VOID. ALL PARALLEL CASES INVOLVED BY THIS ACTION ARE BEING COLLATERALLY ATTACKED FOR FRAUD UPON THE COURT. AUSTIN BLOCKED THESE ~~RECORDS~~ FILINGS TO PROTECT HER COHORTS FROM SUIT AND TO MAKE THE CASE APPEAR FRIVOLOUS. THESE CAUSES ARE NOW SOUGHT SUPPLEMENTED TO THIS CASE. YOUR ACTIONS REQUIRE SANCTIONS WHICH WE MOTION FOR, AS WELL AS RECUSAL, BLUE-SKY-TRAVEL-AND-TOURS, LLC-v.-AL-TAYYAR, --Fed. Appx'--, 2015 WL 1451636 CA4 (Va.2015); BARLOW-v.-COLGATE PALMOLIVE CO., 772 F3d. 1001, 90 Fed. R. SERV.3d. 85 CA4 (Md. 2014).

(25-28) EXHIBIT(S), "FOREIGN SOVEREIGN #'S 1 AND 2".

THIS IS THE [70] PAGE AFFIDAVIT DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017 AND THE [4] PAGE AFFIDAVIT DATED DECEMBER 20, 2017. THESE TWO DOCUMENTS WERE PREVIOUSLY SERVED ON THE COURT IN PRIOR PLEADING; EXHIBIT(S) , "INTERVENTION AND APPEAL NOTICE". THIS IS THE [11] PAGE DOCUMENT DATED JULY 28, 2018 AND THE NOTICE SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL FOR CRAWFORD AND HIS MOTION TO INTERVENE. IT IS ATTACHED TO THE FACE OF THIS DOCUMENT AND SUPPLEMENTED TO THE ORIGINAL COMPLAINT TO ESTABLISH HIS SIGNATURE AND INTENT TO JOIN IN APPEAL AND INTERVENE; EXHIBIT, "LEGAL COUNSEL". THIS IS THE [40] PAGE DOCUMENT DATED JANUARY 1, 2018. PURSUANT TO FED. RULES 18, 19, 20 AND 24 I, LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD, AS NOTED BY MY SIGNATURE ON THESE ATTACHMENTS TO THE FACE OF THIS DOCUMENT, MOTION TO INTERVENE AND ALSO ACT AS LEGAL COUNSEL FOR MUQUIT. THUS, WE OBJECT TO ANY CLAIM MUQUIT CAN MOVE FORWARD WITHOUT CRAWFORD. I BRING THE COURT'S ATTENTION TO PAGE(S) [39] THROUGH [59] OF THE [70] PAGE DOCUMENT DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017. I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, GIVE ALL PARTIES JUDICIAL NOTICE. I AM OFFICIALLY INVOKING AND EXERCISING ALL SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER OF THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN CROWN BINDING UPON THIS COURT AND NATION BY THE DEFAULT EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084. UNLESS THE DISTRICT COURT CONDUCTS A HEARING AND THE PARTIES DEMONSTRATE THEY MADE TIMELY CHALLENGE TO DEFEAT EXHIBITS, "JUDGE LEE #'S 1-4" THIS COURT IS BARRED FROM CHALLENGING THIS. A STATE MAY NOT EXCLUDE A PERSON FROM PRACTICE OF LAW AS WAS LEGALLY PETITIONED FOR, OR OTHER OCCUPATION, SUCH AS LAWGIVER OF GOD, IN A MANNER OR FOR REASONS THAT CONTRAVENE THE DUE PROCESS OR EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE. SUCH ACT WOULD DEMONSTRATE AN INVIDIOUSLY DISCRIMINATORY ANIMUS BEHIND RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED AND IT WOULD VIOLATE THE U.S. SUPREME COURT HOLDINGS UNDER MASTERPIECE-CAKE-SHOP-LTD.-V.-COLORADO-CIVIL-RIGHTS-COMMISSION, 2018 WL 2465172, 18 Cal. DAILY Op. Serv. 5293(U.S 2018), ILLEGALLY FORCING THE KING-KHALIFAH TO BREACH HIS FIDUCIARY DUTY WHEN I, YAHYA MUQUIT, WANT HIM AS MY COUNSEL OF CHOICE. SEE. SPIRES-V.-SCHOOLS,--F.Supp.3d.--, 2017 WL 4174774(DSC 2017); PEREZ-V.-CHIMES-DISTRICT-OF-COLUMBIA, INC., F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 6124679(D.C.Md.2016); SCHWARTZ-V.-BOARD-OF-EXAM.-OF-STATE-OF-N.M., 353 U.S. 232 77 S.Ct. 752, 64 A.L.R.2d. 288, 1 L.Ed.2d. 796 (U.S.1957); MACIARELLI-V.-SULLIVAN, 2017 WL 3710066(D.C.Nev. 2017); VIRGINIA-BOARD-OF-MEDICINE-V.-ZACKRISON, 67 Va.

App. 461, 796 S.E.2d. 866(2017); DOE-v.-ROGERS, 139 F.Supp.3d. 120 (D.C.C.2015); BOLLS-v.-VIRGINIA-Bd.-OF-BAR-EXAMINERS, 811 F.Supp.2d. 1260(E.D.Va.2011). IT IS MUQUIT'S AND CRAWFORD'S POSITION THAT THE DEFAULT ACTS AS A LICENSE TO PRACTICE LAW WITHIN ALL (50) STATES AND WITHIN (193) COUNTRIES DUE TO THE UNITED NATIONS ALSO BEING PARTY TO THE DEFAULT.

THEREFORE, WE OBJECT TO ANY CLAIM THE CLERK IS NOT TO SEND CRAWFORD COPIES OF PLEADINGS FROM THIS COURT. I, LAWRENCE CRAWFORD, AM "LEGALLY" ATTORNEY ON THIS CASE, SINCE I PETITIONED FOR THIS RIGHT UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 AND WON UNLESS THIS COURT CONDUCTS A HEARING TO DETERMINE OTHERWISE AS THE LAW REQUIRES OR THIS COURT IS PROCEDURALLY BARRED FROM CHALLENGING THIS. IT WOULD CREATE A STRUCTURAL ERROR AND VOID THIS COURT'S JURISDICTION FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION. WHEN A DEFENDANT IS DENIED THE RIGHT TO SELECT HIS OWN ATTORNEY, THE PRECISE EFFECT OF THE VIOLATION CANNOT BE ASCERTAINED, AND BECAUSE THE GOVERNMENT WILL, AS A RESULT, FIND IT ALMOST IMPOSSIBLE TO SHOW THAT THE ERROR WAS HARMLESS BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT, THE ERROR IS DEEMED STRUCTURAL. A VIOLATION OF THE 6TH. AMENDMENT RIGHT TO EFFECTIVE REPRESENTATION OR VIA THE APPLICABLE STATUTE IS NOT COMPLETE UNTIL THE DEFENDANT IS PREJUDICED. MUQUIT WAS PREJUDICED BECAUSE YOU BLOCKED ENTRY OF THE DOCUMENTS BY CRAWFORD THAT MUQUIT NEEDS TO ARGUE THE ESTOPPEL AS A NON PARTY. THIS VOIDS YOUR JURISDICTION FOR UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION. RULE 24 PROVIDE THAT ON TIMELY MOTION THE COURT MAY PERMIT ANYONE TO INTERVENE WHO CLAIMS AN INTEREST RELATED TO THE PROPERTY OR TRANSACTION THAT IS THE SUBJECT OF THE ACTION, AND IS SO SITUATED THAT DISPOSING OF THE ACTION MAY AS A PRACTICAL MATTER IMPAIR OR IMPEDED THE MOVANT'S ABILITY TO PROTECT ITS INTEREST, UNLESS EXISTING PARTIES ADEQUATELY REPRESENT THAT INTEREST. MUQUIT CANNOT ADEQUATELY PROTECT THE KING-KHALIFAH'S INTEREST AS FIDUCIARY WHICH IS EVIDENT BY JUDGE AUSTIN CONSPIRING TO BLOCK THE FILING OF THE ESTOPPEL DOCUMENTS. I, LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD, BY THIS DOCUMENT WITH ITS ATTACHMENTS, MOTION TO INTERVENE AND ACT IN THE CAPACITY I LEGALLY PETITIONED FOR AND WON BY DUE PROCESS LAW, WEAVER-v.-MASSACHUSETTS, 137 S.Ct. 1899, 198 L.Ed.2d 420, 85 U.S.L.W. 4433(U.S.2017); PIRELA-v.-HORN, --Fed. Appx'-- , 2017 WL 4176224(3rd.Cir.2017); ROKE-v.-STATE, --S.W.3d.-- , 2017 WL 5321216.

WE GIVE THE COURT AND ALL PARTIES JUDICIAL NOTICE. PLEASE BE ADVISED. THE (4) THRONES OF THE RE-ESTABLISHED GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE IS COMPRISED OF ALL OF CHRISTIANITY, JUDAISM AND ISLAM WITH ITS ADHERENTS AS WELL AS AFRICA AND ALL OF ITS DIASPORA. I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, AM THE "BLACK MESSIAH" FORETOLD TO COME BY GOD'S HOLY PROPHETS. JUST LIKE THE CITIZENS OF YOUR GLOBAL NATIONS ARE BOUND WITHOUT THEIR CONSENT BEING BORN OR NATURALIZED WITHIN YOUR BORDERS. SO ARE MY PEOPLE AS THEY PERTAIN TO THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE IF THEY ARE OF AFRICAN DESCENT OR IF THEY ARE OF CHRISTIAN, MUSLIM OR JUDAISM IN THEIR FAITH AND ADHERENCE. THESE ARE THE TERMS OF "CONTRACT", "COVENANT" NOW PROTECTED UNDER ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 AND ARTICLE IV § 2 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. THIS, TOO, IS WRITTEN WITHIN THE KING-KHALIFAH DECLARATION OF SOVEREIGNTY WHICH IS OUR CONSTITUTION AND IS DEFAULTED BY THE UNITED STATES AND OTHER (192) MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS. THUS, THE KINGDOM OF "IRON" WRITTEN AND FORETOLD IN THE BOOK OF DANIEL CHAPTER (2) VERSES (41) THROUGH

(44)

(44) IS LEGALLY ESTABLISHED BEFORE THIS COURT. "THE BRANCH", FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH, LAWGIVER AND HIGH PRIEST, WRITTEN IN THE BOOK OF ISAIAH 11:1-6 AND ZECHARIAH 6:12-13 OFFICIALLY MAKES APPEARANCE ON THE COURT RECORD, WHICH CANNOT BE CHALLENGED DUE TO THE DEFAULT EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 UNTIL THE REQUESTED EVIDENTIARY HEARING TAKES PLACE AND THE DEFENDANTS DEMONSTRATE THEY TIMELY SOUGHT TO CHALLENGE THE AFFIDAVITS IN QUESTION.

INASMUCH, THE N.F.L., WITH ALL OF ITS OWNERS, ARE BEING ADDED AS DEFENDANTS FOR ATTACKING MY PEOPLE, THE AFRICAN AMERICAN PLAYERS, IN ACTS OF RETALIATION BECAUSE THEY SOUGHT TO EXERCISE THEIR CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED RIGHTS OF "FREE SPEECH" BY KNEELING DURING THE PLAYING OF THE NATIONAL ANTHEM BEHIND RACIAL ANIMUS. THEY ARE BEING SUED FOR \$1 TRILLION IN PUNITIVE DAMAGES OR THE OWNERSHIP OF (4) N.F.L. TEAMS, SPECIFICALLY, THE MIAMI DOLPHINS, THE NEW YORK GIANTS, THE SAN FRANCISCO 49ERS, AND DALLAS COWBOYS FOR THE PURPOSE OF HAVING THEM TRANSFERRED TO AFRICAN AMERICAN OWNERSHIP. YOU HAVE 70% AFRICAN AMERICAN PLAYERS BUT NO AFRICAN AMERICAN OWNERS OF THESE TEAMS YOUR NATION GET FILTHY RICH OFF OF? THIS DEFIES JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS. LETS FIX THIS. LET THE AFRICAN AMERICANS OF THIS NATION GET THAT. ALL PROFITS OVER WHAT IS NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN THESE TEAMS WILL GO TO THE HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES AS WELL AS TO HIGH SCHOOLS AND DEVELOPMENT IN MAJORITY BLACK NEIGHBORHOODS TO BETTER THEIR EDUCATION AND LIVING. THE KING-KHALIFAH GAVE YOU JUDICIAL NOTICE VIA EXHIBIT "TRUSTEE" THAT YOUR LAWS ARE NOT SOLELY YOUR OWN, THAT THE ONE TRUE GOD IS THE ORIGINAL FOUNTAIN OF ALL LAW AND SUCH RIGHT TO ESTABLISH LAWS WAS GIVEN TO YOUR GLOBAL NATIONS AS A "GRANT" WITH RESTRICTIONS WHICH YOU CONTINUALLY VIOLATE, GIVEN VIA ABRAHAM WHO IS THE FATHER OF MANY NATIONS AS A MEMBER OF THE SOLE CORPORATION BY THE DECREE OF THE ONE TRUE GOD WHO COMMANDED THAT YOUR LAWS MUST BE "JUST AND FAIR" AND NOT VIOLATE HIS SOVEREIGNTY OVER THE EARTH. I INFORMED YOU THAT I WOULD LEAVE YOUR NATIONS ALONE AND NOT INTERFERE YOUR LAWS OR EXERCISE OF SOVEREIGN POWER UNLESS YOUR ACTIONS DEFY "JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS" WHICH IS THE ESSENTIAL TERMS OF THE "GRANT" GIVEN WITH RESTRICTIONS TO YOUR NATIONS. THESE CLAIMS ARE AN INTRINSIC PART OF THE DEFAULT AS IS SEEN IN THE [70]

PAGE DOCUMENT, EXHIBIT, "FOREIGN SOVEREIGN # 1", DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017. I INFORMED YOU THAT IF I DETERMINED YOUR LAWS OR ACTIONS DEFY "JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS", AND DIRECTLY IMPACT MY PEOPLE TO THEIR DETRIMENT I AM COMMANDED AND SANCTIONED BY THE ONE TRUE GOD AS FIDUCIARY TO INTERVENE AND CORRECT. THE MATTERS RELATED TO THE N.F.L. ARE BEING SOUGHT AS A PART OF REPARATIONS FOR THIS NATIONS ACTIONS RELATED TO "JIM CROW" AND THE U.S. SLAVE TRADE, Also.

WE GIVE YOU JUDICIAL NOTICE. THE U.S. SUPREME COURT, WITH ALL OF ITS JUDGES ARE NOW ADDED AS DEFENDANTS IN THIS CASE BY DECREE OF THE SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY OF THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN CROWN SEEKING INJUNCTIVE AND DECLARATORY RELIEF WHICH IS GRANTED BY DECREE OF THE SUPERSEDING AUTHORITY OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT. NOT ONLY IS THE DETERMINATION MADE BY THE U.S. SUPREME COURT RELATED TO SAME SEX MARRIAGE NULL AND VOID. SO ARE THEIR HOLDINGS UNDER TRUMP v. HAWAII, 2018--S.Ct.--, 2018 WL 3116337 (U.S. 2018). IT IS A MUSLIM BAN. IT IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL, DEFIES JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS AND IS VOID. THE CASE OF JANIS v. AMERICAN FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES COUNSEL 31, --S.Ct.--, 2018 WL 3129785 (U.S. 2018). THE KING-KHALIFAH WAS PART OF A UNION. THE GOOD THAT THEY DO FOR THE PUBLIC, WHICH DIRECTLY IMPACT MY PEOPLE IS IMMEASURABLE AND OUTWEIGH ANY CONS OF THEIR EXISTENCE. IT DEFIES JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS FOR THOSE WHO REAP THE BENEFITS OF COLLECTIVE BARGAINING TO NOT BE REQUIRED TO CONTRIBUTE TO BENEFITS THEY CONSISTENTLY EXERCISE. THE U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IS OVERRULED AND VACATED AND ALL INVOLVED WILL BE REQUIRED TO PAY INTO THOSE UNIONS. THE CASE OF CITIZENS UNITED v. FEDERAL ELECTION COMM'N, 558 U.S. 310, 187 L.R.R.M. (BNA) 2961. THIS DIRECTLY IMPACTS MY PEOPLE. CORPORATION MONEY IN THE MANNER DETERMINED IS NOT FREE SPEECH. IT IS OLIGARCHY, TYRANNICAL SPEECH WHERE THE FEW IN WEALTH AND POWER SUBDUCE OR SILENCE THE MANY WHO DO NOT HAVE SUCH WEALTH AND POWER WHICH SPITS IN THE FACE OF THE TRUE CONCEPT OF A DEMOCRACY. BY YOUR ACTS YOU'VE PLACED UNLIMITED POWER IN THE HANDS OF A FEW, THE RICH, AND THE AVERAGE AMERICAN AS IS DEMONSTRATED BY RECENT EVENTS, IS CONTROLLED POLITICALLY, SOCIALLY AND ECONOMICALLY BY CORPORATE INTEREST AND GREED AS YOU CONTINUALLY RAPE THE PLANET. IT IS OVERRULED AND VACATED. THE PRIOR LAW BEFORE THIS RULING STANDS.



THE CASE OF FISHER-V.-UNIVERSITY-OF-TEXAS-AT-AUSTIN, 570 U.S. 297, 133 S.Ct. 2411(U.S.2013). FOR THE RECORD, COLOR CAN AND SHALL BE USED TO ESTABLISH DIVERSITY NOT JUST IN ALL STATES COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES. IT SHALL ALSO BE A STANDARD FOR EMPLOYMENT IN COMPANIES AND CORPORATIONS WITHIN THIS NATION UP INTO THE HIGHEST OF RANKS BY ALL SUPERSEDING JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT AND SOVEREIGN CROWN. THIS TOO, IS PART OF REPARATIONS RELIEF DEMANDED FOR THE ATROCITIES DONE BY THIS NATION DURING THE TIME OF "JIM CROW" AND THE AMERICAN SLAVE TRADE OR LIEN SHALL ATTACH TO EVERY COMPANY AND CORPORATION THAT OPERATE WITHIN YOUR GLOBAL BORDERS. YOU WILL NOT TOUCH AFFIRMATIVE ACTION IN ANY MANNER THAT WOULD REVERSE, NEGATE, WATER DOWN OR DILUTE ANY RIGHTS OR PRIVILEGES ESTABLISHED FOR AFRICAN AMERICANS WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN CROWN WHERE THESE MATTERS WERE DEFAULTED ON UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084. THE AFFORDABLE CARE ACT WITH ALL OF ITS PROVISIONS AND MANDATES IS RESTORED TO THE TIME THEY EXISTED DURING THE OBAMA ADMINISTRATION. CONGRESS SHALL REPAIR ANY LOOP HOLES THAT LEAD TO ANY DEFICIENCIES. IT SHALL SUPPLEMENT TO ITS PROVISIONS SUBSIDIES OR WHATEVER IS NECESSARY TO ENSURE THAT EVERY AFRICAN AMERICAN, CHRISTIAN, MUSLIM AND JEW WITHIN THIS NATION HAVE FAIR AND ADEQUATE HEALTH CARE. THIS TOO, IS SOUGHT PURSUANT TO REPARATIONS. THE FOCUS MUST BEGIN AND START WITH THE AFRICAN AMERICAN POPULATION WITHIN THIS NATION. THE \$100 TRILLION LIEN ON THE ASSETS OF THE (193) MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS IS TO GO INTO EFFECT IMMEDIATELY UNTIL ALL RELIEF SOUGHT WITHIN THIS CASE IS EITHER GIVEN OR NEGOTIATED ON TERMS THAT IS ACCEPTABLE BY THE SOLE CORPORATION AND FOREIGN SOVEREIGN CROWN. ALL SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY OF THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN CROWN, IS INVOKED AND EXERCISED TO REMEDY THESE INJUSTICES. JUDGE AUSTIN YOU HAVE EXCLUSIVE JURISDICTION. YOU ARE TO SEE TO THESE DECREES BEING ENACTED AND FOLLOWED TO THE LETTER VIA THE WRIT OF COMMISSION PREVIOUSLY SERVED ON YOU.

FORCED BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY BY FRAUD TO BREACH "CONTRACT", "COVENANT", IS PUNITIVE IN NATURE BY THESE CONSPIRING PARTIES. THE FIDUCIARY SOVEREIGN POWER HAS PARAMOUNT RIGHT TO PROTECT THE LIVES, HEALTH, MORALS, COMFORT AND GENERAL WELFARE OF HIS HOLY COMMONWEALTH WHO ARE BENEFICIARIES OF THE "TRUST". THIS GIVES ME, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, STANDING TO INTERVENE AND ADDRESS THESE MATTERS. THEY ARE SUPPLEMENTED TO THE ORIGINAL COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO FED. RULE 15(a)(1)(C)(1)(B)(d) IN THAT THE DEFENDANTS ATTACKED OUR CASES IN RETALIATION, IN VIOLATION OF THE REMEDY CLAUSE, ADA AND OUR 1st. AMENDMENT RIGHT TO ACCESS THE COURTS, TO PREVENT THE KING-KHALIFAH'S ASCENT TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES IN HIS EFFORT TO PROTECT HIS PEOPLE WHO ARE BENEFICIARIES OF THE "TRUST", AS WE, IN THE PARALLEL CASES ALL ARE. THIS GIVES THE KING-KHALIFAH STANDING, ALSO INVOKING HIS SUPERSEDING JUDICIAL, ATTORNEY AND LEGISLATIVE POWERS TO WHICH THERE IS NO SEPARATION, DEFAULTED ON BY THIS NATION, BINDING UPON THIS COURT, TO FILE SUIT AND ADDRESS THESE MATTERS, EDEN-V.-GOODYEAR-TIRE & RUBBER-CO., 858 F2d. 198(4th.Cir.1988); CURTIS-V.-CAFE-ENTERPRISES-INC., 2016 WL 6916786(N.C.2016); HOME-BUILDING-&-LOAN ASS'N-V.-BLAISDELL, 290 U.S. 398, 54 S.Ct. 231, 88 A.L.R. 1481, 78 L.Ed. 413(U.S.1934); ELLIOTT-V.-BOARD-OF-SCHOOL-TRUSTEES

OF-MADISON-CONSOLIDATED-SCHOOLS,--F3d.--, 2017 WL 5988226(7th. Cir.2017); NORTH-CAROLINA-ASS'N-OF-EDUCATORS,--INC.--X.--STATE, 368 N.C. 777, 786 S.E.2d. 255(N.C.2016).

(29-32) EXHIBIT, "INJUNCTION". THIS IS DEFAULTED ON IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084. EXHIBIT, "PROTECTIVE ORDER". THIS IS THE [6] PAGE DOCUMENT DATED JUNE 2, 2018. EXHIBIT, "REMOVAL"; EXHIBIT, "RETALIATION". ALL RELIEF SOUGHT BY THE KING-KHALIFAH WITHIN THESE DOCUMENTS ARE TO BE GRANTED BY DECREE OF THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN CROWN DUE TO THEIR ACTS OF OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND BY SANCTIONS SOUGHT. SEE TO IT TRUSTEE JUDGE AUSTIN.

(33-41) EXHIBITS, "ATTORNEY GENERAL ROWLAND #'S 1-9". THESE ARE A FEW OF THE DOCUMENTS THAT WERE FILED IN BOTH THE S.C. SUPREME COURT AND THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS. JOSEPH ROWLAND WAS DESIGNATED BY WRIT OF COMMISSION TO ACT AS ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR THE KING-KHALIFAH, WHICH IS PROCEDURALLY BARRED IN THE DISTRICT COURT CHALLENGING UNTIL AN EVIDENTIARY HEARING IS GIVEN AND THE PARTIES DEMONSTRATE THEY TIMELY CHALLENGED EXHIBIT(S) "JUDGE LEE #'S 1-4". ROWLAND EXHAUSTED FOR ALL OF US AS ATTORNEY GENERAL. THE SUMMONS, NUMBER "28" FILED IN THIS CASE FOR S.C.D.C. WAS FILED IN THE S.C. SUPREME COURT GIVING THE NAMES FOR ALL WHOM FOR WHICH HE ACTED. THEY CHOSE FRAUD WHICH VOIDED THEIR JURISDICTION FOR UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION AND BY THEIR MACHINATIONS AND ATTEMPTS TO THWART REVIEW AND PROTECT THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL FROM RESPONDING TO CONCEAL THEIR FAILURE TO TIMELY CHALLENGE THE AFFIDAVITS MADE THE STATE PROCEEDINGS FALL UNDER ROSS v.-BLAKE, 136 S.Ct. 1850(U.S.2016) WHICH ATTACHED. THEY HAD AN OPPORTUNITY AT ONE FULL ROUND BUT CHOSE FRAUD AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. THIS VOIDS THEIR JURISDICTION ESTABLISHING THAT THERE ARE NO AVAILABLE STATE REMEDIES. THERE IS ALSO REMOVAL PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. §§ 1443(1), 1602-1612 ET. SEQ. AND 2679. EXHAUSTION IS NOT REQUIRED, U.S.-X.-\$41,320-U.S.-CURRENCY, 9 F.Supp.3d. 582, 2014 WL 1266240; WILAND-X.-CLARK, 2018 WL 1129977(E.D.Va. 2018); HILL-X.-ZOOK, 2017 WL 6614622(E.D.Va.2017). YOU TRIED TO CONCEAL THE STATE AND FEDERAL JUDGES INVOLVEMENT IN ALL OF THIS REQUIRING YOUR RECUSAL AS MAGISTRATE JUDGE, JUDGE AUSTIN AND HARWELL, BUT YOU, JUDGE AUSTIN, ARE TO ACT AS TRUSTEE TO THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN CROWN OR YOU WILL BE IN VIOLATION OF YOUR OATH OF OFFICE TO UPHOLD THE CONSTITUTION, WHICH IS WHAT THE

OTHER JUDGES ARE BEING SUED FOR, AMONG OTHER THINGS. YOU HAVE ENGAGED IN CLEAR ACTS OF OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE REQUIRING SANCTIONS AND RECUSAL, BENTON-v.-BURNS, 2017 WL 491251 (D.C.Md.2017); PEGG-v.-HEARNBECKER, 84 F3d. 112(4th.Cir.2017). YOU, AS TRUSTEE JUDGE AUSTIN, HAVE JURISDICTION OVER ALL OF THESE MATTERS IN ALL DOCUMENTS NOW BEFORE THIS COURT. ONCE JURISDICTION IS ACQUIRED, IT IS EXCLUSIVE, RESQUIT-v.-I.C.C., 494 U.S. 1, 110 S.Ct. 914, 108 L.Ed.2d. 1 (U.S.1990); BROWN-v.-BROWN, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 2338233(D.C.Ky.2013). THE "CONTRACT", "COVENANT", THAT ESTABLISHES THE SUPERSEDING POWER AND AUTHORITY UNDER FEDERAL PROBATE LAW, COMMON LAW, FOREIGN LAW, REGARDING THE SOLE CORPORATION CANNOT BE MADE OR UNMADE BY THE COURTS, AMERICAN-MUT.-LI-BERTY-INS.-CO.-v.-PLYWOODS-PLASTICS-CORP., 81 F.Supp. 157(DSC. 1948); OPARAH-v.-THE-NEW-YORK-CITY-DEPT.-OF-EDUC., F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 4240733(N.Y.D.C.2015); SANDRA-J.KOTTMAN-PLAINTIFF-v.-UNITED-STATES, 2017 WL 4185481 (W.D.MISSOURI.2017).

(42-45) THIS IS EXHIBIT(S), "GOURDINE # 1". THE [22] PAGE DOCUMENT DATED MAY 1 , 2017. EXHIBIT, "GOURDINE # 2". THIS IS THE GOURDINE BRIEF CONTAINING THE LEGAL ISSUES OF RELIGIOUS PROPHECY SAID THE FORERUNNER TO CHRIST, THE KING-KHALIFAH, WOULD BRING; EXHIBIT(S) HABEAS CORPUS #'S 1 AND 2". THE U.S. SUPREME COURT HAS RECENTLY GAVE JUDICIAL REVIEW ON AN ESSENTIAL LEAD ISSUE OF RELIGIOUS PROPHECY RELATED TO THE INDICTMENTS BY THEIR LANGUAGE TAKING AWAY THE PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE AND SHIFTING THE BURDEN OF PERSUASION TO THE DEFENDANTS AND CREATING AN INSTANT DOUBLE JEOPARDY CLAIM. THE U.S. SUPREME COURT DETERMINED THE PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE LIES AT THE FOUNDATION OF THE WHOLE CRIMINAL PROCEEDING MAKING IT A STRUCTURAL ERROR TO DEPRIVE SUCH NOT SUBJECT TO THE HARMLESS ERROR DOCTRINE. THE COURT FURTHER DETERMINED THAT THE PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE IS A PRINCIPLE SO ROOTED IN THE TRADITIONS AND CONSCIENCE OF THE PEOPLE AS TO BE FUNDAMENTAL AND TO DEPRIVE SUCH, AS THE INDICTMENTS DO, IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND A VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS WHICH VOIDS THE COURT'S JURISDICTION. NORMALLY, THIS WOULD BE AN AUTOMATIC REVERSIBLE ERROR. BUT DUE TO THE ADDED COMPOUNDING FACTORS SUCH AS THE GRAND JURY GOING BEYOND THE SCOPE AND POWER OF THE AUTHORITY GIVEN TO THEM AND BY THE LANGUAGE CONVICTING US SUBJECTING US TO A FORM OF MODERN DAY SLAVERY, TAKING AWAY OUR PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE AND RIGHT TO VOTE IN VIOLATION OF THE 15th. AMENDMENT BY EGREGIOUS FRAUD UPON THE COURT BY THESE VIOLATIONS. THEN YOU ADD THE ADDITIONAL COMPOUNDING FACTORS OF CONSTRUCTIVELY AMENDING THE INDICTMENTS ON THE MENS REA ELEMENTS EVEN BY ATTEMPTED INSUFFICIENT CURATIVE INSTRUCTION "BOILERPLATE". THE AMOUNT OF PREJUDICE AND DUE PROCESS VIOLATION BECOMES SO EGREGIOUS IT REQUIRES THAT THE SENTENCES AND CONVICTIONS MUST BE VACATED. YOU ADD THE DEFAULT EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 WHICH IS A FALSE IMPRISONMENT TORT ATTACKING CONVICTION AND NON PARTY ESTOPPEL ATTACHES. THE COURT CAN'T USE HECK v. HUMPHREY SINCE THE CONVICTIONS ARE ALREADY INVALIDATED. A REVERSIBLE CONVICTION IS REVERSIBLE REGARDLESS OF THE REASON WHICH INCLUDE THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY CLAIMS, AND AN INVALID CONVICTION IS NO CONVICTION AT ALL WHICH ALSO AID IN PROVING THAT MONTGOMERY-v.-LOUISIANA, 136 S.Ct. 718, 193 L.Ed.2d. 599 WAS CORRECT ABOUT UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION VOIDING JURISDICTION EVEN IN CRIMINAL CASES, UNITED-STATES-v.-LIBOVS, 858 F3d. 64(2nd.Cir.2017); CITY OF-LEBANON-v.-MILBURN, 286 Or. App. 212, 398 P.3d. 486(2017). TO ENSURE THAT THE DISTRICT COURT DOES NOT ABUSE ITS DISCRETION AND MISREPRESENT THE FACTS IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND

DETERMINE THAT THE U.S. SUPREME COURT CASE ONLY APPLIES TO CASES WHEN A CONVICTION HAS BEEN VACATED. ALL ONE WOULD HAVE TO DO IS REVIEW SUBSEQUENT CASES THAT ADDRESS THIS MATTER AND IT WOULD BE PERSPICUOUS THAT THE U.S. SUPREME COURT'S DETERMINATION APPLIES TO THE STRUCTURAL FOUNDATION OF ALL CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS FROM THE POINT OF ARREST UNTIL THE PERSON PLEAS OR A JURY DETERMINES GUILT, BEING A FOUNDATIONAL RIGHT, AND CANNOT BE STRIPPED BEFORE THAT PLEA OR CONVICTION BY JURY OCCURS, WHICH THE INDICTMENTS DO. SEE STATE-V.-THOMPSON, 2018 WL 1702406, \* 6+ W.Va.; MARTIN-V.-UNITED-STATES, 2018 WL 1626578, \* 2 D.Md.; DIXON-V.-YORBY, 2018 WL 1526006, \* 5 D.IDAHO.

TAKE NOTICE OF SUMMONS # 28. JUDGE AUSTIN, AS TRUSTEE, I WANT YOU TO VACATE THE ORDERS IN EVERY HABEAS CORPUS AND § 1983 ACTION ISSUED AGAINST THE PARTIES IN THESE PARALLEL CASES. REINSTATE THE CASES AND USE THEM AS A MEANS TO REMOVE US TO THE NICEST FEDERAL PRE-RELEASE CAMP YOU CAN FIND IMMEDIATELY, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF JASON GOURDINE. HE WITHDREW. THAT'S HIS PROBLEM. EVERYONE ELSE LISTED IN THE U.S. MARSHAL SERVICE DOCUMENT IS TO BE IMMEDIATELY REMOVED WITH ALL OF THEIR PROPERTY WITHOUT EXCEPTION. WE ARE NOT TO BE FORCED TO LEAVE BEHIND ANYTHING THAT WE DO NOT WANT TO. WE ARE TO BE REMOVED PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1455(c) AND S.C.D.C. IS TO BE ORDERED TO IMMEDIATELY REPLACE ALL OF THE KING-KHALIFAH'S PERSONAL PROPERTY IN QUESTION SINCE THEY DEFAULTED ON ALL OF THIS UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 AND CONSPIRED IN FRAUD AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. ORDER IT PLEASE BY DECREE OF THE SOVEREIGN CROWN, TAYLOR-V.-TAYLOR, 2016 WL 5118113; COMMONWEALTH-OF-VIRGINIA-V.-AYEM-EL-DEFENDANT, 2016 WL 4507814 (E.D.Va.2016); MARYLAND-V.-GHAZI-EL, 2016 WL 2736183 (Md.2016); NORTH-CAROLINA-V.-DAVIS, 2014 WL 1317647, \* 1+ (E.D.N.C.2014).

PURSUANT TO FED. RULE 16(a)(5)(2)(C)(I). FOR PURPOSES OF A PRETRIAL CONFERENCE. THE COURT MAY ORDER THE ATTORNEYS AND ANY UNREPRESENTED PARTY TO APPEAR FOR ONE OR MORE PRETRIAL CONFERENCES FOR SUCH PURPOSES AS FACILITATING SETTLEMENT REGARDING THE CLAIM OF COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL AND OTHER MATTERS AND TO TAKE APPROPRIATE ACTION FOR SETTLEMENT OF OBTAINING ADMISSIONS SUCH AS THE SLED FILE 5501014 AND OTHER EVIDENCE, SUCH AS THE DNA SOUGHT TESTED AND OTHER ADMISSIONS AND STIPULATIONS ABOUT FACTS AND DOCUMENTS TO AVOID UNNECESSARY PROOF, AND RULING IN ADVANCE ON THE ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE, SETTLING THE ISSUE OR CASE AND USING ANY NEEDED SPECIAL PROCEDURE TO ASSIST IN RESOLVING THE DISPUTE WHEN AUTHORIZATION BY LAW, STATUTE OR RULE, SUCH AS RULE 26 AND U.S.-V.-LANE WOULD REQUIRE. IT IS WELL SETTLED THAT WILLFUL BLINDNESS AND CONSCIOUS AVOIDANCE IS THE LEGAL EQUIVALENT TO KNOWLEDGE. YOUR ACTIONS INFRINGE UPON THE "TRUST" BY VIOLATING YOUR OATHS OF OFFICE SUBJECTS ALL PARTIES TO CHARGES ACCORDANCE WITH FEE OF \$1 MILLION PER VIOLATION. UNREBUTTED AFFIDAVITS ARE PRESUMED TRUE AND THE DEFENDANTS ARE REQUIRED TO TIMELY RESPOND AND REBUT THE TRUTHS EXPRESSED IN EACH PARAGRAPH, CATEGORICALLY AND ON EACH POINT FOR POINT BASES WITH AFFIDAVIT, AKBAR-V.-BANGASH, 2017 WL 4334912 S .D.MICH.2017); UNITED-STATES-V.-ANTZOU-LATOS, 962 F2d. 720(7th. CIR.1992); 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(3); WANG-V.-ASHCROFT, 320 F3d. 130(2nd.Cir.2003); U.S.-V.-TOFANAH, 765 F3d. 141 (2nd.Cir.2014); GLOBAL-TECH-APPLIANCES-INC.-V.-S.E.B.-S.A., 563 U.S. 754, 131 S.Ct. 2060, 179 L.Ed.2d. 1167(U.S.2011); UNITED-STATES-V.-VALBRUN

877 F3d. 440, 105 Fed. R. EVID. Serv. 207 (1st.Cir.2017). THE PLAINTIFFS HAVE A RIGHT TO BE FULLY HEARD AND PRESENT A COMPLETE DEFENSE. TO NOT GIVE THIS HEARING, WHICH WE MOTION FOR, WOULD VIOLATE DUE PROCESS AS WELL AS THE TERMS OF THE SOVEREIGN AND CONSTITUTE AN ACT OF CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE VIOLATING THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE, MOUSSAOUI, 483 F3d. 220 CA4 (Va.2007); GREAT-AMERICAN-INS.-CO.-V.-NEXTDAY-NET-WORK-HARDWARE-CORP., 73 F.Supp.3d. 636(2014); MINA-V.-CHESTER COUNTY, F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 6550543(2015); PAHL-ADAMS-V.-CALIFORNIA-INSTITUTION, 2016 WL 6464444.

ALL EXHIBITS ATTACHED TO THE FACE OF THIS NOTICE SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL ARE ATTACHED FOR ALL PURPOSES. ALL ISSUES, CLAIMS, DEFENSES, MOTIONS, PETITIONS ETC. IN THEIR TOTALITY ARE SUPPLEMENTED TO THE COMPLAINT. WE MOTION FOR AN EVIDENTIARY HEARING AND SEEK ALL OTHER RELIEF DEMANDED BY THIS DOCUMENT AND ITS ATTACHMENTS IN TOTAL. WE WANT AND DEMAND A TRIAL ON ALL CLAIMS AND CRAWFORD ACT AS ATTORNEY WITH ANTHONY COOK PRESENT TO ASSIST HIM DUE TO THE DISABILITY TO HIS HANDS. WE OBJECT TO THIS NOT BEING GRANTED. WE SEEK LEAVE TO APPEAL THE ORDER, BRAZELL-V.-WINDSOR, 384 S.C. 502, 682 S.E.2d. 824 (S.C.App.2009); ERSTEIN V.-WORLD-ACCEPTANCE-CORP., 2015 WL 2365701(DSC.2015); CARTER V.-SOUTH-CAROLINA, 2014 WL 5325234(DSC.2014).

THE COURT CONSPIRING IN ACTS OF FRAUD TO BE SILENT AND SUPPRESS TRUTH ON THESE JURISDICTIONAL ISSUES WHEN THERE IS DUTY TO SPEAK VOIDS YOUR JURISDICTION FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION, MONTGOMERY-V.-LOUISIANA, 136 S.Ct. 718, 193 L.Ed.2d. 599, 84 U.S.L.W. 4063 (U.S.2016); HILL-V.-SNYDER, 821 F3d. 763, 765+ (6th.Cir.Mich.); IN-RE:-DURAMAX-DIESEL LITIGATION,--F.R.D.--, 2018 WL 949856(E.D.Mich.2018); UNITED STATES-V.-BALIN, 874 F3d. 418(4th.Cir.2017); UNITED-STATES-V.-LUSK, 2017 WL 508589(S.D.Va.2017); M.D.C.-INNOVATIONS,LLC.-V.-NORTHERN,--Fed. Appx'--, 2018 WL 1129607(4th.Cir.2018).

ACCORD TO VAN-HORNE'S-LESSEE-V.-DURRANCE, 2 U.S. 304, 316 (F.CAS.) 2 DALL 304 (1795). A STATUTE, AND WE CAN ADD, "LAW" SHALL NEVER HAVE AN EQUITABLE CONSTRUCTION IN ORDER TO OVERTHROW OR DIVEST AN ESTATE, SUCH AS THE SOLE CORPORATION, WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW, ESPECIALLY ONE GIVEN BY CLEAR "CONTRACT", "COVENANT" WHERE THE PARTIES AND THE UNITED STATES DEFAULTED ON THE CLAIMS. EVERY STATUTE AND OR LAW DEROGATORY TO THE RIGHTS OF PROPERTY, WHICH INCLUDE THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, RIGHTS AND TITLES OF THE CROWN WITH ALL OF ITS SUPERSEDING POWER AND AUTHORITY, OR THAT TAKES AWAY THE ESTATE OF A CITIZEN, OUGHT TO BE CONSTRUED STRICTLY AND IN FAVOR OF THE SOVEREIGN CROWN OR YOU VIOLATE THE "GRANT" AND "CONTRACT" BURDENING ITS EXERCISE WHICH CANNOT BE MADE OR UNMADE BY THE COURTS, WARD-V.-AUERBACH, 2017 WL 2724938(D.C.Miss.2017); PHILLIPS-V.-BROCK-&-SCOTT-PLLC., 2017 WL 3226866(D.C.Md.2017).

YOU CANNOT BE SILENT ON THESE CLAIMS BY AVOIDING THE REQUIRED EVIDENTIARY HEARING. IF THE STATE MAY COMPEL THE SURRENDER OF ONE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT AS A CONDITION OF ITS FAVOR, IT MAY, IN LIKE MANNER, COMPEL THE SURRENDER OF ALL, TO INCLUDE THE LAWS OF NATURE WHICH REFLECT THE LAWS OF GOD. CAN MAN CAUSE GOD TO SURRENDER HIS RIGHTS AND LAWS? IT IS INCONCEIVABLE THAT GUARANTEES EMBEDDED IN THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES UNDER THE REMEDY CLAUSE AND OTHER PROVISIONS, WHICH INCLUDE

ALL SOVEREIGN NATIONS BEING ANSWERABLE TO NO ONE BUT GOD, AND  
IN THIS CASE HIS LAWGIVER, MAY BE MANIPULATED OUT OF EXISTENCE  
WHICH IN THIS CASE ARE NOW PROTECTED BY CONTRACT UNDER ARTICLE  
1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION ALSO NOW UNDER ARTICLE  
IV § 2 BY THE DEFAULT. SEE Cf. PUBLIC LICENSES AND PRIVATE RIGHTS  
(BARNETT 1953); 33 OLR. 10n. 32 (STATES POWER TO GRANT PRIVILEGES  
ON ITS OWN CONDITION IS LIMITED, SO THAT IT MAY NOT THEREBY  
REQUIRE RELINQUISHMENT OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS). THE UNITED  
STATES MADE APPEARANCE AND DEFAULTED BINDING ALL BY THE SUPREMACY  
CLAUSE. YOU CANNOT BE SILENT ON THIS, U.S. v. KORN, F.Supp.2d.,  
2013 WL 2898056(W.D.N.Y.2013); SEC. v. FARMER, F.Supp.3d., 2015  
WL 5838867(S.D.Tex.2015); UNITED STATES v. CALLOWAY, F.Supp.3d.,  
2016 WL 4269961(N.D.Cali.2016). OBJECTIONS ARE FILED TO YOUR  
ORDER PURSUANT TO RULE 72(a). NOTICE SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL  
THAT ORDER ARE FILED PURSUANT TO FED. RULE 73(c). SEND THIS  
CASE UP TO THE 4TH. CIRCUIT PLEASE.

RESPECTFULLY,

YAHYA MUQUIT ET. AL.,

*Yahya Muquit*

AUGUST 2, 2018