

FILED  
IN CLERKS OFFICE

2011 OCT -3 AM 11:24

U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MASS.

Exhibit

~~Foreign Sovereign~~

# 1

2

"File in case 11-cv-1476-ADB"

COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE 4TH. CIRCUIT ET. AL.,

RECEIVED

-----  
PETITION FROM SOUTH CAROLINA  
(CASES 2:17-cv-1127-JMC-MGB ET. AL.,)

JAN 17 2018

S.C. SUPREME COURT

-----  
DOCKET NO.(S) 17-6693; 17-6925; 17-6960; 17-7139; 17-7137;  
17-7134; 17-7068; 16-1953; 16-2141; 17-1415; 16-2299;  
17-7186; 17-7410; 17-7428; 17-7532 ET. AL.,

-----  
CHRISTOPHER DARNELL WILSON; JASON MORRIS GOURDINE; LAWRENCE  
L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE AKA JAHJAH  
AL MAHDI ET. AL.,

PETITIONER(S)

Vs.

JUDGE ROBERT E. HOOD; THE JUDGES WHO SIGNED THE ORDER IN CASE  
16-1953; THE UNITED STATES; WARDEN MCFADDEN ET. AL.,


DEFENDANT(S)

-----  
AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE  
-----

WE, CHRISTOPHER WILSON ET. AL., DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT WE HAVE  
MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING  
JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING WRIT OF ERROR; MOTION FOR AN INJUNCTION  
AND OR PROTECTIVE ORDER; MOTION FOR RECUSAL; MOTION TO CHALLENGE  
THE COURT'S JURISDICTION UNDER CASE(S) 17-7532 AND 17-7186;  
MOTION FOR A STAY; MOTION TO WAIVE THE (6) MONTH STATEMENTS

IN CASE(S) 17-7410 AND 17-7428; MOTION TO EXCEED THE PAGE LIMIT;  
MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, ON THE 4TH.  
CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS AND ALL INVOLVED PARTIES BY U.S. MAIL  
POSTAGE PREPAID BY DEPOSITING IT IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX  
ON DECEMBER 22, 2017.

RESPECTFULLY,  
JAHJAH AL MAHDI



JASON MORRIS GOURDINE



CHRISTOPHER DARNELL WILSON



DECEMBER 22, 2017

COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE 4TH. CIRCUIT ET. AL.,

---

PETITION FROM SOUTH CAROLINA  
(CASES 2:17-cv-1127-JMC-MGB ET. AL.,)

---

DOCKET NO.(S) 17-6693; 17-6925; 17-6960; 17-7139; 17-7137;  
17-7134; 17-7068; 16-1953; 16-2141; 17-1415; 16-2299;  
17-7186; 17-7410; 17-7428; 17-7532 ET. AL.,

---

CHRISTOPHER DARNELL WILSON; JASON MORRIS GOURDINE; LAWRENCE  
L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE AKA JAHJAH  
AL MAHDI ET. AL.,

PETITIONER(S)

Vs.

JUDGE ROBERT E. HOOD; THE JUDGES WHO SIGNED THE ORDER IN CASE  
16-1953; THE UNITED STATES; WARDEN McFADDEN ET. AL.,

DEFENDANT(S)

---

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING  
WRIT OF ERROR; MOTION FOR AN INJUNCTION AND OR PROTECTIVE  
ORDER; MOTION FOR RECUSAL; MOTION TO CHALLENGE THE  
COURT'S JURISDICTION UNDER CASE(S) 17-7532 AND 17-7186;  
MOTION FOR A STAY; MOTION TO WAIVE THE (6) MONTH  
STATEMENTS IN CASE(S) 17-7410 AND 17-7428; MOTION TO  
EXCEED THE PAGE LIMIT; MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND MOTION  
TO MOTION THEREFOR

---

IN RE: TO GIVING ALL CASES CAPTIONED NOTICE OF EVENTS RELATED TO BOTH CASE(S) 17-7532 AND 17-7186.

TO: THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS ET. AL.,

THE PETITIONERS IN THESE PARALLEL CASES GIVE THE COURT AND PARTIES JUDICIAL NOTICE. HERE THE COURT IN BOTH CASE(S) 17-7532 AND 17-7186 WILL FIND:

(1) A COPY OF EXHIBIT, "17-6960". THIS IS THE (65) PAGE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING WRIT OF ERROR;\*\*\*, DATED OCTOBER 15, 2017. A TYPED VERSION OF THE PLEADING IS ALREADY FILED IN ALL PARALLEL CASES.

(2) PLRA APPLICATIONS TO PROCEED WITHOUT PAYMENT OR FEES FOR GOURDINE, WILSON AND CRAWFORD, THE KING-KHALIFAH AKA JAHJAH AL MAHDI.

(3) A COPY OF EXHIBIT, "GOURDINE". THE [14] PAGE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE, FILING WRIT OF ERROR; NOTICE OF SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL;\*\*\*, DATED JULY 2, 2017.

(4) EXHIBIT, "MAHDI". A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT THE CAUSES OF ACTION AGAINST JUDGE HARWELL\*\*\*, [23] PAGES DATED JULY 8, 2017.

(5) A COPY OF EXHIBIT, "TRUSTEE". THIS IS THE DOCUMENT THAT MAKES UP CASE 16-2299.

ALL CLAIMS, ISSUES, DEFENSES, PETITIONS, MOTIONS ARGUED WITHIN THESE DOCUMENTS ARE NOW BEING ARGUED WITHIN BOTH CASES 17-7532 AND 17-7186. ENOUGH GAMES HONORABLE JUDGES OF THE 4TH. CIRCUIT. YOU ARE TO GRANT THE INJUNCTION AND OR PROTECTIVE ORDER SOUGHT WITHIN THESE PARALLEL CASES. WE MOTION FOR THIS AND THAT MOTION IS TO BE NOW GRANTED BY YOU BY DECREE AND JUDGMENT OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND COURT WHOSE

SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY ARE BINDING UPON THIS COURT DUE TO THE CLAIMS OF DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 IN RICHLAND COUNTY S.C. TO WHICH THE UNITED STATES IS PARTY TO THAT DEFAULT WHICH IS ALSO PROTECTED UNDER BOTH ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 AND ARTICLE IV § 2 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. THE GRANTING OF THE INJUNCTION MUST NOW BE DEEMED FORFEITED DUE TO THE OBSTRUCTION, MACHINATION, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND FRAUD ENGAGED IN BY THESE DEFENDANTS AND ALL COURTS INVOLVED ALSO PRODUCING STATE INTERFERENCE THAT RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL BY THE JUDGES ALSO USING THESE CLERKS AND CASE MANAGERS AS PROXY, HODGKINS-v.-PETERSON, F.Supp.2d., 2000 WL 33128726 (S.D.Ind.2000); U.S.-v.-SCOTT, 958 F.Supp. 761(1997); HANKINS v.-WETZEL, 2014 WL 4918813(D.C.Pa.2014); BARDRES-v.-HALEY, 58 F.Supp.3d. 514(DSC.2014); LORD-&-TAYLOR-LLC-v.-WHITE-FLINT, L.R.P. 780 F3d. 211 CA4 (Md.2015); U.S.-v.-SOUTH-CAROLINA, 720 F3d. 518 CA4 (S.C.2013); WALL-v.-WADE, 741 F3d. 492 CA4 (Va.2014)

. IT IS SO ORDERED.

THE PETITIONERS GIVE THE COURT AND PARTIES JUDICIAL NOTICE TO ENSURE THERE IS NO MISREPRESENTATION OF THE FACTS. WE ARE NOT ARGUING "THEOCRATIC LAW". THIS IS A MISNOMER. WE ARE ARGUING "FOREIGN LAW", WHICH HAPPEN TO HAVE THEOCRATIC COMPONENTS WHICH WAS ARGUED UNDER RULE 44 OF S.C. RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE AND THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ACT THAT WAS DEFAULTED ON BY THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL AND THE (193) MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS WHICH INCLUDE THE VATICAN AND THE UNITED STATES, WHERE CRAWFORD, THE KING-KHALIFAH, IS FOREIGN SOVEREIGN FIDUCIARY HEIR TO THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES WITH SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY THAT IS BINDING UPON THIS COURT, BY HIS ORIGINAL STATUS AS SUCH BEFORE THIS NATION WAS FORMED VIA INTERNATIONAL AND FEDERAL PROBATE LAW, BY LEGAL BINDING CONTRACT THAT CANNOT BE MADE OR UNMADE BY THE COURTS WHICH WAS ARGUED AND ESTABLISHED IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 REMOVED TO THE FEDERAL COURT. SO WHEN I TELL YOU THAT THE RULES ARE SUSPENDED WITHIN ALL OF THESE PARALLEL CASES, THEY ARE SUSPENDED UNLESS YOU CAN SHOW THAT THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL AND OR THE UNITED STATES MOVED TO DEFEAT THE AFFIDAVIT(S) OF DEFAULT AND

VOIDING OF JURISDICTION IN A TIMELY MANNER OR PRODUCE AN ORDER THAT IS NOT TAINTED BY FRAUD OR REMOVAL. I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, GIVE THE COURT AND PARTIES JUDICIAL NOTICE THAT I AM OFFICIALLY EXERCISING THAT POWER AND AUTHORITY GIVEN BY THE DEFAULT AND "CONTRACT", "COVENANT", ESTABLISHED BY THE SOLE CORPORATION WHICH IS PROTECTED UNDER BOTH ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 AND ARTICLE IV § 2 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. WHERE THERE IS CONTRACT AND FIDUCIARY DUTY,...THERE IS OBLIGATION. THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT IS THE LAW THAT BINDS JAHJAH AL MAHDI TO ACT RENDERING VOID CASE 17-7532 AND STAYING THAT CASE DUE TO ADDITIONAL ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, AND RENDER VOID ANY ACT DONE BY ASHLEY BROWNLEE IN CASE 17-7186. THE 4TH. CIRCUIT CANNOT MAKE (OR ALTER) THE CONTRACT ESTABLISHED BY THE SOLE CORPORATION (MAKE ANOTHER INTERPRETATION OTHER THAN THAT WHICH IS ESTABLISHED BY THE SOLE CORPORATION, RENDERING ITS OBLIGATION OR POWER VOID BY JUDICIAL DETERMINATION OR USE OF EX POST FACTO LAW). I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, AM SOVEREIGN BY WAY OF MY ORIGINAL STATUS AS SOVEREIGN WITH ALL SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE ATTRIBUTES, (10) THOUSAND YEARS BEFORE THIS NATION WAS FORMED WHERE THE COURTS CANNOT MAKE A JUDICIAL DETERMINATION BASED UPON OR STANDING UPON SUCH EX POST FACTO LAW TO BURDEN THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT AND OBSTRUCT JAHJAH AL MAHDI IN HIS FIDUCIARY DUTIES TO MY GOD AND MY HOLY COMMONWEALTH WHO ARE BENEFICIARIES OF THE "TRUST", AMERICAN-MUT.-LIBERTY-INS.-CO.-v.-PLYWOOD-PLASTICS CORP., 81 F.Supp. 157(DSC.1948); OPARAH-v.-THE-NEW-YORK-CITY DEPT.-OF-EDUC., F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 4240733(N.Y.D.C.2015); INTERNATIONAL-AIRCRAFT-LODGE-1652-v.-INTERNATIONAL-AIRCRAFT-SERVICE INC.-(CHARLESTON), 302 F2d. 808, 49 L.R.R.M. (BNA) 2976(4TH.Cir. 1962); ERIE-R.-CO.-v.-THOMPSON, 304 U.S. 64(1938); OGDEN-v.-SANDERS, 25 U.S. 213(1827); TRUSTEES-OF-DARTHMOUTH-COLLEGE-v.-WOODWARD, 17 U.S. 518, 1819 WL 2201; PEUGH-v.-U.S., 133 S.Ct. 2072, 186 L.Ed.2d. 84, 81 U.S.L.W. 4372(2013); U.S.-v.-WELLS, 578 Fed. Appx' 234 CA4 (Va.2014); SPIRES-v.-SCHOOLS,--F.Supp.3d. --, 2017 WL 4174774(DSC.2017); FIFTH-THIRD-BANCORP.-v.-DUDEN-HOEFFER, 132 S.Ct. 2459, 189 L.Ed.2d. 457, 82 U.S.L.W. 4578(U.S. 2014); IN-RE:-GREEN, 980 F2d. 590(9th.Cir.1992); ANDERSON-v.-LIBERTY-LOBBY-INC., 477 U.S. 242, 106 S.Ct. 2505, 91 L.Ed.2d. 202(U.S.1986); MILLER-v.-PARRISH, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1868028

THE "TERM" OR "CONCEPT" OF A "JUDGE" OR "ATTORNEY" DO NOT COME FROM YOU OR YOUR LAWS. THEY DO NOT COME FROM YOUR NATION, NOR DO THEY COME FROM ENGLAND OR ENGLISH LAW. THEY COME FROM US, THE SOLE CORPORATION, AND IS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GIVEN TO YOUR NATIONS AS A "GRANT" WITH RESTRICTIONS, AS IS THE RIGHT TO LEGALLY MARRY, SET IN PLACE THROUGH ADAM AND ABRAHAM, MEMBERS OF THE SOLE CORPORATION AS IS ARGUED WITHIN EXHIBIT, "TRUSTEE". THEIR OWNERSHIP IS WITH ME, JAHJAH, AS THE FIDUCIARY HEIR, KING, KHALIFAH OF THE SOLE CORPORATION BEING THE ORIGINAL FOUNTAIN OF ALL LAW AND SOVEREIGN POWER. YOU CANNOT LEGALLY ATTACH, ARREST OR EXECUTE THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN SOLE CORPORATION WITHOUT CONSENT, WHICH IS WITHDRAWN, ABSENT OF ITS RIGHTS AND PREROGATIVES. DUE TO THE DEFAULT EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084, AND BY THE "COVENANT", "CONTRACT", AS FIDUCIARY HEIR PROTECTED UNDER BOTH ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 AND THE PRIVILEGE AND IMMUNITIES CLAUSE OF ARTICLE IV § 2 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, THE COURT CANNOT BY MY ORIGINAL STATUS UNDER CONTRACT TAKE AWAY THE KING'S RIGHTS OR PREROGATIVES, OR BURDEN THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT. THE EXPROPRIATION EXCEPTION UNDER THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ACT IS ALSO INVOKED WHERE THE TAKING, ATTACHING ETC. RELATED TO THIS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IS IN VIOLATION OF FEDERAL AND INTERNATIONAL PROBATE LAW, ALSO INVOLVING THE OTHER (192) MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS IN EFFORTS TO DISMANTLE MY VOTING AND DISCRETIONARY POWERS ON THESE MATTERS, DESTROYING THE RESTRICTIONS PLACED UPON THIS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY WHERE THERE IS NO "JUSTICE OR FAIRNESS" IN VIOLATION OF THE CONTRACT, THUS DESTROYING MY CONTROL AS THE SOLE CORPORATION. THE F.S.I.A. APPLIES WHERE BY YOUR ACTS THERE IS DISCRIMINATION BASED UPON NATIONALITY, RELIGION, SUCH AS MY ISRAELI DESCENT AND THE FACT THAT I AM MUSLIM, CHRISTIAN AND JEW COMBINED, A NOVELTY, AND I AM OF AFRICAN BLOOD. THIS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, THESE LEGAL TERMS OR CONCEPTS, ARE IMMOVABLE, WHICH INCLUDE THE RIGHT TO LEGALLY MARRY BEING IMMOVABLE PROPERTY HERE IN THE UNITED STATES FALLING UNDER THE F.S.I.A. THE U.N. GENERAL ASSEMBLY, CONVENTION ON JURISDICTIONAL IMMUNITY



OF STATES AND THEIR PROPERTY, RES. 59/38, ARTS. 5, 10-12 (DEC. 2, 2004) (ADOPTING A RESTRICTIVE THEORY OF IMMUNITY AND WITHDRAWING IMMUNITY FOR LOSS OF PROPERTY WHERE, AMONG OTHER REQUIREMENTS, "THE ACT OR OMISSION OCCURRED IN WHOLE OR IN PART IN THE TERRITORY OF THE OTHER STATE"); UNITED NATIONS GENERAL ASSEMBLY, REPORT OF THE AD HOC COMMITTEE ON JURISDICTIONAL IMMUNITY OF STATES AND THEIR PROPERTY, SUPP. A/59/22 NO. 1, PP.7-11 (MAR 1-5, 2004). ALSO SEE EXHIBIT, "TRUSTEE". ALSO SEE THE CONTRACT UNDER LUKE 11:52; ISAIAH 11:1-5; ZECHARIAH 6:12-13; ISAIAH 16:5; ISAIAH 32:1-4; ISAIAH 42:18-22; JEREMIAH 23:5-6; JEREMIAH 33:15-21 (CRUCIAL ONE); EZEKIEL 34:22-30; EZEKIEL 37:22; DANIEL 11:1-3; ALSO SEE SIMON v. REPUBLIC OF HUNGARY, --F.Supp.3d.--, 2017 WL 4402293 (D.D.C.2017); BOLIVIA-REPUBLIC-OF-VENEZUELA v. HELMERICH & PAYNE-INTERN. DRILLING CO. 137 S.Ct. 1312, 197 L.Ed.2d. 663, 85 U.S.L.W. 4221 (U.S.2017).

STATE AND OR FEDERAL REGULATION IN THIS CASE, OF DESCENT AND DISTRIBUTION OF DECEDENT'S ESTATE MUST GIVE WAY IF SUCH REGULATION IMPAIRS THE FIDUCIARY DUTY AND SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL, LEGISLATIVE AND EXECUTIVE POWER OF THE CROWN, IMPAIRING EFFECTIVE EXERCISE OF U.S. FOREIGN POLICY RELATED TO OUR FOREIGN LEGAL SYSTEM TO INCLUDE THE SUPERSEDING AUTHORITY AND POWER OF THE KING-KHALIFAH'S DECREES, ZSCHERNIG v. MILLER, 389 U.S. 429, 88 S.Ct. 664, 19 L.Ed.2d. 683 (U.S.1968); DOE v. FEDERAL DEMOCRATIC-REPUBLIC-OF-ETHIOPIA, 189 F.Supp.3d. 6 (D.D.C.2016); ARMIDILLO-DISTRIBUTION-ENTERPRISES-INC. v. HAIYUN-MUSICAL-INSTRUMENTS-MANUFACTURE-CO.-LTD. F.Supp.3d., 2014 WL 2815943 (D.C.Fla. 2014); CASSIER v. THYSSEN-BORNEMISZA-COLLECTION-FOUNDATION, 737 F3d. 613 (9th.Cir.2013); AL-SHIMARI v. G.A.C.I.-INTERN. INC. 679 F3d. 205 (4th.Cir.2012).

IN THE GLOBAL NATIONS CONSPIRING TO ATTACH, EXECUTE OR ARREST THE KING-KHALIFAH'S INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IN GIVING SODOMITES AND GOMORRAHRITES THE RIGHT TO LEGALLY MARRY BY JUDICIAL DETERMINATION. SUCH ACTION PRODUCES VIOLATIONS OF THE HOBBS ACT WHICH SET FORTH PROHIBITION AGAINST CONSPIRACY TO INTERFERE WITH COMMERCE BY ROBBING, ATTACHING, EXECUTING OR ARRESTING THIS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IS VALID UNDER COMMERCE CLAUSE ON

ITS FACE AND IS APPLIED TO THESE DEFENDANTS WHO HAVE ESSENTIALLY ROBBED AND HIGH-JACKED THE KING-KHALIFAH'S INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, REMOVING THE RESTRICTIONS PLACED UPON IT BY THE "GRANT" UNDER "CONTRACT", WHERE CATERING AND WEDDING SERVICES, HOTEL AND HONEY-MOON ACCOMMODATIONS, FINANCIAL VENTURES SUCH AS CREDIT CARD AND OTHER BANKING USAGES NATIONALLY AND GLOBALLY PRODUCE COMMERCE ACTIVITIES AND ARE DONE AND MADE ACROSS STATE AND INTERNATIONAL BORDERS. THE APPLICATION OF YOUR STATE AND OR FEDERAL, EVEN INTERNATIONAL LAWS COULD BE BASED ON DE MINIMUS NEXUS TO INTER-STATE COMMERCE, SO LONG AS STATUTE OR LAW REGULATED ACTIVITY WHICH, THROUGH REPETITION, IN AGGREGATE HAD SUBSTANTIAL EFFECT ON INTERSTATE COMMERCE, WHERE MANY OF THESE WEDDING SERVICES ARE EVEN DONE ON THE INTERNET, WHICH INCLUDE WEDDING GIFTS SUCH AS THOSE MATTERS THAT ARE PRESENTLY BEFORE THE U.S. SUPREME COURT IN THE MASTERPIECE CAKESHOP v. COLORADO CIVIL RIGHTS COMMISSION...ADDRESSING FREE SPEECH, RELIGIOUS RIGHTS AND PUBLIC ACCOMMODATION PRODUCING INTERSTATE COMMERCE CLAIM. YOU ARE IN VIOLATION OF THE HOBBS ACT, GUSTO-v.-U.S. 523 U.S. 1011, 118 S.Ct. 1201 (MEM) 140 L.Ed.2d. 329(U.S.1998); U.S.-v.-MILES, 122 F3d. 235(5th.Cir.1997); U.S.C.A. CONST. ART. 1, § 8 Cl. 3; 18 U.S.C.A. § 1951; UNITED-STATES-v.-HENDERSON, F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 6084637(S.D.Tex.2016); UNITED-STATES-v.-RUE, F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 5007930(S.D.Tex.2015); SIBLEY-v.-HERGENROEDER, F.Supp.2d., 2006 WL 3354137(D.C.Md.2006).

DUE TO THE AIDS THAT'S PRODUCED BY SUCH ACTIVITY, THE DEBAUCHERY AND VIOLATIONS OF RELIGIOUS BELIEFS ASSOCIATED WITH SUCH PRACTICES, THAT STAND IN BLATANT DEFIANCE TO THE TERMS OF THE "CONTRACT" WHICH CLEARLY STATE, "THOU SHALT NOT LAY WITH A MAN AS THOU LAYETH WITH A WOMAN", "THE WOMAN SHALL NOT WEAR THAT WHICH PERTAINETH TO A MAN AND A MAN SHALL NOT WEAR THAT WHICH PERTAINETH TO A WOMAN FOR ALL THAT DO SO ARE AN ABOMINATION UNTO THE LORD THY GOD", "THERE SHALL BE NO WHORE OF THE BELIEVERS NOR A SODOMITE OF THE BELIEVERS". SEE DEUTERONOMY 22:5 AND 23:17. THIS IS CLEAR VIOLATION OF THE "GRANT" GIVEN TO YOUR NATIONS WITH RESTRICTIONS AS THUS STATED IN PART. THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN POWER WHOSE AUTHORITY OVER THIS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY EXISTING VIA THE SOLE CORPORATION HAS THE PARAMOUNT RIGHT TO PROTECT

THE LIVES, HEALTH, MORALS, COMFORT AND GENERAL WELFARE OF THE PEOPLE WHICH INCLUDE HIS HOLY COMMONWEALTH WHO RESIDE WITHIN ALL GLOBAL AND OR NATIONAL BORDERS, HOME-BLDG.-&-LOAN-ASS'N v.-BAISELL, 290 U.S. 398, 54 S.Ct. 231, 88 A.L.R. 1481, 78 L.Ed. 413(U.S.1934); ELLIOTT-v.-BOARD-OF-SCHOOL-TRUSTEES-OF MADISON-CONSOLIDATED-SCHOOLS, --F3d.--, 2017 WL 5988226(7th.Cir. 2017); NORTH-CAROLINA-ASS'N-OF-EDUCATORS,-INC.-v.-STATE, 368 N.C. 777, 786 S.E.2d. 255(N.C.2016). SINCE THE KING-KHALIFAH WAS NOT PARTY TO ANY OF THESE ILLEGAL PROCEEDINGS IN QUESTION DEALING WITH THIS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND YOUR COURTS HAD NO CONSENT, THE RULINGS ARE VOID FOR FRAUD AND DUE PROCESS VIOLATION, GORDON-v.-T.B.C.-RETAIL-GROUP,-INC., F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 4247738(DSC.2016); REED-v.-BIG-WATER-RESORT,-LLC., F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 2935891(DSC.2016); DAN-RYAN-BUILDERS,-INC.-v.-CRYTAL RIDGE-DEVELOPEMENT,-INC., 783 F3d. 976, 91 Fed. R. SERV.3d. 625(4th.Cir.2015); WEAVER-v.-MASSACHUSETTS, 137 S.Ct. 1899, 198 L.Ed.2d. 420, 85 U.S.L.W. 4433(U.S.2017); PIRELA-v.-HORN, --Fed. Appx'--, 2017 WL 4176224(3rd.Cir.2017).

THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL MUST RESPOND, NOT THE 4TH. CIRCUIT JUDGES OR YOUR CLERKS OR CASE MANAGERS ACTING AS PROXY FOR THE JUDGES CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF LAW OR AUTHORITY IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT TO ABSTAIN FROM ADDRESSING SUBSTANTIAL FEDERAL QUESTIONS TO CONCEAL MATERIAL FACTS IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001 RENDERING ILLEGAL THESE ENTIRE PROCEEDINGS. THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL OR THE FEDERAL ATTORNEYS MUST RESPOND AND DEMONSTRATE THAT THEY TIMELY SOUGHT TO DEFEAT THE DEFAULT AND VOIDING OF JURISDICTION DONE BY AFFIDAVIT(S) IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 OR ALL RIGHTS, PRIVILEGES, TITLES AND IMMUNITIES STAND. IN SUCH, THE 4TH. CIRCUIT, NOR YOUR CLERKS OR CASE MANAGERS ACTING AS PROXY FOR THE JUDGES CONSPIRING TO CREATE AN INCOMPLETE RECORD AND CIRCUMVENT RULING, ABSTAINING FROM ANSWERING FEDERAL QUESTION, NOR THE STATE MAY EXCLUDE A PERSON, NAMELY, THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH WITH SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY BY HIS ORIGINAL STATUS AS SUCH BEFORE THIS NATION WAS FORMED, TO PRACTICE LAW (LAWGIVER OF GOD, ATTORNEY, JUDGE, LEGISLATOR), OR ANY OTHER OCCUPATION GIVEN TO HIM BY "COVENANT",

"CONTRACT" (FIDUCIARY-KING-KHALIFAH), IN A MANNER OR FOR REASONS THAT CONTRAVENE THE DUE PROCESS POWER AND AUTHORITY GIVEN TO HIM OR IN A MANNER THAT VIOLATES THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE OR ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OR ARTICLE IV § 2 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, SCHWARTZ v. BOARD OF EXAMS OF THE STATE OF N.M., 353 U.S. 232, 77 S.Ct. 752, 64 A.L.R.2d. 288, 1 L.Ed.2d. 796 (U.S.1957); FACEIT v. SULLIVAN, 2017 WL 3710066 (D.C.Nev.2017); VIRGINIA BOARD OF MEDICINE v. ZACKRISON, 67 Va. App. 461, 796 S.E.2d. 866 (2017); DOE v. ROGERS, 139 F.Supp.3d. 120 (D.C.C.2015); BOLLS v. VIRGINIA BD. OF BAR EXAMINERS, 811 F.Supp.2d. 1260 (E.D.Va.2011); PEREZ v. CHIMES DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, INC., F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 6124679 (D.C.Md.2016); IN RE: GREEN, 980 F2d. 590 (9th.Cir.1992). WE MOTION TO EXCEED THE PAGE LIMIT. IT IS GRANTED.

I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, FULFILLED MY DUTY BY YOUR NATION'S DUE PROCESS LAWS AND PEACEFULLY, AS A NON-COMBATANT, FILED LEGAL ACTION TO ESTABLISH ALL RIGHTS, TITLES, PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES . I GAVE PROPER NOTICE AND PROPERLY SERVED ALL PARTIES INCLUDING THE UNITED STATES AND BROUGHT THE MATTERS TO THEM UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 WHERE WE WERE SUBJECTED TO OUTRAGEOUS ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, WHERE THEY DEFAULTED AND JURISDICTION WAS MADE VOID FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION AND OTHER UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION, THEREUPON THAT CASE WAS REMOVED TO THE FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT WITHIN THESE PARALLEL CASES SUB-JUDICE. THE PRIVILEGE AND IMMUNITIES CLAUSE PROTECTS RIGHTS OF CITIZENS, TO INCLUDE OUT OF STATE AND OR EVEN FOREIGN STATE CITIZENS IN THIS INSTANCE VIA THE F.S.I.A. CONNECTIONS, TO PLY THEIR TRADE, PRACTICE THEIR OCCUPATION AND PURSUE A COMMON CALLING. IN THIS INSTANCE THE COMMON CALLING OF ALL MEMBERS OF THE SOLE CORPORATION IS THAT OF PROPHET , KING, KHALIFAH, IMAM, LAWGIVER AND HIGH PRIEST WITH SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY AND THE COURTS CANNOT IMPAIR THE KING-KHALIFAH ON ACCOUNT OF HIS OUT OF STATE CITIZENSHIP ACTING FOR PROTECTORATE PURPOSES, McBURNIE v. YOUNG, 569 U.S. 221, 133 S.Ct. 1709, 185 L.Ed.2d. 758 (U.S. 2013); HENRY v. VERMONT, 2017 WL 2167123 (2017); SCHOENEFFELD v. SCHNELDERMAN, 821 F3d. 273 (2nd.Cir.2016).

NOW LETS WITH THIS FRAUD UPON THE COURT THE 4TH. CIRCUIT PRODUCED IN THE FORM OF CASE 17-7532 AS THEY DID IN CASE 17-6960 RENDERING THIS CASE VOID AND IN FORFEITURE FOR FRAUD AND DUE PROCESS VIOLATION, WHICH INCLUDE ALL ORDERS PRODUCED THEREIN MAKING THIS CASE ILLEGAL AND A CRIMINAL ACT TO PROCEED WITH IT AS FILED. THIS INCLUDE WHAT ASHLEY BROWNLEE DID IN CASE 17-7186. THIS IS CHALLENGE TO THE 4TH. CIRCUIT'S JURISDICTION. JURISDICTION LIES WITH TRUSTEE JUDGE AUSTIN WHERE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT'S JURISDICTION IS LIMITED AND RESTRICTED. SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION IS RAISED IN BOTH CASES 17-7532 AND 17-7186 AS IT WAS IN CASE 17-6960 AND THIS CASE AND ALL PARALLEL CASES SHALL NOT FAIL TO TAKE NOTICE. SEE EXHIBIT, "17-6960".

WHEN DETERMINING WHETHER A PERSON HAS STANDING TO SUE, THE COURT MUST FOCUS ON THE STATUS OF THE PARTY WHO HAS FILED THE COMPLAINT. BY THE PLEADINGS WITHIN THESE CASES TO INCLUDE THOSE UNDER CASE 16-2299, EXHIBIT, "TRUSTEE". I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, AM FOREIGN SOVEREIGN FIDUCIARY HEIR, KING, KHALIFAH BY "CONTRACT" WITH SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY WHICH CANNOT BE MADE OR UNMADE BY THE COURTS PROTECTED UNDER BOTH ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 AND THE PRIVILEGE AND IMMUNITIES CLAUSE UNDER ARTICLE IV § 2 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, WHERE ALL ACTS WERE DONE SPECIFICALLY TO THE KING AND THE OTHER PLAINTIFFS WHO ARE BENEFICIARIES OF THE "TRUST", WHO SOUGHT TO AID THE KING-KHALIFAH EXERCISE CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED RIGHTS IN VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C.A. § 12203(a)(b) OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT. WHAT AND WHO I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI AM, AS WELL AS MY HOLY COMMONWEALTH IS, THE PETITIONERS IN THESE PARALLEL APPEALS, WAS ESTABLISHED BY "COVENANT", "CONTRACT" BY MY, OUR, ORIGINAL STATUS BEFORE THIS NATION WAS FORMED WHICH CANNOT BE UNMADE BY THE COURTS WITH EX POST FACTO LAW. THE FIDUCIARY AND JUDICIAL DUTY COMMANDS IT, 29 U.S.C.A. § 1104; SPIRES-V-SCHOOLS, --F.SUPP.3d.--, 2017 WL 4174774(DSC.2017); FIFTH-THIRD-BANCORP V.-DUDENHOEFFER, 132 S.Ct. 2459, 189 L.Ed.2d. 457, 82 U.S.L.W. 4578(U.S.2014); PEREZ-V.-CHIMES-DISTRICT-OF-COLUMBIA-INC., F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 6124679(D.C.Md.2016); SCOTT-V.-SOUTH-CAROLINA 2017 WL 875858(DSC.2017); MILLER-V.-SCATURO, 2016 WL 3951668 (DSC.2016); GRUPO-DALAEFLUX-V.-ATLAS-GLOBAL-GROUP-L.P. 541 U.S.

567, 124 S.Ct. 1920, 158 L.Ed.2d. 866(U.S.2004); LOUMIET-V.  
UNITED STATES, 65 F.Supp.3d. 19 (2014); U.S.-V.-TISDALE,  
F.Supp.2d., 2007 WL 2156666(DSC.2007); SEBELIUS-V.-AHBURN-RE-  
GIONAL-MEDICAL-CENTER, 133 S.Ct. 817, 184 L.Ed.2d. 627, 81  
U.S.L.W. 4053(U.S.2013); SIZWARD-V.-RIDDLE, F.Supp.2d., 2013  
WL 707018(DSC.2013).

THE CASE 17-7532, AS DID CASE 17-6960, POSSESS SEVERAL  
FATAL STRUCTURAL DEFICIENCIES THAT ARE NOT SUBJECT TO THE HARM-  
LESS ERROR DOCTRINE RENDERING CASE 17-7532 AND 17-7186 VOID  
FOR FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND DUE PROCESS VIOLATION ESTABLISHING  
FORFEITURE BY SANCTIONS SOUGHT AND GRANTED BY DECREE OF THE  
CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT. FIRST, WE MOTION  
FOR THE RECUSAL OF JUDGES DIAZ, THACKER, DAVIS, HAMILTON, GREGORY  
, DUNCAN AND SHEDD IN BOTH CASES 17-7532 AND 17-7186. AS LONG  
AS ANY OF THESE JUDGES SIT UPON THESE AND THE OTHER PARALLEL  
APPEALS YOU HAVE A STRUCTURAL ERROR THAT VOIDS YOUR JURISDICTION.  
UNTIL THE ISSUE OF RECUSAL IS RULED ON, ON THE COURT RECORD,  
UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF § 1983, NOT A MANDAMUS OR § 2254, WHICH  
YOU IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT FILED THE APPEAL OF CASE  
17-7532 UNDER. THE PROCEEDINGS ARE ILLEGAL DUE TO THE FRAUD  
AND BECAUSE CASE 17-7532 POSSESSING THIS STRUCTURAL ERROR AS  
DO CASE 17-7186 IF THESE JUDGES SIT. THE LANGUAGE THE U.S. SU-  
PREME COURT USED IN WILLIAMS-V.-PENNSYLVANIA, 136 S.Ct. 1899,  
195 L.Ed.2d. 132, 84 U.S.L.W. 4359(U.S.2016) IS CLEAR AND UNEQUI-  
VOCAL GIVING CLARITY TO ALL PREVIOUSLY ADJUDICATED CASES ON  
THE SUBJECT. THERE ARE (3) PRONGS. IF ONE EXIST RECUSAL IS MANDA-  
TORY. WATCH THE LANGUAGE USED BY THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT.  
NO MAN SHALL SIT UPON HIS OWN CASE NOR CAN A MAN TRY A CASE  
TO WHICH HE HAS AN INTEREST IN THE OUTCOME NOR SHALL HE SIT  
IF THE POTENTIAL FOR BIAS RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL.  
EXTRA-JUDICIAL BIAS WAS ONLY "ONE OUT OF MANY" EXAMPLES THE  
UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT WAS REFERRING TO AND ADDRESSING.  
WHEN THE OBJECTIVE RISK OF ACTUAL BIAS "OF ANY KIND" (EMPHASIS  
ADDED) RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL, UNDER THE DUE PROCESS  
CLAUSE, THE FAILURE TO RECUSE IS "[N]OT" A HARMLESS ERROR BECOM-  
ING STRUCTURAL IN NATURE WHICH VOIDS THE COURT'S JURISDICTION  
FOR DUE PROCESS VIOILATION. IN CASE 9:17-cv-1803-TMC SUBJUDICE

AS WELL AS IN THE PARALLEL APPEALS IS A COPY OF THE (44) PAGE COMPLAINT. BY THIS DOCUMENT, THE JUDGES SOUGHT WITHIN THE 4TH. CIRCUIT MUST RECUSE THEMSELVES. THE 4TH. CIRCUIT IS REQUIRED BY DUE PROCESS LAW IN CASE 17-6960, ALL PARALLEL CASES, AS WELL AS IN CASES 17-7532 AND 17-7186 TO RULE ON THE MOTION FOR RECUSAL NOT UNDER MANDAMUS, NOT UNDER SOME FRAUDULENTLY PRODUCED § 2254 APPEAL, BUT UNDER § 1983 TO WHICH THEY ARE PARTY TO THESE PROCEEDINGS EVEN VIA THE WRIT OF ERROR WHICH MUST BE ADJUDICATED UNDER § 1983. THUS, ANY SUBSEQUENT ACTION UNTIL THIS IS DONE CREATES A DUE PROCESS CLAIM THAT VOIDS THE COURT'S JURISDICTION BY THESE ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY IN ACTS OF OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE TO DENY US APPEALABLE ISSUES FOR WRIT OF CERT., POTENTIALLY ORDERING US TO BE PARTY TO AN ILLEGAL PROCEEDING WITHOUT FIRST RULING ON THE SUBMITTED MOTION FOR RECUSAL. FURTHER, THE 4TH. CIRCUIT IN ADDITIONAL ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT TO ABSTAIN FROM ANSWERING FEDERAL QUESTION, GETS JENNIFER RICE TO ISSUE A RULE 40(d) NOTICE IN CASES 16-1953 AND 16-2141 WHEN THOSE PROCEEDINGS ARE ILLEGAL AND NO SUCH NOTICE CAN ATTACH BY LAW. THE CONSPIRING JUDGES THEN UNDER CASE 17-7186 USING ASHLEY BROWNLEE AS A JUDGE/PROXY, TO ABSTAIN FROM ANSWERING FEDERAL QUESTIONS IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, BLOCKS THE FILING OF THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017 WHICH IS SUBSTITUTED AS THE INFORMAL BRIEF TO AGAIN DENY US APPEALABLE ISSUES AND OBSTRUCT JUSTICE USING THESE CLERKS AS PROXY TO PREVENT FULL AND PROPER JUDICIAL REVIEW OF THE ISSUES WITHIN THESE CASES IN VIOLATION OF THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE. WE OBJECT TO THIS CONTINUAL FRAUD BY THESE 4TH. CIRCUIT JUDGES AND ACTS OF MACHINATION REQUIRING THEIR RECUSAL AND FORFEITURE OF THE ISSUES SOUGHT DUE TO SANCTIONS WHICH ARE SOUGHT AND GRANTED BY DECREE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC JUDGE, JAHJAH AL MAHDI. SEE LETTER DATED DECEMBER 5, 2017 FILED IN CASE 17-7186. IT IS SO ORDERED.

THE 4TH. CIRCUIT CANNOT ABSTAIN FROM DECIDING FEDERAL QUESTIONS BEFORE CASE 17-7532 OR 17-7186 PROCEED CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY TO DENY US ISSUES ON APPEAL WHICH VOID YOUR JURISDICTION FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION AND FOR VIOLATION OF THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE. STOP USING

THESE CLERKS AND CASE MANAGERS AS PROXY, AS JUDGES TO SKIRT RULING, TO HIDE YOUR ABUSES OF DISCRETION AND ARBITRARY JUDICIAL ACTION IN VIOLATION OF YOUR OATHS OF OFFICE. STOP RULING ON ISSUES OF APPEAL SUBSTITUTING MANDAMUS FOR APPEAL. A MANDAMUS CANNOT SUBSTITUTE FOR AN APPEAL AND THE CLERKS OR CASE MANAGERS CANNOT SUBSTITUTE FOR A JUDGE IN ACTS OF MACHINATION TO CREATE AN INCOMPLETE RECORD AND DENY US APPEALABLE ISSUES, ABSTAINING FROM RULING ON CRUCIAL FEDERAL QUESTIONS IN VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS LAW. WE MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND THE 4TH. CIRCUIT JUDGES IN QUESTION RECUSAL. YOU ARE IN VIOLATION OF CLEARLY ESTABLISHED STATUTES, ARTICLE III SECTION 1, AS WELL AS YOUR OATHS OF OFFICE MAKING YOUR ACTIONS CRIMINAL IN DEFIANCE TO CLEARLY ESTABLISHED LEGISLATIVE INTENT AND THAT OATH OF OFFICE HAVING THESE CLERKS ACTING AS JUDGES TO BLOCK, HINDER AND IMPEDE FULL REVIEW IN VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. § 1983, 1985(2), 1985(3) AND 1986-AS WELL AS 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001, U.S. v. RON PAIR ENTERPRISES INC. 489 U.S. 235, 119 S.Ct. 1027, 103 L.Ed.2d. 290(U.S.1989); IN-RE: ARGO CREDIT, LLC --B.R.--, 2017 WL 4404269(2017); LAKE CARRIER ASS'N v. MacMILLIAN, 406 U.S. 498, 92 S.Ct. 1749(U.S. 1972); U.S. v. \$41,320 U.S. CURRENCY, 9 F.Supp.3d. 582, 2014 WL 1266240; 1997 WL 10291 U.S. (APPELLATE BRIEF) BRIEF OF SENATORS ORIN G. HATCH, STROM THURMOND ET AL.; FORRESTER v. WHITE, 484 U.S. 219, 108 S.Ct. 538(U.S.1988); BULLIAM v. ALLEN, 466 U.S. 522, 536-543, 104 S.Ct. 1970, 1971-1982, 80 L.Ed.2d. 565 (1984); EX-PARTE VIRGINIA, 100 U.S. 339, 348-349, 25 L.Ed. 676 (1880); ROCHE v. EVAPORATED MILK ASS'N, 391 U.S. 21, 63 S.Ct. 938, 87 L.Ed. 1185(U.S.1943); IN-RE: WILLIAMS, 381 Fed. Appx' 284, 2010 WL 2231958(4th.Cir.2010); WILLIAMS v. UNITED STATES, 2015 WL 11109497, \* 1, E.D.Va..

INASMUCH, ON THE QUESTION OF RECUSAL AS DETERMINED BY THE U.S. SUPREME COURT. "THE COURT ASKS '[N]OT' WHETHER A JUDGE HARBORS AN ACTUAL BIAS (FOR EXAMPLE EXTRA JUDICIAL OR PERSONAL BIAS AS IN AN ABUSE OF DISCRETION THE CONSPIRING JUDGES ASSERTED IN THEIR ACTS OF FRAUD), BUT 'INSTEAD' (EMPHASIS ADDED) WHETHER , AS AN OBJECTIVE MATTER THERE IS A '[P]OTENTIAL' FOR BIAS OF "ANY KIND" (EMPHASIS ADDED) THAT RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL", WHICH IS CONSPICUOUS THAT SUCH AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL



OF BIAS DOES EXIST IN THESE PARALLEL AND RELATED CASES AS IS EVIDENCED BY WHAT OCCURRED INVOLVING THESE JUDGES OF CONCERN, WHICH INCLUDE HOW THIS CASE IS FRAUDULENTLY FILED UNDER 17-7532 AND THE JUDGES USING ASHLEY BROWNLEE IN CASE 17-7186, ATTEMPTING TO FRAUDULENTLY MANIPULATE CHRISTOPHER WILSON TO FILE IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS THAT DO NOT HAVE THE PLRA LANGUAGE ON THEM TO CIRCUMVENT THE STRUCTURAL ERROR, ACTING AS LEGISLATORS, NOT JUDGES, WHERE THE LEGISLATORS CLEARLY DETERMINED THAT FILING BEFORE THIS COURT MUST BE DONE PURSUANT TO THE PLRA, TO ABSTAIN FROM RULING ON THE SPECIFIC ISSUE OF THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THESE PROVISIONS, THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA, BEFORE THESE CASES PROCEED. THIS IS REQUIRED BY DUE PROCESS LAW OR THE CASES ARE ILLEGAL AND THE JUDGES, CLERKS AND CASE MANAGERS ARE IN CLEAR VIOLATION OF THEIR OATH OF OFFICE. FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND STRUCTURAL ERROR EXIST. WRIT OF ERROR IS NOW FILED TO ADDRESS IT. RULE ON THE MOTION FOR RECUSAL UNDER § 1983 BEFORE ANY SUBSEQUENT MATTER OCCURS OR ALL PROCEEDINGS ARE ILLEGAL AND VOID UNDER 17-7186, 17-7532 AND ALL OTHER PENDING PARALLEL APPEALS, CAPEATON-V.-A.T.-MASSEY-COAL-CO.-INC. 556 U.S. 868, 129 S.Ct. 2252, 173 L.Ed.2d. 1208(U.S.2009); JOHNSON-V.-STEVENSON, 2016 WL 1156649(DSC.2016); KENWOOD-GARDENS-CONDOMINIUMS-INC.-V.-WHALEN PROPERTIES-LLC. 2016 WL 6788852, \* 11+ (Md.2016); DACRES-V.-ATTORNEY-GENERAL-U.S. 615 Fed. Appx' 79 (3rd.Cir.2015); IN RE:-SYNTAX-BRILLIAN-CORPORATION, 2016 WL 7177615(D.Md.2016); IN-RE:-LUNDY, 2016 WL 6108524(D.OHIO.2016).

LETS FINISH DRIVING THE "STAKE" IN THESE RAT, STINKING, VAMPIRES HEARTS. WHAT'S GOING ON HERE CORRUPT JUDGES OF THE 4TH. CIRCUIT? THIS IS THE SAME STUPID CRIMINAL MESS AND STUNT YOU JUDGES PULLED UNDER CASE 17-6960. THE ENTIRE CASE UNDER 17-7532 IS CORRUPTED BY FRAUD RENDERING IT VOID. WHERE IS THE FILING FEE PAID FOR CASE 17-7532? WHERE ARE THE FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS? AS IN CASE 17-6960, YOU DID NOT REQUIRE SUCH FOR CASE 17-7532. IF YOU DID, WHICH WE HAVE NOT SEEN, THE SAME STRUCTURAL ERROR EXIST IN CASE 17-7532 AS IT DOES IN CASES 17-6960, 17-6693, 17-6925 AND THE OTHER PARALLEL APPEALS PENDING BEFORE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT. ITS PERSPICUOUS IN YOUR FRAUD THAT YOU MADE A MISTAKE. SO WE ATTACHED THE PLRA IN FORMA PAUPERIS

DOCUMENTS FOR THIS CASE TO HELP YOU OUT A LITTLE BIT. WE DON'T WANT YOU TO FALL AND BUMP YOUR HEAD. WATCH THAT FIRST STEP, ITS A "DOOSEY". NOW RULE ON THE DOGGON' ISSUES!!! STOP THE FRAUD AND FOOLISHNESS. IT IS SO ORDERED. THE COURT UNDER CASE 17-7532 AS IN CASE 17-6960 DID NOT ISSUE ANY ORDER STATING THAT THE FILING FEES WERE WAIVED. THIS IS CLEAR ERROR AND MANIFEST INJUSTICE. THIS CONSTITUTES AN ABUSE OF DISCRETION AND PLAIN ERROR BY YOUR FRAUD AND MACHINATION. UNLESS THE COURT RULES THAT SUCH A FILING FEE OR FORMS ARE UNCONSTITUTIONAL, WHICH THE COURT DID NOT DO. THE COURT CANNOT ACT AS A LEGISLATOR IN VIOLATION OF THE SEPARATION OF POWERS CLAUSE AND UNDO A CLEAR LEGISLATIVE ENACTMENT REQUIRED FOR FILING WITHIN THIS COURT. THE JUDGES ARE NOT LEGISLATORS WHICH VOID YOUR JURISDICTION FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION. WE OBJECT, BANK-MARKAZI-v-PETERSON, 136 S.Ct. 1310, 194 L.Ed.2d. 463, 84 U.S.L.W. 4222(U.S.2016); U.S.-v-BASTON, 818 F3d. 651(11th.Cir.2016).

IT IS NOT THE 4TH. CIRCUIT'S OR ANY COURT'S PLACE TO CHANGE THE MEANING OF A CLEAR AND UNAMBIGUOUS STATUTE; WHERE STATUTE'S LANGUAGE IS PLAIN AND UNAMBIGUOUS, AND CONVEYS A CLEAR AND DEFINITE MEANING, RULES OF STATUTORY INTERPRETATION ARE NOT NEEDED AND THE COURT HAS NO RIGHT TO IMPOSE ANOTHER MEANING. WHAT THE LEGISLATORS SAY IN THE TEXT OF THE STATUTE IS CONSIDERED THE BEST EVIDENCE OF THE LEGISLATIVE INTENT AND WILL, STATE v-MILES,--S.E.2d.--, 2017 WL 3611694(S.C.2017); SIGNOR-v-KEEL, S.E.2d, 2017 WL 117711(S.C.2017); SMITH-v-TIFFANY, 419 S.C. 548, 799 S.E.2d. 479(S.C.2017); STAR-ATHELETICA-LLC-v-VARSITY BRANDS-INC, 137 S.Ct. 1002, 197 L.Ed.2d. 354, 85 U.S.L.W. 4139(U.S.2017); ENCINO-MOTOR-CARS-LLC-v-NAVARRO, 136 S.Ct. 2117, 195 L.Ed.2d. 382, 84 U.S.L.W. 4424.

BY THAT WHICH WAS SET IN PLACE BY THE LEGISLATURE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT RULES AND DUE REQUIRE THAT A FEE OF \$505.00 BE PAID OR 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1) REQUIRES AN AFFIDAVIT TO FILE IN FORMA PAUPERIS TO BE SUBMITTED BEFORE ANY MATTER CAN PROCEED. THIS IS JURISDICTIONAL, SO WE DECIDED TO HELP YOU OUT BY SENDING THE ONES ATTACHED. JUST LIKE THE COURT UNDER 17-6960; THE COURT UNDER CASE 17-7532 IS PROHIBITED FROM SAYING ANYTHING ABOUT

THIS CASE. THE RULES ARE SUSPENDED FOR CASE 17-7532 AS IT IS ALSO FOR CASE 17-7186. IT IS SO ORDERED.

THE COURT DOES NOT HAVE JURISDICTION UNDER CASE 17-7532 UNLESS YOU HAVE ENTERED AND SERVED UPON US AN ORDER WAIVING THE FILING FEES EXPLAINING WHY (EMPHASIS ADDED) SUCH FEES WERE WAIVED. WE GIVE YOU JUDICIAL NOTICE. SINCE THE DOCUMENTS FOR FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS ARE NOW ATTACHED FOR BOTH CASES 17-7532 AND 17-7186, THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA MUST BE ADDRESSED ON THE COURT RECORD BEFORE THESE CASES CAN PROCEED OR THE PROCEEDINGS BECOME ILLEGAL. BY THIS FAILURE THE COURT ACTED AS A LEGISLATOR, NOT A JUDGE, OVERRULING CONGRESS WITHOUT A PROPER JUDICIAL DETERMINATION EXPLAINING "WHY", WHICH VOIDS YOUR JURISDICTION FOR FRAUD AND DUE PROCESS VIOLATION. THIS IS A JURISDICTIONAL DEFECT, U.S. v. RON PAIR ENTERPRISES INC., 489 U.S. 235, 119 S.Ct. 1027, 103 L.Ed.2d. 290(U.S.1989); IN-RE: ARGO CREDIT, LLC --B.R.--, 2017 WL 4404269(2017); UNITED STATES v. STE BRI ENTERPRISES INC., 2017 WL 4226873(D.C.OHIO. 2017); THOMPSON v. FINN, 2016 WL 5724369(2016); DAHER v. OWENS, 2014 WL 1159629; IN-RE: DUROSER, 2015 WL 4068243(N.D.2015); STONE v. BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON N.A., 2014 WL 61480; ROBICK v. COMMISSION OF SOCIAL SEC., 32 F.Supp.3d. 157; ENGANNATION v. BRANDT, 2015 WL 7078682(N.D.N.Y.2015); WEST v. UNITED STATES, 2016 WL 5375782(2016); MOCHE v. GEORGIA PACIFIC CORPORATION, F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 9307307(2015); IN-RE: GJEADE, 535 B.R. 329 (2015); BLAKELY v. WARD, 738 F3d. 607(4th.Cir.2013). WE MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO SUBMIT BRIEF AND THE COURT RULE ON THESE ISSUES UNDER CASE 17-7532 BEFORE ANY INFORMAL BRIEF BE REQUIRED TO BE SUBMITTED. THE 4TH. CIRCUIT CANNOT ABSTAIN FROM ANSWERING FEDERAL QUESTIONS OR ORDER US TO BE PARTY IN AN ILLEGAL PROCEEDING, LAKE CARRIER ASS'N v. MacMILLIAN, 406 U.S. 498, 92 S.Ct. 1749(U.S.1972); U.S. v. \$41,320 U.S. CURRENCY, 9 F.Supp.3d. 582, 2014 WL 1266240; BROWN v. U.S., 2014 WL 2871398 (DSC.2014); 1997 WL 10291 U.S. (APPELLATE BRIEF) BRIEF OF U.S. SENATORS ORIN G. HATCH, STROM THURMONG ET. AL.; FORRESTER v. WHITE, 484 U.S. 219, 108 S.Ct. 538(U.S.1988); PULLIAM v. ALLEN, 466 U.S. 522, 536-543, 104 S.Ct. 1970, 1977-1982, 80 L.Ed.2d. 565(1984); BACCUS v. MARCHANT, 2014 WL 1330984(DSC.2014); EX

LETS GO FURTHER. I WANT YOU TO LOOK AT THE APPEAL UNDER CASES 17-7186, 17-6960 AND 17-7532. WHAT'S THE DIFFERENCE IN THESE (3) APPEALS BESIDES THE 4TH. CIRCUIT JUDGES IN ACTS OF FRAUD USING ASHLEY BROWNLEE AS PROXY TO BLOCK THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017 AND CONSPIRING TO MANIPULATE WILSON INTO FILLING OUT DIFFERENT FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS TO CIRCUMVENT RULING ON THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA? THE ANSWER,...IS NOTHING. THE STRUCTURE OF THE CASES SUB-JUDICE ARE ESSENTIALLY THE SAME. SO THEN WHAT THE HECK DO THESE CORRUPT JUDGES UNDER CASES 17-6960 AND 17-7532 DOING HAVING THESE CASES FILED AS HABEAS CORPUS AND CASE 17-7186 IS ESSENTIALLY FILED AS A § 1983 ACTION? AND IF CASE 17-7532 IS A HABEAS CORPUS WHICH IS WHY McFADDEN IS LISTED AS RESPONDENT. WHY IS IT NOT LISTED WITHIN THE RECORD UNDER CASE 17-7532 THAT THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL IS MAKING AN APPEARANCE AS IN CASE 17-6960? IF THEY ARE REQUIRED TO APPEAR IN CASE 17-6960, THEN THEY ARE REQUIRED TO APPEAR UNDER CASE 17-7532 DUE TO YOUR FRAUD. IT IS SO ORDERED, BY DECREE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT.

NONETHELESS, THE ANSWER FOR CASE 17-7532 IS THE SAME FOR CASE 17-6960. THE 4TH. CIRCUIT, SINCE THEY FAILED IN THEIR ACTS OF MACHINATION IN CASE 17-6960 THEIR PLAN IS TO USE CASE 17-7532 AND THE ACTIONS DONE BY ASHLEY BROWNLEE UNDER CASE 17-7186 TO DEFRAUD US BY FILING THE CASE(S) INCORRECTLY AND BLOCK THE FILING OF THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017 FROM BEING FILED AND CIRCUMVENT RULING ON THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE PLRA BY FRAUDULENTLY MANIPULATING WILSON INTO FILLING OUT DIFFERENT FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS TO ABSTAIN FROM RULING ON THE ISSUE. THEY NEVER EXPECTED US TO ENTER THE 4TH. CIRCUIT WITH THE DELUGE OF SUBSEQUENT CASES THAT FOLLOWED WHICH CAN NOW ACT AS A "MARKER" TO HIGHLIGHT AND PROVE THE FRAUD AND CRIMINAL INTENT CONSPIRED IN BY THE 4TH. CIRCUIT PARTIES, CLERKS, CASE MANAGERS AND JUDGES SOUGHT RECUSED IN THEIR EFFORTS TO PROTECT THE DEFENDANTS IN THESE CASES AVOID SUIT. THEY KNEW WE SOUGHT RECUSAL OF JUDGES. SUCH IS NOT WARRANTED UNDER § 2254,

BUT IT WOULD HAVE BEEN REQUIRED UNDER § 1983 AND THEY WANTED TO CONCEAL THE MATERIAL FACT THAT THEY WERE SITTING UPON THEIR OWN CASES IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001 MAKING CASE 17-7532 ILLEGAL LIKE THE OTHERS AFOREMENTIONED. WE ARE SEEKING REPARATIONS FOR SLAVERY AND JIM CROW AS RULER OF THE UNITED ETHIOPIAN EMPIRE, ONE OF THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES; WE ARE SEEKING RELIEF FOR VIOLATIONS OF ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 AND ARTICLE IV § 2 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION; VIOLATIONS OF THE HOBBS ACT, 28 U.S.C. § 2679, THE F.S.I.A., THE C.A.T. TREATY, THE RICO ACT AND OATH OF OFFICE AND OTHER § 1983 ISSUES WHICH COULD NOT HAVE BEEN GRANTED UNDER § 2254. SO IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND MACHINATION, JUST LIKE YOU DID UNDER CASE 17-6960, THEY ESTABLISHED THE APPEAL UNDER CASE 17-7532 TO DEFRAUD US AND PREVENT FAIR, PROPER AND FULL REVIEW OF THE ESSENTIAL ISSUES BEFORE THE COURT BY FILING THE APPEAL, NOT JUST UNDER CASE 17-6969, BUT ALSO UNDER CASE 17-7532 AS A § 2254 ACTION AS OPPOSED TO A § 1983 WHILE ASHLEY BROWNLEE IN CASE 17-7186 CONSPIRED TO BLOCK THE FILING OF THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017. WE OBJECT.

WE OBJECT TO CASE 17-7532'S USAGE FOR ANYTHING. STAY THESE CASES AS WE SOUGHT. IT IS SO ORDERED. THE ENTIRE CASE UNDER BOTH CASE 17-7532 AND 17-7186, DUE TO ASHLEY BROWNLEE'S RECENT ACTIONS INVOLVING THE 4TH. CIRCUIT JUDGES ARE CORRUPTED BY FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND THE CASES STAND IN EGREGIOUS VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001 PRODUCED TO CONCEAL THE MATERIAL FACT THAT THE KING-KHALIFAH AND JASON M. GOURDINE DID NOT ENTER THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT UNDER § 2254 AND BROWNLEE, ACTING AS PROXY FOR THESE JUDGES IS BLOCKING THE FILING OF THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017 AND TRYING TO MANIPULATE WILSON INTO FILLING OUT DIFFERENT IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS TO CIRCUMVENT OR ABSTAIN RULING ON FEDERAL QUESTION. THE CASE SUB-JUDICE UNDER 17-7532 WAS ENTERED IN THE DISTRICT COURT PURSUANT TO WRIT OF ERROR WHICH BY THE MANDATES OF THE ALL WRITS ACT OF 28 U.S.C. § 1651 MUST BE HEARD UNDER § 1983 NOT § 2254, CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY TO DENY US THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS FOR PROTECTORATE PURPOSES AND BEHIND RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED, RAUL-ADAMS-V.-CALIFORNIA-INSTITUTION

, 2016 WL 6464444; DONATONI-v.-DEPARTMENT-OF-HOMELAND-SECURITY,  
--F.Supp.3d.--, 2016 WL 1755871; U.S.-v.-HARE, 820 F3d. 93 (4th.  
Cir.2016). THIS VOIDS BOTH CASE(S) 17-7532 AND 17-7186'S JURIS-  
DICTION FOR FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND DUE PROCESS VIOLATION PLA-  
CING YOU IN FORFEITURE FOR ALL RELIEF SOUGHT. IT IS SO ORDERED,  
WHITE-v.-MANIS, 2014 WL 1513280(DSC.2014); U.S.-v.-ALEDEKCHA,  
2010 WL 4054267(D.C.Md.2010); HUNT-v.-U.S. F.Supp.2d., 2007  
WL 5131716(DSC.2007).

ONCE THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGES, EVEN CONSPIRING  
WITH PARTIES WITHIN THE 4TH. CIRCUIT, ENGAGED IN ACTS OF FRAUD  
UPON THE COURT, MACHINATION, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION  
OF JUSTICE, VIOLATIONS OF THEIR OATH OF OFFICE, VIOLATIONS OF  
ARTICLE III SECTIONS 1 AND 2 OF THE U.S. CONST., VIOLATIONS  
OF THE RICO ACT, THE C.A.T. TREATY, THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IM-  
MUNITY ACT, THE A.D.A. AND OTHER PROVISIONS OF LAW CITED, BY  
ESTABLISHING CASES IN A MANNER THAT WE DID NOT ORIGINALLY FILE  
THEM, ALTERING OUR INTENDED PROCEEDINGS, SITTING UPON CASES  
TO WHICH THEY ARE DEFENDANTS OR TO WHICH THE POTENTIAL FOR BIAS  
RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL IN VIOLATION OF U.S. SUPREME  
COURT PRECEDENT, SPOILIATING WRITS OF ERROR, PROPERTY AND EVIDENCE  
ALSO TO PREVENT OUR RIGHT TO TIMELY REINSTATE CASE 4:16-cv-2939,  
3101-3107-MBS-TER WITHIN (10) DAYS OF ORDER, CONSPIRING WITH  
THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL AND THE S.C. DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS  
TO NOT PICK UP AND TRANSPORT THE KING-KHALIFAH AND ANTHONY COOK  
TO THE STATE PROCEEDINGS UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 FOR THE  
PURPOSE OF OBTAINING FRAUDULENT PROTECTIVE ORDERS SO THEY CAN  
MAKE USE OF THEM WITHIN THESE FEDERAL PROCEEDINGS, INSTRUCTING  
ASHLEY BROWNLEE TO OBSTRUCT FILING OF THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT  
DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017 IN CASE 17-7186 AND ATTEMPTING TO MANIPU-  
LATE HIM INTO FILLING OUT DIFFERENT FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS  
DOCUMENTS TO CIRCUMVENT RULING ON ESSENTIAL ISSUES AND GET AROUND  
THE STRUCTURAL ERROR THAT EXIST BY USE OF PLRA, JENNIFER RICE  
CONSPIRING TO ATTACH A RULE 40(d) NOTICE TO ILLEGAL PROCEEDINGS  
UNDER CASES 16-1953 AND 16-2141, INSTRUCTING S.C.D.C. IN PHYSICAL  
ATTACKS UPON US, DESTROYING PROPERTY NEEDED TO ACCESS THE COURTS  
AND TELLING S.C.D.C. NOT TO MAKE COPIES OF EVIDENCE AND LEGAL  
DOCUMENTS OR DENY INJUNCTIONS TO PREVENT THIS EVIDENCE AND DOCU-

MENTS SUBMISSION SO THE FEDERAL COURTS COULD PRODUCE FRAUDULENT REPORTS, ORDERS, RECOMMENDATIONS OR DETERMINATIONS, IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001 AS WELL AS IN VIOLATION OF THE HOBBS ACT. THE PROCEEDINGS IN THEIR TOTALITY BECAME IRREGULAR AND INVALID WARRANTING THE WRIT OF ERROR. WHERE CIVIL DISABILITY IN THIS CASE EXIST SUCH AS THE ILLEGAL DEPRIVING OF PARENTAL RIGHTS OF A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN KING-KHALIFAH, WHERE ALL COURTS WERE REPEATEDLY TOLD THAT I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, THE KING-KHALIFAH DENOUNCE HIS AMERICAN CITIZENSHIP AND ADOPT THE CITIZENSHIP OF HIS ISRAELI FOREFATHER KING DAVID OF THE SOLE CORPORATION AS THE FIDUCIARY HEIR TO THE THRONE OF ISRAEL AND THE OTHER (3) GLOBAL THRONES, THE DEPRIVING OF SOVEREIGN RIGHTS IN VIOLATION OF THE F.S.I.A. DECLARED BY DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE FILED IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084, TO INCLUDE THE ESTABLISHING BEFORE THE COURT THE BENEFICIARY RIGHTS WHERE THEY IMPAIRED THE OBLIGATION OF THE "CONTRACT", "COVENANT", "COMPACT" IN VIOLATION OF ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONST., VIOLATING RIGHTS ESTABLISHED UNDER THE PRIVILEGE AND IMMUNITIES CLAUSE OF ARTICLE IV § 2, AS WELL AS THE ILLEGAL ARRESTING AND OR ATTACHING AND OR EXECUTING OF OUR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IN VIOLATION OF THE RESTRICTIONS ESTABLISHED BY THE "GRANT", "CONTRACT" IN THE FORM OF THE RIGHT TO LEGALLY MARRY YOUR NATIONS GAVE THESE SODOMITES AND GOMORRAHRITES AS IS ARGUED IN CASE 16-2299 EFFECTING INTER-STATE COMMERCE IN VIOLATION OF THE HOBBS ACT; ALL ESTABLISHED BY CONTRACT, DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084; AND WHERE THE KING-KHALIFAH'S CONVICTION WAS OBTAINED BY FUNDAMENTAL STRUCTURAL ERROR, TO INCLUDE THE SUPPRESSION OF EVIDENCE OF ACTUAL INNOCENCE AND THE CONSPIRING STATE AND FEDERAL ACTORS ATTACKED THE BENEFICIARY PLAINTIFFS IN THE PARALLEL AND RELATED CASES DUE PROCESS MATTERS IN RETALIATION BECAUSE THEY SOUGHT TO AID HIM SEEK REDRESS FOR CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED RIGHTS IN VIOLATION OF PLUIPA AND 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000, 12203(a)(b), 1985(2), 1985(3) AND 1986. WRIT OF ERROR WAS THE APPROPRIATE VEHICLE TO FILE NOT JUST UNDER CASE 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA, BUT ALSO UNDER 9:17-cv-01803-TMC OR ANY OTHER RELATED CASE WHERE THESE ISSUES COULD NOT POSSIBLY BEEN HEARD UNDER § 2254. THE HOLDINGS MADE IN ROSS v. BLAKE, 136 S.Ct. 1850(2016) ATTACH AND APPLY TO THE STATE COURTS AND THE S.C.

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES AS WELL WHERE THEY ENGAGED IN CONSPIRACY  
ACTS OF FRAUD, MACHINATION AND MISREPRESENTATION, IT CREATES  
A SITUATION WHERE THERE ARE NO AVAILABLE REMEDIES. ARTICLE III  
DYNAMICS EXIST IN THESE CASES WARRANTING THE FILING OF THE WRIT  
OF ERROR THAT WAS SUBMITTED BEFORE THE DISTRICT COURT, NOT MERELY  
§ 2254 WHICH WAS ATTACHED DUE TO THE CONVICTIONS ALREADY BEING  
INVALIDATED BY THE FALSE IMPRISONMENT TORT WHICH IS CASE 2013-  
CP-400-0084. THE WRIT OF ERROR IS THE APPROPRIATE VEHICLE TO  
USE UNDER THESE CIRCUMSTANCES WHERE NEITHER THE KING-KHALIFAH  
NOR JASON GOURDINE ENTERED THE FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT PURSUANT  
TO 28 U.S.C. § 2254, BUT ENTERED THE COURT UNDER THE ALL WRITS  
ACT OF 28 U.S.C. § 1651, WRIT OF ERROR, WHICH WAS TO BE ADJUDI-  
CATED UNDER § 1983. THEREFORE, DUE TO THIS CONTINUAL FRAUD UPON  
THE COURT CONSPIRED IN BY ALL PARTIES CASE 17-7532 CANNOT PROCEED  
AS CONSTRUCTED UNTIL IT BE ACKNOWLEDGED IN THE SAME FASHION  
AS THE COURT DID UNDER CASE 17-7186, THAT WRIT OF ERROR IS FILED,  
AND REMAND TO CORRECT THE FRAUD. CASE 17-7186 CANNOT PROCEED  
UNTIL THE COURT ACCEPTS THE PLRA FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCU-  
MENTS WE SENT THEM FOR THAT CASE, STOP BLOCKING THE (70) PAGE  
DOCUMENT DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017 SUBMISSION AS THE INFORMAL BRIEF  
IN CASE 17-7186 AND RULE ON THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE PLRA  
AND THE AEDPA, STOP THE FRAUD!!! I, WE, MOTION FOR DECLARATORY  
JUDGMENT, UNITED STATES v. DENEDQ, 556 U.S. 904, 129 S.Ct. 2213,  
173 L.Ed.2d. 1235(U.S.2009); UNITED STATES v. ARBLE-MAC-BRO  
COMPUTER, --F3d.--, 2017 WL 1046105 (3rd.Cir.2017); UNITED STATES  
v. GREGORY-HOLT-AKA-ABDUL-MAALIK-MUHAMMAD, 2017 WL 1181509(W.D.  
La.2017); STERN v. UNITED STATES, 2016 WL 6986704(N.C.2016);  
CLARKE v. UNITED STATES, 2017 WL 390294(N.C.2017); IN-RE: BARTLEY  
2016 WL 6068862(4th.Cir.2016).

INSOMUCH, THE OATH OF OFFICE IS A QUID PRO QUO CONTRACT  
UNDER U.S. CONST. ARTICLE 6 Cl. 2 AND 3, DAVIS v. LAWYERS-SURETY  
CORPORATION, 459 S.W.2d. 655, 657 Tex. App., IN WHICH CLERKS,  
OFFICIALS AND OFFICERS OF THE GOVERNMENT PLEDGE TO PERFORM,  
SUPPORT AND UPHOLD THE UNITED STATES AND STATES CONSTITUTIONS  
WITH ITS MANDATES IN RETURN FOR SUBSTANCES SUCH AS WAGES, PERKS  
AND BENEFITS. THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT HAS HELD THAT  
NO STATE, NOR LEGISLATOR, NOR EXECUTIVE, NOR JUDGE CAN EVER



WAR AGAINST THE CONSTITUTION WITHOUT VIOLATING HIS OATHS  
TO SUPPORT IT WHICH IS THE HEART OF THEIR OATH OF OFFICE, COOPER  
v. AARON, 358 U.S. 1, 78 S.Ct. 1401(1958); IN RE: NEELY, 390  
P.3d. 728, 2017 Wy. 25.

ANY JUDGE, CASE MANAGER, CLERK OR OTHER STATE AND OR  
FEDERAL OFFICIAL WHO DOES NOT COMPLY WITH HIS OATH OF OFFICE  
REGARDING THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES WARS AGAINST  
THAT CONSTITUTION AND ENGAGES IN A FORM OF TREASONOUS ACTS IN  
VIOLATION OF THE SUPREME LAW OF THE LAND, AND IN THIS CASE IN  
VIOLATION OF THE SUPERSIDING AUTHORITY OF THE KING-KHALIFAH.  
IF A JUDGE OR CASE MANAGER OR CLERK DOES NOT FULLY COMPLY WITH  
THE CONSTITUTION THEN HIS ORDERS AND OR THEIR ACTS ARE VOID,  
THEY ARE WITHOUT JURISDICTION AND SUCH A PERSON ENGAGES IN ACTS  
OF TREASON AGAINST THE CONSTITUTION ITSELF. PROPONENTS ARE SUB-  
JECTED TO PENALTIES AND REMEDIES FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT, CON-  
SPIRACY UNDER 18 U.S.C. §§ 241, 242 AND IN THIS CASE 1001, AS  
WELL AS TREASON AGAINST THE CONSTITUTION AND VIOLATIONS OF ARTI-  
CLE III SECTIONS TO INCLUDE THIS OUTRAGEOUS FRAUD, AUERBOCK  
v. SAMUELS, 10 UTAH.2d., 152, 349 P.2d. 1112, 1114; ALLEGHANY  
CORR. v. KIRBY, D.C.N.Y. 218 F.Supp. 164, 183; KEETON-BACKING  
CO. v. STATE, 437 S.W. 20, 28.

REFUSING TO LIVE BY YOUR OATHS OF OFFICE PLACES ALL CON-  
SPIRING PARTIES IN DIRECT VIOLATION OF YOUR OATHS IN EVERY CASE.  
VIOLATING YOUR OATHS OF OFFICE IS NOT JUST CAUSE FOR IMMEDIATE  
DISMISSAL AND REMOVAL FROM OFFICE, IT IS A FEDERAL CRIME....  
FEDERAL LAW REGULATING OATH OF OFFICE BY GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS  
IS DIVIDED INTO (4) PARTS ALONG WITH AN EXECUTIVE ORDER WHICH  
FURTHER DEFINES THE LAW FOR PURPOSES OF ENFORCEMENT, 5 U.S.C.  
§ 3331, PROVIDES THE TEXT OF THE ACTUAL OATH OF OFFICE MEMBERS  
OF CONGRESS ARE REQUIRED TO TAKE BEFORE ASSUMING OFFICE. 5 U.S.C.  
§ 3333 REQUIRES MEMBERS OF CONGRESS SIGN AN AFFIDAVIT TO DEMON-  
STRATE THAT THEY HAVE TAKEN THE OATH OF OFFICE REQUIRED BY 5  
U.S.C. § 3331 AND HAVE NOT OR WILL NOT VIOLATE THAT OATH OF  
OFFICE DURING THEIR TENURE OF OFFICE AS DEFINED BY THE 3rd.  
PART OF THE LAW, 5 U.S.C. § 7311 WHICH EXPLICITLY MAKES IT A  
FEDERAL CRIMINAL OFFENSE FOR VIOLATIONS OF THEIR OATH OF OFFICE

WHICH THERE ARE SIMILAR PROVISIONS THAT APPLY TO ALL JUDGES,  
CLERKS, CASE MANAGERS AND EVEN FOR STATE OFFICIALS. FOR ANYONE  
EMPLOYED WITHIN THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT, INCLUDING MEMBERS  
OF CONGRESS TO ADVOCATE THE OVERTHROW OR VIOLATE THE U.S. CONSTI-  
TUTION IN THE MANNER THAT OCCURRED WITHIN THESE CASES 18 U.S.C.  
§ 1918 PROVIDES PENALTIES FOR VIOLATIONS OF "OATH OF OFFICE"  
DESCRIBED IN 5 U.S.C. § 7311. WHENEVER A JUDGE OR CASE MANAGER  
OR CLERK ACTS WHERE HE OR SHE DOES NOT HAVE JURISDICTION TO  
ACT, THE JUDGE OR CASE MANAGER OR CLERK ENGAGES IN ACT OR ACTS  
OF TREASON, ESPECIALLY AGAINST THE CONSTITUTION, UNITED STATES  
Xo-WILL, 449 U.S. 200, 216, 101 S.Ct. 471, 66 L.Ed.2d. 392,  
406(1980); COHEN-Xo-VIRGINIA, 19 U.S. (6 WHEAT) 264, 404, 5  
L.Ed. 257(1821).

WHEN IT COMES TO THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL AND OR FEDERAL  
ATTORNEYS REQUIREMENT TO RESPOND TO THE PLEADINGS SINCE THEY  
APPEARED IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 REMOVED TO THESE FEDERAL CASES.  
THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT IN SCHEVER-Xo-RHOADES, 416 U.S.  
232, 94 S.Ct. 1683(1974) ADJUDICATED THAT "WHEN A STATE OFFICER  
ACTS UNDER A STATE LAW IN A MANNER VIOLATIVE OF THE FEDERAL  
CONSTITUTION, HE COMES INTO CONFLICT WITH THE SUPERIOR AUTHORITY  
OF THAT CONSTITUTION, AND HE IS IN THAT CASE STRIPPED OF HIS  
OFFICIAL OR REPRESENTATIVE CHARACTER AND IS SUBJECT IN HIS PERSON  
TO THE CONSEQUENCES OF HIS INDIVIDUAL CONDUCT. THE STATE HAS  
NO POWER TO IMPART TO HIM ANY IMMUNITY FROM RESPONSIBILITY TO  
THE SUPREME AUTHORITY OF THE UNITED STATES AND IN THIS CASE,  
TO THE SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER  
AND AUTHORITY OF THE KING-KHALIFAH OF THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES.  
BY LAW A JUDGE IS A STATE OFFICER AND OR FEDERAL EMPLOYEE. THE  
JUDGE THEN ACTS NOT AS A JUDGE BUT AS A PRIVATE INDIVIDUAL.

THERE IS TRUSTEE OVER THESE CASES AND THE KING-KHALIFAH'S  
DECREES SUPERSEDE ALL. UNDER FEDERAL LAW WHICH IS APPLICABLE  
TO ALL STATES, THAT IF A COURT OR PARTIES IS "WITHOUT AUTHORITY,  
ITS JUDGMENTS OR ACTS OR ORDERS ARE REGARDED AS NULLITIES. THEY  
ARE NOT VOIDABLE, BUT SIMPLY VOID, AND FORM NO BAR TO A RECOVERY  
SOUGHT, EVEN PRIOR TO A REVERSAL IN OPPOSITION TO THEM. THEY  
CONSTITUTE NO JUSTIFICATION, AND ALL PERSONS CONCERNED IN EXECU-

TING SUCH ACTS, JUDGMENTS, OR SENTENCES ARE CONSIDERED, IN LAW, AS TRESPASSERS". YOU CAN'T ATTACH A RULE 40(d) NOTICE TO CASES 16-1953 OR 16-2141 AND ASHLEY BROWNLEE CAN'T BLOCK THE PLRA FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS OR THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017 FROM BEING FILED IN CASE 17-7186, ELLIOTT v. RIVERSOL, 1 PET. 328, 340, 26 U.S. 328, 340(1828).

WHEN JUDGES, CLERKS OR CASE MANAGERS ACT WHEN THEY DO NOT HAVE JURISDICTION TO ACT, OR THEY ENFORCE A VOID ORDER OR ACT (AN ORDER ISSUED BY JUDGES WITHOUT JURISDICTION) THEY BECOME TRESPASSERS OF THE LAW, AND ARE ENGAGED IN ACTS OF TREASON SET AGAINST THEIR OATHS AND THE CONSTITUTION. THE COURT IN YATES v. VILLAGE OF HOFFMAN ESTATES, ILLINOIS, 209 F.Supp. 757(N.D.Ill. 1962) HELD THAT "NOT EVERY ACTION BY A JUDGE OR A CLERK OR A CASE MANAGER IS AN EXERCISE OF THEIR SPECIFIC FUNCTION...IT IS NOT A JUDICIAL, CLERICAL OR CASE MANAGER FUNCTION FOR THEM TO COMMIT AN INTERNATIONAL TORT EVEN THOUGH THE TORT OCCURS IN THE COURTHOUSE". WHEN A JUDGE OR OFFICIAL ACTS AS A TRESPASSER OF THE LAW OR HIS OATH OF OFFICE, WHEN THE JUDGE OR OFFICIAL DOES NOT FOLLOW THE LAW OR HIS OATH OF OFFICE, THE JUDGE AND OR OFFICIAL IS SUBJECT TO DISMISSAL, CRIMINAL PENALTIES AND LOSES SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION AND THE OFFICIAL'S ACTS AND THE JUDGE'S ORDERS ARE VOID AND ARE OF NO LEGAL FORCE OR EFFECT.

ALL ACTS ARE ILLEGAL AND VOID, WHERE IN CONFLICT WITH THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. NO PERSON SHALL BE DEPRIVED OF LIFE, LIBERTY OR PROPERTY, WHICH INCLUDE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, WITHOUT DUE PROCESS LAW, NOR SHALL HE BE ADJUDICATED OF OR TRIED FOR ANY OFFENSE BY AN EX POST FACTO LAW WHERE EX POST FACTO LAW SHALL BE PASSED AND ALL SAID RIGHTS, TITLES, PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES ARE INVIOATE AND WOULD VIOLATE THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE, R.A.M. OF SOUTH FLORIDA, INC. v. W.C.I. COMMUNICATORS, INC. 869 SO.2d. 1210, 29 FLA. L. WEEKLY D. 761.

CONSTRUCTIVE FRAUD IS BREACH OF LEGAL OR EQUITABLE DUTY WHICH, IRRESPECTIVE OF MORAL GUILT, IS DECLARED BY LAW TO BE FRAUDULENT BECAUSE OF ITS TENDENCY TO DECEIVE OTHERS OR VIOLATE CONFIDENCE, DAVES v. LAWYERS SUR. CORP. 459 S.W.2d. 655(1970);

CORDIAL v. ERNST & YOUNG, 199 W.Va. 119, 483 S.E.2d. 248(1996).

FORCED BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY BY FRAUD TO BREACH CONTRACT IS PUNITIVE IN NATURE BY THESE CONSPIRING PARTIES. THE FIDUCIARY SOVEREIGN POWER HAS PARAMOUNT RIGHT TO PROTECT THE LIVES, HEALTH, MORALS, COMFORT AND GENERAL WELFARE OF HIS HOLY COMMONWEALTH WHO ARE BENEFICIARIES OF THE "TRUST", EDEN v. GOOD-YEAR-TIRE-&-RUBBER-CO., 858 F2d. 198(4th.Cir.1988); CURTIS v. CAFE-ENTERPRISES-INC., 2016 WL 6916786(N.C.2016); HOME-BLDG &-LOAN-ASS'N v. BLAISDELL, 290 U.S. 398, 54 S.Ct. 231, 88 A.L.R. 1481, 78 L.Ed. 413(U.S.1934); ELLIOTT v. BOARD-OF-SCHOOLS-TRUSTEE-OF-MADISON-CONSOLIDATED-SCHOOLS, --F3d.--, 2017 WL 5988226(7th.Cir.2017); NORTH-CAROLINA-ASS'N-OF-EDUCATORS, INC. v. STATE, 368 N.C. 777, 786 S.E.2d. 255(N.C.2016).

THE LAW DICTATES THAT FRAUD DESTROYS THE VALIDITY OF EVERYTHING IT ENTERS INCLUDING THE ACTS OF ASHLEY BROWNLEE AND JENNIFER RICE ACTING AS PROXY FOR THE JUDGES. IT FATALLY EFFECTS EVEN THE MOST SOLEMN ACTS OR JUDGMENTS AND DECREES. LABEO DEFINES FRAUD TO BE ANY CUNNING DECEPTION OR ARTICLE USED TO CIRCUMVENT OR DECEIVE ANOTHER, MR. WELLS, IN HIS VERY WORK ON RES JUDICATA SAYS, SEC. 499, "FRAUD VITIATES EVERYTHING", NUDD v. BURROWS, 91 U.S. 667-683(1875); U.S. v. THROCKMORTON, 98 U.S. 61-71(1871); 24-SENATORIAL-DIST. REPUBLICAN-COMMITTEE v. ALCORN, 820 F3d. 624(4th.Cir.2016).

JURISDICTION UNDER CASE 17-7532 AS IS 17-7186 IS VOID FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION, FRAUD AND STRUCTURAL ERRORS. THESE STRUCTURAL ERRORS DEFIES ANALYSIS BY HARMLESS ERROR DOCTRINE ESPECIALLY SINCE THERE IS TRUSTEE APPOINTED, WEAVER v. MASSACHUSETTS, 137 S.Ct. 1899, 198 L.Ed.2d. 420, 85 U.S.L.W. 4433(U.S. 2017); PIRELA v. HORN, --Fed. Appx'--, 2017 WL 4176224(3rd.Cir. 2017); GARCIA-FINANCIAL-GROUP v. VIRGINIA-ACCELERATORS-CORP. 3 Fed. Appx' 86, 2001 WL 117497(4th.Cir.2001) WHICH CAN BE RAISED AT ANY TIME TO VOID ALL ORDERS AND STOP THIS ARBITRARY JUDICIAL, CLERICAL OR OTHERWISE ACTIONS ISSUED AND OR DONE IN BOTH CASE 17-7532 AND 17-7186, BOARD-OF-TRUSTEES-OF-INTERNATIONAL-UNION-OPERATING-ENGINEERS, 2016 WL 1253285; WELLS-FARGO-BANK-N.A.

SINCE THERE WAS NO RULING ON THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA AS THEY RELATE TO EX-PARTE-VIRGINIA, 100 U.S. 339, 348-349, 25 L.Ed. 676(1880) AND THE-SLAUGHTER-HOUSE-CASES, 83 U.S. (16 WALL) 36, 1873 AND INSTEAD THE 4TH. CIRCUIT CHOSE FRAUD UNDER CASE 17-7186 TO MANIPULATE WILSON INTO FILLING OUT FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS USED PRIOR TO THE CLINTON ADMINISTRATION'S OMNIBUS CRIME BILL TO CIRCUMVENT RULING. THE 4TH. CIRCUIT AND PARTIES VIA SANCTIONS SOUGHT AND GRANTED BY DECREE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT MUST BE DEEMED IN FORFEITURE. ACTS OF CONGRESS OR THE COURTS THAT ARE REPUGNANT TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION CANNOT BECOME LAW OR STAND AS LAW. THE CONSTITUTION IS SUPREME TO ANY ACT OF THE COURTS OR LEGISLATURE, EVANCHO-X. PINE-RICHLAND-SCHOOL-DISTRICT, 237 F.Supp.3d 267(W.D.Pa.2017); TAYLOR-X. U.S. 136 S.Ct. 2074, 195 L.Ed.2d. 456, 84 U.S.L.W. 4462(U.S.2016).

INSOMUCH, YOUR ACTIONS IN HANDLING THESE MATTERS VIOLATE THE CONTRACT, THE GRANT GIVEN TO YOUR NATIONS BY THE SOLE CORPORATION IMPAIRING THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT IN VIOLATION OF THE PRIVILEGE AND IMMUNITIES CLAUSE OF ARTICLE IV § 2 AND ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION ESTABLISHING CAUSE BEFORE ALL COURTS ALLOWING ME TO INTERVENE AND CORRECT YOUR FRAUD, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, BRESAULT X. I.C.C. 494 U.S. 1, 110 S.Ct. 914, 108 L.Ed.2d. 1 (U.S.1990); BROWN-X. BROWN, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 2338233(D.C.Ky.2013); HARRIS X. HEGREGG-INC. F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1331166(N.C.2013); AMERICAN MUT. LIBERTY-INS. CO. X. PLYWOOD-PLASTICS-CORP. 81 F.Supp. 157(DSC.1948); OPARAH-X. NEW-YORK-DEPT.-OF-EDUC. F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 4240733(N.Y.D.C.2015); GORDON-X. TBC-RETAIL-GROUP-INC. F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 4247738(DSC.2016).

THE LAW AS DETERMINED BY THE U.S. SUPREME COURT IS CLEAR AND UNAMBIGUOUS ON ISSUES SUCH AS THE ONES BEING ARGUED WITHIN THESE CASES. IF A RULING, AND WE CAN ADD THE ACTS OF THE CLERKS AND CASE MANAGERS JENNIFER RICE AND ASHLEY BROWNLEE, HAS BEEN OBTAINED UNDER AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL STATUTE AND OR LEGISLATIVE

PROVISION AND OR INTERPRETATION OF LAW AND OR ACT, WHICH INCLUDE FRAUD. THE LAW EXPLAINED IF THIS POSITION IS WELL TAKEN, WHICH IT IS, IT EFFECTS THE "FOUNDATION" OF THE "WHOLE"(EMPHASIS ADDED) PROCEEDING. AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW OR ACT OR JUDICIAL DETERMINATION IS VOID AND IS AS IF THERE WERE NO ACT, OR DETERMINATION MADE OR DONE AT ALL, BEING A STRUCTURAL CONSTITUTIONAL ERROR NOT SUBJECT TO THE HARMLESS ERROR DOCTRINE. THE GENERAL RULE IS THAT AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL STATUTE AND OR ACT AND OR LEGISLATIVE PROVISION OF LAW, THOUGH HAVING THE FORM AND NAME OF LAW, IT IS IN REALITY NO LAW BY SUCH ACTS, BUT IS WHOLLY VOID AND INEFFECTIVE FOR ANY PURPOSE, WHICH INCLUDE ATTACHING A RULE 40(d) NOTICE TO IT BY RICE IN CASES 16-1953 AND 16-2141 OR BROWLEE SENDING FRAUDULENT FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS AND BLOCKING THE FILING OF THE (70) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED OCTOBER 5, 2017 IN CASE 17-7186 OR THIS CASE GOING FORWARD UNDER 17-7532, SINCE ITS UNCONSTITUTIONALITY DATES FROM THE DATE OF ITS ENACTMENT AND OR WHEN THE ACT WAS DONE....IN LEGAL CONTEMPLATION, IT IS INOPERATIVE AS IF IT HAD NEVER BEEN PASSED OR DONE....SINCE AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW AND OR ACT IS VOID, THE GENERAL PRINCIPLE FOLLOWS THAT IT IMPOSES NO DUTY (CLERKS OR CASE MANAGERS ACTING AS PROXY FOR THESE JUDGES CANNOT BLOCK OUR FILINGS OR ATTACH TO THEM), CONFERS NO RIGHTS, CREATES NO OFFICE (JUDICIAL, CLERICAL, CASE MANAGERS), BESTOWS NO POWER (NONE OF YOU HAVE JURISDICTION INCLUDING THE CLERKS AND CASE MANAGERS TO DO WHAT THEY'VE DONE.) OR AUTHORITY ON ANY PERSON (EMPHASIS ADDED), AFFORDS NO PROTECTION (YOU ARE NOT IMMUNE ONCE WE'VE NOTIFIED YOU OF THE WRONGS, AND YOU FAIL TO CORRECT OR CONTINUE IN THEM.), AND JUSTIFIES NO ACTS PERFORMED UNDER IT (DONE BY JENNIFER RICE AND ASHLEY BROWNLEE ACTING AS PROXY FOR THESE JUDGES IN FRAUD AS WELL AS THE PLRA AND STATE v. GENTRY ISSUES.)....A VOID ACT CANNOT BE LEGALLY CONSISTENT WITH A VALID ONE. AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW CANNOT OPERATE TO SUPERSEDE ANY EXISTING LAW (THIS MEANS THE LAW ESTABLISHED BEFORE THESE PROVISIONS OF LAW WERE ENACTED MUST NOW STAND ALLOWING US TO JOINTLY FILED, SEEK CLASS ACTION, ETC.). INDEED INSOFAR AS A STATUTE AND OR LEGISLATIVE PROVISION AND OR ACT RUN COUNTER TO THE FUNDAMENTAL LAW OF THE OF THE LAND (THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, EX PARTE VIRGINIA, SCHWARE, MCBURNEY, INDICTMENTS ARE TO BE ADJUDICATED UNDER THE DUE PROCESS

NO ONE IS BOUND TO OBEY FRAUD OR AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW AND NO COURTS ARE BOUND TO ENFORCE IT. ALL LAWS, RULES (LIKE THE RULE 40(d) NOTICE FILED BY RICE), STATUTES (THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA) AND PRACTICES (LIKE THE JUDGES USING THESE CLERKS AND CASE MANAGERS TO BLOCK FILING OF DOCUMENTS, STEALING INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IN VIOLATION OF THE HOBBS AND THE EXPROPRIATION EXCEPTION TO THE F.S.I.A.), WHICH ARE REPUGNANT TO THE CONSTITUTION ARE "NULL" AND "VOID", MARBURY-V.-MADISON, 5TH. U.S. (2 CRANCH) 137, 180; VINES-V.-UNITED-STATES, 28 F3d. 1123 CRIM. LAW 1163(1), 1165(1); ROBINSON-V.-ARXONIO, 27 F3d. 877 REHEARING DENIED CERT. GRANTED VACATED 115 S.Ct. 1247, 513 U.S. 1186, 131 L.Ed.2d. 129; LOUMIET-V.-UNITED-STATES, 65 F.Supp.3d. 19(2014); JOHNSON V.-UNITED-STATES,--S.Ct.--, 2015 WL 2473450(U.S.2015); MONTGOMERY V.-LOUISIANA, 136 S.Ct. 718, 193 L.Ed.2d. 599, 84 U.S.L.W. 4063 (U.S. 2016); GEET-OUTDOORS-LLC.-V.-CONSOLIDATION-CITY-OF-INDIANA-POLISAAA, 187 F.Supp.3d. 1002, 1012, S.D.Ill.; HILL-V.-SNYDER, 821 F3d. 763, 765+ (6TH.Cir.MICH.); PEOPLE-V.-SOLO, N.E.3d., 2017 WL 1838423(2017); 24-SENATORIAL-DIST.-REPUBLICAN-COMMITTEE V.-ALCORN, 820 F3d. 624(4th.Cir.2016).

THE 4TH. CIRCUIT NEVER EXPECTED THE DELUGE OF PROCEEDINGS THAT HAS NOW ENTERED INTO THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT WHICH NOW CREATE EVIDENCE TO SUBSTANTIATE THE FRAUD WHEN COMPARED WITH CASE(S) 17-7186 AND 17-6960. AS FOREIGN SOVEREIGN KING-KHALIFAH, I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, GIVE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT JUDICIAL NOTICE AS A MATTER OF LAW LET IT BE KNOWN IN AND FOR THE RECORD. I, AS KING-KHALIFAH, DEMAND THAT JENNIFER RICE, ASHLEY BROWNLEE, ALL CLERKS AND CASE MANAGERS, ALL JUDGES TO PRODUCE THEIR BOND AND OR OATH OF OFFICE AND OR WRIT OF COMMISSION THAT WOULD ALLOW ANY CLERK, CASE MANAGER OR JUDGE TO NEGATE THE TERMS OF THE CONTRACT ESTABLISHED BY THE SOLE CORPORATION PROTECTED UNDER BOTH THE PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES CLAUSE OF ARTICLE IV § 2 AND ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. FAIL TO DO THIS THEREUPON ALL RELIEF AND DEMANDS MUST BE GRANTED NOT DENIED ON THE COURT RECORD PRODUCING THE BOND AND OR OATH OF OFFICE AND OR WRIT OF COMMISSION AND CITING OF LAW BEFORE CASE 17-7532 OR 17-7186 CAN BE DEEMED LEGAL DUE TO THIS FRAUD AND STRUCTURAL

ERRORS AND ALL ISSUES BE PRESERVED AS DUE PROCESS REQUIRES.  
IT IS SO ORDERED. I, WE, WANT AND MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDG-  
MENT. PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201, 2202. IN CASES OF ACTUAL  
CONTROVERSY, . . . ANY COURT OF THE UNITED STATES, UPON THE FILING  
OF AN APPROPRIATE PLEADING, MAY DECLARE THE RIGHT AND OTHER  
LEGAL RELATIONS OF ANY INTERESTED PARTY SEEKING SUCH DECLARATION  
WHETHER OR NOT FURTHER RELIEF IS OR COULD BE SOUGHT\*\*\*. I, WE  
MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO SUBMIT BRIEF TO RESET ONCE  
THESE MATTERS ARE RULED ON, ON THE COURT RECORD TO PRESERVE  
ALL ISSUES FOR ANY NEEDED APPEAL BEFORE THESE CASES PROCEED  
BY DUE PROCESS LAW, WILLIAM DOUGLAS BROWN AND BRENDA HARRIET  
BROWN v. CSX TRANSPORTATION INC. 2017 WL 1028579(4th.Cir.2017);  
ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY v. INGRAHAM 2017 WL 976301(DSC.2017);  
L-3 COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION v. SERCO INC. --Fed. Appx'-- ,  
2016 WL 7232118(4th.Cir.2016).

INASMUCH, BY THIS FIASCO OF DUE PROCESS THAT YOU CALL  
17-7532. YOUR JURISDICTION IS MADE VOID PURSUANT NOT TO JUST  
CASE 17-6960, BUT CASE 17-7532 ALSO, TO INCLUDE ANY ORDER PRO-  
DUCED OR CONTAINED THEREIN. THE RULES ARE SUSPENDED BY DECREE  
OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT WHOSE POWER  
AND AUTHORITY SUPERSEDES THIS COURT BINDING UPON YOU VIA CONTRACT  
AND DEFAULT PROTECTED UNDER BOTH ARTICLE IV § 2 AND ARTICLE  
1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. BOTH CASES 17-7532 AND  
17-7186 ARE ESSENTIALLY ON REMAND AND THE COURTS UNDER BOTH  
CASES 17-7532 AND 17-7186 JURISDICTION IS LIMITED AND RESTRICTED  
TO ADDRESSING THE FRAUD THAT ESTABLISHED THIS TRAVESTY OF PRO-  
CEEDINGS, AND TO DO NOTHING EXCEPT GRANT THE RELIEF DEMANDED.  
PRODUCE YOUR BOND AND OR OATH OF OFFICE AND OR WRIT OF COMMISSION  
THAT DEMONSTRATE THAT YOU CAN VIOLATE THE PROVISIONS OF BOTH  
ARTICLE IV § 2 AND ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION  
AND THE DECREES OF A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN KING-KHALIFAH WHO BY  
HIS ORIGINAL STATUS IS SOVEREIGN WITH SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY,  
JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWERS BY CONTRACT AND DEFAULT OR STAND  
DOWN!!! THE 4TH. CIRCUIT, NOR ITS CLERKS OR CASE MANAGERS ACTING  
AS PROXY FOR CORRUPT JUDGES, NOR THE STATE MAY EXCLUDE A PERSON,  
NAMELY, THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN FIDUCIARY KING-KHALIFAH WITH SUPER-  
SEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY



BY HIS ORIGINAL STATUS AS SUCH (10) THOUSAND YEARS BEFORE THIS NATION WAS FORMED VIA THE SOLE CORPORATION STANDING UPON EX POST FACTO LAW, TO PRACTICE LAW (LAWGIVER OF GOD) OR ANY OTHER OCCUPATION GIVEN TO HIM BY "COVENANT", "CONTRACT" (FIDUCIARY, KING, KHALIFAH), IN A MANNER OR FOR REASONS THAT CONTRAVENE THE DUE PROCESS POWERS AND AUTHORITY GIVEN TO HIM OR IN A MANNER THAT VIOLATES THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS WHICH IN THIS CASE WOULD BE BEHIND RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED AND FOR PROTECTORATE PURPOSES WHICH WOULD ALSO VIOLATE BOTH ARTICLE IV § 2 AND ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. THE SAME WAY THIS NATION DID FOR THEM SODOMITES AND GOMORRAHRITES BY ALLOWING THEM TO MARRY BY USE OF THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE. THE SAME WAY WE HAVE THE LEGAL RIGHT TO UNDO IT UNDER THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE WHERE YOU ATTACHED, EXECUTED OR ARRESTED THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN STATE IN VIOLATION OF CONTRACT WITH OUT OUR CONSENT. THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS AXE SWINGS BOTH WAYS. THE SOVEREIGN POWER HAS PARAMOUNT RIGHT TO PROTECT THE LIVES, HEALTH, MORALS, COMFORT AND GENERAL WELFARE OF HIS PEOPLE AS FIDUCIARY HEIR WHERE THEY ARE BENEFICIARIES OF THE "TRUST", ELLIOTT v. BOARD OF SCHOOL TRUSTEES OF MADISON CONSOLIDATED SCHOOLS, --F3d.--, 2017 WL 5988226(7th.Cir.2017); NORTH CAROLINA ASS'N OF EDUCATORS, INC. v. STATE, 368 N.C. 777, 786 S.E.2d. 255(N.C.2016); McBURNAY v. YOUNG, 569 U.S. 221, 133 S.Ct. 1709, 185 L.Ed.2d. 758(U.S.2013); SCHOENFELD v. SCHNEIDERMAN, 821 F3d. 273 (2nd. Cir.2016); SCHWABE v. BOARD OF EXAM. OF STATE OF N.M., 353 U.S. 232, 72 S.Ct. 752, 64 A.L.R.2d. 288, 1 L.Ed.2d. 796(U.S.1957); TRUSTEES OF DARTMOUTH COLLEGE v. WOODWARD, 17 U.S. 518, 1819 WL 2201; FIFTH THIRD BANCORP v. DUDENHOEFFER, 132 S.Ct. 2459, 189 L.Ed.2d. 457, 82 U.S.L.W. 4578(U.S.2014); EAGIRE v. SULLIVAN, 2017 WL 3710066(D.C.Nev.2017); VIRGINIA BOARD OF MEDICINE v. ZACKRISON, 67 Va. App. 461, 796 S.E.2d. 866(2017); DOE v. ROGERS, 139 F.Supp.3d. 120(D.C.C.2015); BOLLS v. VIRGINIA BD. OF EXAMINERS, 811 F.Supp.2d. 1260(E.D.Va.2011); IN RE: GREEN, 980 F2d. 590(9th.Cir.1992).

YOU CANNOT OBTAIN JUDICIAL DETERMINATIONS TAINTED BY FRAUD. YOUR JURISDICTION IS LIMITED TO ADDRESSING THE FRAUD.


THE DEFAULT IS BINDING UPON THIS COURT AND NATION AS IS THE CONTRACT, U.S. v. ABDUL-WAHAB, 715 F3d. 521 CA4 (Va.2013); BROWN-ING v. TIGER-EYE-BENEFIT-CONSULTING, 313 Fed. Appx' 656, 2009 WL 497391 CA4 (Va.2009); U.S. EX-REL NATHAN v. TAKEDA-PHARMACEUTICAL-NORTH-AMERICAN-INC., 707 F3d. 451 CA4 (Va.2013); U.S. v. JONES, 716 F3d. 851 CA4 (Va.2013); CHARLEY-ENTERPRISE, INC. v. DICKEY-BARBECUE-RESTAURANTS, INC., 807 F3d. 553(4th.Cir.2015) (DEMONSTRATING ALL MUST BE RULED UPON TO PRESERVE FOR U.S. SUPREME COURT REVIEW); XNELSO v. U.S. BANK-N.A., 2015 WL 685271 (DSC.2015); U.S. v. ECCLESTON, --Fed. Appx'--, 2015 WL 4591890 CA4 (Md.2015); U.S. v. WELLS, 578 Fed. Appx' 234 CA4 (Va.2014); CHRISTIANSON v. M.B.N.A. AMERICAN-BANK-N.A., S.E.2d., 2013 WL 8507850 (S.C.App.2013); SPRINGOB v. UNIVERSITY-OF-SOUTH-CAROLINA, 407 S.C. 490, 757 S.E.2d. 384 (S.C.2014); STRATTEN v. MECKLENBERG COUNTY-DEPT. OF SOCIAL-SERVICES, 521 Fed. Appx' 278, 2013 WL 2364587 CA4 (N.C.2013); GENTRY-TECHNOLOGY-OF-S.C. INC. v. BARTIST HEALTH-SOUTH-FLORIDA, 2015 WL 1219251(DSC.2015); LOUGHMAN v. H.S., 134 S.Ct. 2384(U.S.2014); SMITH v. CLARKE/SMOOT/RUSSELL --F3d.--, 2015 WL 4717932 CA4 (Md.2015).

WE MOTION TO STAY CASES 17-7532 AND 17-7186 AS WE DO THE VARIOUS OTHER PARALLEL APPEALS, ESPECIALLY IN LIGHT OF THE FRAUD THAT PRODUCED THEM OR THAT IS GOING ON IN THEM. STAY CASES 17-7532 AND 17-7186!!! AND STOP PLAYING THESE GAMES. RULE ON THE MOTIONS, ALL OF THEM UNDER § 1983 NOT § 2254. EXTENSION OF TIME IS SOUGHT UNTIL THIS IS DONE. THE 4TH. CIRCUIT CANNOT ABSTAIN FROM ANSWERING FEDERAL QUESTION IN ACTS OF FRAUD, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND IN VIOLATION OF YOUR OATHS OF OFFICE WHICH IS A CRIMINAL OFFENSE, LAKE-CARRIER-ASS'N v. MacMILLIAN, 406 U.S. 498, 92 S.Ct. 1749(U.S.1972). WE INVOKE THE DOCTRINE OF COMITY. ALL ORDERS ISSUED UNDER CASE 17-7532 AND THE CLERK'S ACTS UNDER 17-7186 ARE VOID FOR FRAUD AND DUE PROCESS VIOLATION. BOTH CASES 17-7532 AND 17-7186 ARE TAINTED BY THE FRAUD. THE MOTION TO STAY WAS CIRCUMVENTED AS WELL AS THE MOTION FOR RECUSAL IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT. THE CASES WILL BE HEARD UNDER APPEAL OF CASES 17-6693, 17-7410 AND 17-7139. ALL OTHER CASES ARE SOUGHT STAYED DUE TO INVOKING THE DOCTRINE OF COMITY. SANCTIONS ARE IMPOSED AND ADDITIONAL PLEADING

MAY SOON FOLLOW. PRODUCE YOUR BOND AND OR OATH OF OFFICE AND OR WRIT OF COMMISSION THAT WOULD ALLOW YOU TO VIOLATE THE U.S. CONSTITUTION UNDER BOTH ARTICLE IV § 2 AND ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 AND THE DECREES OF A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN KING-KHALIFAH WHO IS UNDER CONTRACT VIA THE SOLE CORPORATION WITH EX POST FACTO LAW OR STAND DOWN, REED-v.-BIG-WATER-RESORT,-LLC,- F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 2935891(DSC.2016); DAN-RYAN-BUILDERS,-INC.-v.-CRYTAL-RIDGE-DEVELOPMENT,-INC,- 783 F3d. 976, 91 Fed. R. SERV.3d. 625 (4th.Cir.2015); BLUE-SKY-TRAVEL-AND-TOURS,-LLC,-v.-AL-TAYYAR,- --Fed. Appx'--, 2015 WL 1451636 CA4 (Va.2015); BARLOW-v.-COLGATE PALMOLIVE-CO,- 772 F3d. 1001, 90 Fed. R. SERV.3d. 85 CA4 (Md. 2014); JOHNSON-v.-U.S,- --S.Ct.--, 2015 WL 2473450(U.S.2015); YATES-v.-FORD-MOTOR-CO,- --F.Supp.3d.--, 2015 WL 6758983(E.D.N.C. 2015); W.-GULF-MAR,-ASS'N-v.-I.L.A.-DEER-SEA-LOCAL-24, 751 F2d. 721, 728(5th.Cir.1985); IN-RE:-MORNING-SONG-BIRD-FOOD-LITIGATION, 2015 WL 12791472(D.C.Cal.2015); IN-RE:-NARANTO, 768 F3d. 332, 348(4th.Cir.2014); ULMET-v.-UNITED-STATES, 888 F2d. 1028(4th.Cir. 1989); SMITH-v.-BAYER-CORP,- --U.S.--, 131 S.Ct. 2368, 2382, 180 L.Ed.2d. 341(2011); 202-NORTH-MONROE,-LLC,-v.-SOWER, 850 F3d. 265(6th.Cir.2017). IT IS SO ORDERED.

RESPECTFULLY,

JAHJAH AL MAHDI, KING, KHALIFAH,  
CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL  
THEOCRATIC STATE AND COURT



JASON MORRIS GOURDINE



CHRISTOPHER DARNELL WILSON

DECEMBER 20, 2017

*Christopher Wilson*

RECEIVED IN CLERK'S OFFICE  
DATE 10/3/18

" Exhibit "

Foreign Sovereigns

# 2

=

" file in case 14-cv-1476-ATB "

=

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA  
JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE  
ET. AL., #300839 WANDO A-127  
LIEBER C.I. P.O. BOX 205  
RIDGEVILLE, S.C. 29472

RECEIVED  
2017 OCT 24 AM 10:13  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS  
FOURTH CIRCUIT

IN RE: 17-6693; 17-6925; 17-6960; 17-7139; 17-7137; 17-7134;  
17-7068; 16-1953; 16-2141; 17-1415; 16-2299; 17-7186

TO: THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS,

THE RULES ARE SOUGHT SUSPENDED FOR ALL THE ABOVE  
CAPTIONED CASES. PLEASE SEE THAT A COPY OF ALL THESE DOCUMENTS  
SUBMITTED ARE FILED WITHIN ALL CASES CAPTIONED ABOVE. THE (70)  
PAGE DOCUMENT IS THE LEAD DOCUMENT. THE REMAINDER ARE ATTACHMENTS  
TO IT. SOME OF THE DOCUMENTS MAY BE FILED WITHIN A FEW OF THE  
CASES ALREADY. JUST PLEASE MAKE SURE A COPY OF THESE ATTACHED  
DOCUMENTS ARE FILED WITHIN ALL CASES CAPTIONED FOR RECUSAL PUR-  
POSES AND TO ADDRESS OTHER ISSUES RELATED TO THE CASES IN TOTAL.  
WE THANK YOU IN ADVANCE. STILL REMAIN,

RESPECTFULLY,  
JAHJAH AL MAHDI

OCTOBER 5, 2017

CC: david duren

COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE 4TH. CIRCUIT ET. AL.,

=====

PETITION FROM SOUTH CAROLINA  
(CASES 2:17-cv-1127-JMC-MGB ET. AL.,)

=====

DOCKET NO.(S) 17-6693; 17-6925; 17-6960; 17-7139; 17-7137;  
17-7134; 17-7068; 16-1953; 16-2141; 17-1415; 16-2299;  
17-7186 ET. AL.,

=====

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE AKA  
JAHJAH AL MAHDI ET. AL.,

PETITIONER(S)

Vs.

THE JUDGES WHO SIGNED THE ORDER IN CASE 16-1953; THE UNITED  
STATES; JUDGE ROBERT E. HOOD ET. AL.,

DEFENDANT(S)

=====


**AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE**

=====


WE, JAHJAH AL MAHDI ET. AL., DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT WE HAVE  
MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING  
JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING WRIT OF ERROR; MOTION TO REINSTATE CASES  
17-7068 AND 17-7186 ET. AL.,; MOTION TO STAY CASES 17-7139;  
17-7134; 17-6925; 17-7068; 17-7137; 17-7186; MOTION FOR AN EXTEN-  
SION OF TIME; MOTION FOR AN INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATION; MOTION  
FOR EN BANC REVIEW IN CASE 17-1415 AND THIS APPEAL AND TO VACATE

ALL ORDERS RELATED TO PRIOR WRITS OF MANDAMUS AND MOTION TO  
MOTION THEREFOR, WITH ITS ATTACHMENTS, ON THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT  
OF APPEALS AND ALL INVOLVED PARTIES, ALSO VIA TRUSTEE AUSTIN,  
BY U.S. MAIL POSTAGE PREPAID AND OR CERTIFIED, BY PLACING IT  
IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX ON OCTOBER 5, 2017. IT IS DEEMED  
FILED THAT DATE, HOUSTON-v.-LACK, 287 U.S. 266, 273-76, 108  
S.Ct. 2379(1988).

RESPECTFULLY,  
JAHJAH AL MAHDI



ANTHONY COOK




ROBERT MITCHELL



david duren



YAHYA MUQUIT



CHRISTOPHER WILSON



OCTOBER 5, 2017

COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE 4TH. CIRCUIT ET. AL.,

-----  
PETITION FROM SOUTH CAROLINA  
(CASES 2:17-cv-1127-JMC-MGB ET. AL.,)

-----  
DOCKET NO.(S) 17-6693; 17-6925; 17-6960; 17-7139; 17-7137;  
17-7134; 17-7068; 16-1953; 16-2141; 17-1415; 16-2299;  
17-7186 ET. AL.,

-----  
LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE AKA  
JAHJAH AL MAHDI ET. AL.,

PETITIONER(S)

Vs.

THE JUDGES WHO SIGNED THE ORDER IN CASE 16-1953; THE UNITED  
STATES; JUDGE ROBERT E. HOOD ET. AL.,

DEFENDANT(S)

-----  
AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING  
WRIT OF ERROR; MOTION TO AMEND PARTIES; MOTION FOR  
RECUSAL; MOTION TO REINSTATE CASES 17-7068 AND  
17-7186 ET. AL.,; MOTION TO STAY CASES 17-7139, 17-  
7134, 17-6925, 17-7068, 17-7137, 17-7186; MOTION FOR  
AN EXTENSION OF TIME; MOTION FOR AN INDEPENDENT  
INVESTIGATION; MOTION FOR EN BANC REVIEW IN CASE  
17-1415 AND THIS APPEAL AND TO VACATE ALL ORDERS  
RELATED TO PRIOR WRITS OF MANDAMUS AND MOTION TO  
MOTION THEREFOR



TO: THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS ET. AL.,

HERE THE COURT AND PARTIES WILL FIND:

(1) A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE, FILING WRIT OF ERROR; NOTICE OF SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL; MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT; MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND AN INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATION DUE TO OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR SEEKING EN BANC REVIEW, [14] PAGES DATED JULY 2, 2017.

(2) A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT THE CAUSES OF ACTION AGAINST JUDGES HARWELL, MERCHANT, WOOTEN AND DEFENDANTS; MOTION TO AMEND THE PARTIES TO ADD THE NAME OF JUDGE STUART RABNER OF THE N.J. SUPREME COURT; ALSO SEEKING CLOCKED STAMPED COPIES, [23] PAGES DATED JULY 8, 2017.

(3) A COPY OF THE § 1983 ACTION THAT MAKE UP THE PARALLEL CASES SUBJUDICE WITHIN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT.

(4) A COPY OF THE WRIT OF MANDAMUS THAT MAKE UP CASE 16-2299 PENDING WITHIN THE 4TH. CIRCUIT.

(5) A COPY OF THE ORDER AND DETERMINATION MADE IN CASE 17-1415 FILED AUGUST 28, 2017.

(6) A COPY OF THE ORDER ISSUED BY JUDGE KAYMANI WEST IN CASE 5:17-cv-01363-BHH-KDW FILED AUGUST 23, 2017.

(7) A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE AND AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; FILING WRIT OF ERROR; MOTION TO AMEND THE DEFENDANTS; MOTION FOR SANCTIONS, SEEKING AN INJUNCTION AND OR PROTECTIVE ORDER AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, [19] PAGES DATED AUGUST 10, 2017 ON THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE. WE GIVE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT NOTICE. THIS DOCUMENT IS FILED WITH THE GREENVILLE DIVISION CLERK AND COURT UNDER CASES 9:16-cv-

3808-TLW-BM; 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM AND SERVED ON ALL CASES AND JUDGES WITHIN THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT SUBJUDICE VIA THE CHARLESTON DIVISION CLERK OF COURT. IT IS SERVED UPON ALL JUDGES AND CASES WITHIN THE 4TH. CIRCUIT VIA THE KING-KHALIFAH'S TRUSTEE , JUDGE JACQUELYN AUSTIN. THIS DOCUMENT IS SERVED AS LIEN OF SERVICE OF THAT DOCUMENT. PLEASE HAVE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT CLERK OR CASE MANAGER OBTAIN A COPY OF IT ELECTRONICALLY AND FILE IT WITHIN ALL CASES LISTED WITHIN THE CAPTION OF THIS LEAD DOCUMENT BY DECREE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT. IT IS SO ORDERED.

(8) A COPY OF THE APPLICATION FOR FORENSIC DNA TESTING FILED WITHIN THE RICHLAND COUNTY S.C. COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS THAT IS REMOVED TO ALL PARALLEL CASES SUBJUDICE. THE RICHLAND COURT CASE NUMBER IS 2004-385. A COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS FORTH COMING.

(9) A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; SUPPLEMENTING THE CAUSES OF ACTION IN ALL PENDING FEDERAL AND STATE CASES AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS; SUBMITTING ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE OF CONSPIRACY AND CRIMINAL ACTS;\*\*\*, [30] PAGES DATED DECEMBER 7, 2016 FILED IN CASE 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA AND THE OTHER RELATED CASES.

THE PETITIONERS GIVE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT AND PARTIES JUDICIAL NOTICE. THE CASES CAPTIONED ARE ESSENTIALLY INDEPENDENT ACTIONS FOR FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND OR PARALLEL APPEALS ORIGINATING FROM THE APPEAL UNDER 17-6960 AS THEY ALSO APPEAR UNDER 17-1695 AND OR 17-6693 AND OR 17-6925 AND OR 17-7139 AND OR 17-7134 AND OR 17-7068, TO INCLUDE THE ANTHONY COOK APPEAL OF CASE 8:16-cv-3327-RBH-JDA THAT IS HOPEFULLY BEING PROCESSED AS WE SPEAK.

WE MOTION TO AMEND THE PARTIES AND IF WITHIN ANY CASE CAPTIONED OR IN THE MIDST OF BEING PROCESSED, THE NAME OF THE KING-KHALIFAH, LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE DO NOT APPEAR AS A PARTY WITHIN ANY OF THESE CASES CAPTIONED. THOSE CASES REFERRED TO MUST AND ARE NOW AMENDED

TO ADD THE KING-KHALIFAH TO PROTECT HIS ACQUIRED INTEREST PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. §§ 1443(1), 2679, 1602-1612 ET. SEQ., EIE GUAM-V.-LONG-TERM-CREDIT-BANK,-JAPAN, 322 F3d. 635(9TH.Cir.2003); VERLINDEN-B.V.-V.-CENTRAL-BANK-OF-NIGERIA, 401 U.S. 480, 103 S.Ct. 1962, 76 L.Ed.2d. 81(U.S.1983); CAPITAL-TRANS,-INTERN, LLC.-V.-INTERNATIONAL-PETROLEUM-INV.-CO., F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 557236(Fla.2013); THORTON-V.-MARYLAND-GENERAL-HOSP., F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1943065(Md.2013); BRADY-V.-UNITED-STATES, 2016 WL 1031301(E.D.Va.2016); ADAIR-ASSET-MANAGEMENT,-LLC.-V.-U.S.-DEPT. OF-HOUSING-URBAN-DEVELOPMENT, 2016 WL 3248569(2016); SAUNIER V.-BOEING-COMPANY, F.Supp.2d., 2014 WL 1646953(2014). I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, AS THE RIGHTFUL HEIR AND FOREIGN SOVEREIGN KING-KHALIFAH OF THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES OF THE RE-ESTABLISHED GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE VOLUNTARILY JOIN IN ALL CAPTIONED CASES TO PROTECT MY ACQUIRED INTEREST.

WE EXPAND THE SCOPE AND INCLUSION IS GRANTED BY DECREE AND JUDGMENT OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE AND KING-KHALIFAH OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND COURT WHERE THERE IS NO SEPARATION OF POWERS AS TOLD WITHIN THE KING-KHALIFAH'S MEMORANDUM OF LAW AND DECLARATION OF SOVEREIGNTY DEFAULTED ON, WHOSE ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY SUPERSEDE ALL NATIONAL AND OR GLOBAL COURTS, WHICH INCLUDE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS, BY THE CLAIMS OF DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 OUT OF THE RICHLAND COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS IN COLUMBIA, S.C. TO WHICH THE UNITED STATES AND REMAINING (192) MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS ARE PARTY TO THE DEFAULT THAT BINDS THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS AND ALL NATIONAL AND OR GLOBAL COURTS AND GOVERNMENTS. ALL DOCUMENTS FILED WITHIN CASES 17-6693 AND 17-6925 ARE NOW FILED WITHIN ALL CASES LISTED WITHIN THE CAPTION. JUDGES INSTRUCT THE CASE MANAGER TO COMPLETE THIS TASK. APPELLATE COURT RULES ARE SUSPENDED FOR ALL CASES CAPTIONED. THIS INCLUDES FOR ANY DEFECT IN FORM OR PAGE LIMIT FOR ANY DOCUMENT FILED NOW OR IN THE FUTURE. IT IS SO ORDERED, APPELLATE RULE (2); U.S.-V.-MERCADO, 199 F3d. 1329 (TABLE) 1999 WL 958465; U.S.-V.-REID, 506 Fed. Appx' 209, 2013 WL 239076(4th.Cir.2013); CAPERTON-V.-BEATRICE-POCAHONTAS-COAL CO. 585 F2d. 683(4th.Cir.1978).

THE COURT CAN REVIEW CASES WITHIN ITS JURISDICTION FOR THE PURPOSE OF ESTABLISHING THAT THESE ARE PARALLEL APPEALS OR RELATED CASES BEFORE THE COURT ADDRESSING SIMILAR ISSUES, CLAIMS AND DEFENSES ALSO FOR THE PURPOSE OF ESTABLISHING CAUSE TO STAY, RES JUDICATA, THE FRAUD UPON THE COURT ENGAGED IN BY THE CONSPIRING JUDGES AND OTHER ISSUES DONE WITHIN THESE RELATED CASES AND OR PARALLEL APPEALS, HARBISON-V.-BELL, 556 U.S. 180, 129 S.Ct. 1481(U.S.2009); SOUTHERN-PAC.-R.-CO.-V.-U.S., 168 U.S. 1, 18 S.Ct. 18, 42 L.Ed. 355(U.S.1897); NOELL-CRANE-SYSTEMS GmbH-V.-NOELL-CRANE-SYSTEMS-SERVICES, 677 F.Supp.2d. 852(2009); KNIGHT-V.-MANUFACTURES-&-TRADERS-TRUST-CO., 84 F.Supp.3d. 436 (D.Md.2015); BARDES-V.-SOUTH-CAROLINA, F.Supp.2d., 2010 WL 1498190(DSC.2010).

WE GIVE THE COURT AND ALL PARTIES JUDICIAL NOTICE THAT THE KING-KHALIFAH OFFICIALLY EXERCISES ALL SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER AND AUTHORITY GIVEN TO HIM BY THE DEFAULT. UNLESS THE COURT CAN DEMONSTRATE THAT THE RICHLAND COURT, THE UNITED STATES, THE MEMBERS STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS ETC. TIMELY RESPONDED TO DEFEAT THE AFFIDAVITS FILED IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 EXERCISING THE AFOREMENTIONED POWER AND AUTHORITY, TO INCLUDE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN POWER; OR THEY CAN PRODUCE AN ORDER THAT IS NOT TAINTED BY EGREGIOUS ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND OR VOIDED DUE TO REMOVAL TO THE FEDERAL COURT. THE CLAIM OF FOREIGN SOVEREIGN POWER, TO INCLUDE THAT OF SUPERSEDING ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE POWER, MUST BE GIVEN FULL FAITH AND CREDIT WITHIN ALL COURT RECORDS. ALL CASES CAPTIONED WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT ARE ESSENTIALLY ON REMAND, LIMITING AND RESTRICTING ALL COURTS JURISDICTION TO DO NOTHING, EXCEPT GRANT ALL RELIEF THAT IS DEMANDED. THIS IS ISSUE ON APPEAL AND GRANTED. TRUSTEE IS APPOINTED IN THE FORM OF JUDGE AUSTIN. THIS IS CHALLENGE TO YOUR JURISDICTION. ONCE JURISDICTION IS ACQUIRED, ...IT IS EXCLUSIVE, EVEN BY WAY OF FEDERAL AND OR INTERNATIONAL PROBATE LAW, AS WELL AS BY ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION, INHABITANTS OF TOWN OF FAIRFIELD-V.-TIME WARNER CABLE NORTHEAST-LLC., F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 1565237(2015); IN-RE: CHINEESE-MANUFACTURED DRYWALL PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGA-

TION, F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 1584060(2016); FERRARA-V.-QUADROZZI  
EQUIPMENT-LEASING-CORP., 2013 WL 3226755(E.D.N.Y.2013); UNIVER-  
SAL-FILM-EXCHANGE-INC.-V.-WALTER-READE-INC., 37 F.R.D. 4, 9  
Fed. R. SERV.2d. 56(e); ANDERSON-V.-LIBERTY-LOBBY-INC., 477  
U.S. 242, 106 S.Ct. 2505, 91 L.Ed.2d. 202(U.S.1986); DASIMONE  
V.-QUICKEN-LOANS-INC., F.Supp.2d., 2011 WL 2470661(S.D.Ind.  
2011); IN-RE:-CLEAN-BURN-FUELS-LLC., 2014 WL 2987330(N.C.2014);  
IN-RE:-OUTDOOR-R.V.-AND-MARINE-LLC., 2012 WL 345366(DSC.2012);  
WILLIAMS-V.-SECRETARY-OF-VETERAN-AFFAIRS,--F.Supp.3d.--,2015  
WL 5935169(N.D.Ala.2015); LaPENNA-V.-GOVERNMENT-EMPLOYEES-INS.  
CO., 316 Fed. Appx' 894, 2009 WL 485274(11th.Cir.2009); MILLER  
V.-PARRISH, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1868028(Va.2013). IT IS SO  
ORDERED.

ALL CLAIMS, ISSUES AND DEFENSES THAT ARE ARGUED WITHIN  
ALL ATTACHED DOCUMENTS ARE NOW ISSUES ON APPEAL IN THESE CASES  
TO INCLUDE THE SEEKING OF DECLARATORY JUDGMENT ADDRESSING EACH  
SPECIFIC ISSUE AND CONCERN RAISED WITHIN THE ATTACHED DOCUMENTS  
FOR ALL CASES LISTED WITHIN THE CAPTION OF THIS DOCUMENT. ANY  
CLAIM OF REPETITIVE FILING AND OR ABUSE OF COURT IS DEEMED WAIVED  
AND OF NO EFFECT UPON THESE CASES IN THEIR TOTALITY. ANY ADDI-  
TIONAL AND OR SUBSEQUENT FILING WAS CAUSED AND OR CREATED BY  
THE CONSPIRING FEDERAL COURTS INVOLVED FRAUD AND INDEPENDENT  
ACTION FOR THAT FRAUD WHERE WE SHOULD HAVE NEVER BEEN SEPARATED  
BY THE COURT, WHEN SUCH SEPARATION WAS BASED UPON THE PROVISIONS  
OF THE PRISON LITIGATION REFORM ACT AND OR THE ANTI-TERRORISM  
EFFECTIVE DEATH PENALTY ACT AND THESE PROVISIONS OF LAW ARE  
UNCONSTITUTIONAL BY THE LITIGATION PRESENTED. THEY CAUSED AND  
OR FORCED ANY REPETITIVE AND OR INDEPENDENT ACTION FOR FRAUD  
UPON THE COURT TO BE FILED. THUS, SANCTIONS BY THEIR FRAUD ARE  
IMPOSED BY DECREE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT AND THE COURT  
IS IN FORFEITURE OF SUCH A CLAIM. IT IS SO ORDERED.

**NOTICE:** THIS IS AN ISSUE ON APPEAL. BY THE LITIGATION  
PRESENTED WITHIN THESE CASES, ARE THE PROVISIONS OF THE PLRA  
AND THE AEDPA UNCONSTITUTIONAL?

**NOTICE:** THIS IS AN ISSUE ON APPEAL. BY THE LITIGATION

PRESENTED AND THE DEFAULT EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 THAT BINDS THE UNITED STATES, ITS EMPLOYEES AND THIS COURT. IS JUDGE JACQUELYN AUSTIN REQUIRED BY LAW TO FULFILL HER DUTIES AS THE KING-KHALIFAH'S APPOINTED TRUSTEE?

**NOTICE:** THIS IS AN ISSUE ON APPEAL. BY THE DEFAULT AND THE CLAIMS MADE WITHIN THE KING-KHALIFAH'S MEMORANDUM OF LAW AND DECLARATION OF SOVEREIGNTY DEFAULTED ON BY THE UNITED STATES AND THAT WHICH IS ARGUED IN THE MANDAMUS THAT ESTABLISHES CASE 16-2299. DO THE KING-KHALIFAH HAVE STANDING TO CHALLENGE SAME SEX MARRIAGE WITHIN THIS NATION?

**NOTICE:** THIS IS ANOTHER ISSUE ON APPEAL. DUE TO ONE OF THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES BEING THE THRONE OF KING DAVID, KING SOLOMON, THE QUEEN OF SHEBA AND THEIR ONLY SON, BEING LEGAL HEIR TO THE UNITED ETHIOPIAN EMPIRE WHOSE ANCESTORS WERE BROUGHT HERE BY BRUTAL, TORTUROUS FORCE. AS THE RIGHTFUL LEGAL LOST HEIR. DO WE HAVE LEGAL STANDING TO SUE FOR THE INJUSTICES DONE VIA THE U.S. SLAVE TRADE AND JIM CROW AS IS ARGUED WITHIN THE DOCUMENTS FILED WITHIN THESE CASES?

BY THE CONSPIRING JUDGES AND DEFENDANTS OUTRAGEOUS ACT OF FRAUD AND OBSTRUCTION, SANCTION ARE IMPOSED AND THEY ARE IN FORFEITURE OVER THESE ISSUES, BARLOW-v.-COLGATE-PALMOLIVE CO., 772 F3d. 1001, 90 Fed. R. SERV.3d. 85 CA4 (Md.2014); MORRIS v.-WACHOVIA-SECURITIES, INC., 448 F3d. 268, Fed. Sec. L. Rep. P. 93, 858 CA4 (Va.2006); BLUE-SKY-TRAVEL-AND-TOURS, LLC.-v. AL-TAYYAR, --Fed. Appx'--, 2015 WL 1451636 (Va.2015); NOBREGA-v. HINKLE, 576 Fed. Appx' 224 CA4 (Va.2014). IT IS SO ORDERED.

**NOTICE:** THIS IS ANOTHER ISSUE ON APPEAL. DOES ROSS-v. BLAKE, 136 S.Ct. 1850 (U.S.2016) APPLY TO HABEAS CORPUS AND DUE TO THE FRAUD, MACHINATION, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE ENGAGED IN BY BOTH THE STATE AND FEDERAL JUDGES AND OR THE STATE AND FEDERAL ACTORS. DO WE HAVE TO EXHAUST WITHIN THE STATE COURT, OR CAN WE IMMEDIATELY FILE FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS WITHIN THE FEDERAL COURT? SEE TAYLOR-v.-TAYLOR, 2016 WL 5118113. ALSO SEE CAL. JUR.S.3d. PENAL AND CORRECTIONAL IN-

STITUTIONS § 1001, RIGHT OF PRISONERS TO RELIEF BY HABEAS CORPUS (2016).

WE GIVE THE COURT AND ALL PARTIES NOTICE. ALL DOCUMENTS THAT ARE NOW FILED WITHIN CASES 17-6693 AND 17-6925 ARE NOW DEEMED FILED WITHIN ALL OTHER CASES LISTED WITHIN THE CAPTION OF THIS DOCUMENT. JUDGES IN CASES 17-6693 AND 17-6925, YOU ARE TO INSTRUCT THE COURT CLERK AND OR CASE MANAGER TO BE IN COMPLIANCE TO THIS DEMAND. I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, AKA LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD, LEGAL FOREIGN, VOLUNTARILY JOIN IN ALL CASES PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT TO PROTECT MY ACQUIRED INTEREST PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. §§ 1443(1), 2679, 1602-1612 ET. SEQ.. I WAS PERMITTED TO BE PARTY IN CASE 17-6960. BY WHAT IS ARGUED WITHIN CASES 17-6693 AND 17-6925, THIS MUST BE PERMITTED FOR ALL CASES INVOLVED. IT IS SO ORDERED.

IF ANY OF THESE JUDGES OF CONCERN; JUDGES DIAZ, THACKER, DAVIS, GREGORY, DUNCAN, HAMILTON, AND WE CAN ADD JUDGE SHEDD, ARE PRESIDING OVER ANY OF THESE CASES CAPTIONED AND REFERRED TO. WE MOTION FOR YOUR RECUSAL THE SAME WAY AND FOR ESSENTIALLY THE SAME REASONS ARGUED IN CASES 17-6693; 17-6960 AND 17-6925. EN BANC REVIEW IS TO BE GIVEN BY THE REMAINING 4TH. CIRCUIT JUDGES TO PREVENT ANY FURTHER ACTS OF FRAUD. WE INFORMED THE COURT THAT PARTIES WITHIN THE 4TH. CIRCUIT WERE POTENTIALLY INVOLVED. NOW THAT JUDGE SHEDD IS REVEALED COMMITTING AN ILLEGAL ACT, THAT HE KNOWS IS ILLEGAL. HIS NAME RELATES BACK TO THE ORIGINAL COMPLAINTS WITHIN ALL PARALLEL AND RELATED CASES. THEIR PRESENCE PRODUCE A POTENTIAL FOR BIAS THAT RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL AND THEY CANNOT SIT UPON THEIR OWN CASES BASED UPON THE FRAUD THAT THEY ARE PARTY TO AS IS ARGUED UNDER CASES 17-6693 AND 17-6925, UNITED STATES v. QUINONES, 2016 WL 4413149, \* 6+ (S.D.Va.2016); WILLIAMS v. PENNSYLVANIA, 136 S.Ct. 1899 (U.S.2016); U.S. v. ECCLESTON, --Fed. Appx'--, 2015 WL 4591890 CA4 (Md.2015); U.S. v. HACKLEY, 662 F3d. 671 CA4 (Va.2011).

IF SOMEHOW CASE 17-7068 HAS BEEN CLOSED AND OR THE MANDATE HAS BEEN SENT TO THE LOWER COURT. WE MOTION TO RECALL THE MANDATE AND REINSTATE CASE 17-7068 BY WHAT IS ARGUED WITHIN THE ATTACHED

DOCUMENTS AND PARALLEL APPEALS AND OR RELATED CASES. THE 4TH. CIRCUIT SHALL GRANT THIS RELIEF. IT IS SO ORDERED.

IF THERE IS ANY CONCERN ABOUT A 3 STRIKE ISSUE RELATED TO THE KING-KHALIFAH OR ANY PARTY INVOLVED. THOSE PAST STRIKES ARE VOID AND ILLEGAL WHICH WILL BE ELABORATED ON, AND THREAT OF IMMINENT DANGER EXIST AT THE TIME OF ALL OF THESE CASES FILING AS IS ARGUED IN CASES 17-6693 AND 17-6925. STATE PRISONER CLAIM THAT HE SUFFERED UNPROVOKED ASSAULTS BY PRISON OFFICIALS WHICH RESULTED IN SERIOUS PHYSICAL INJURIES, THAT HE WAS THREATENED WITH SEVERE BODILY INJURY OR DEATH UPON ENTRY INTO PRISON, BEARING A NEXUS TO THESE PROCEEDINGS, AND THAT PRISONER LIVED IN AN ATMOSPHERE OF CONSTANT FEAR IN WHICH HE KNOWS NOT WHEN NEXT VIOLENT ASSAULT MAY OCCUR, WERE SUFFICIENT TO DEMONSTRATE EXISTENCE OF IMMINENT DANGER OF SERIOUS PHYSICAL HARM, AS REQUIRED TO COME WITHIN EXCEPTION TO THREE STRIKE RULE PRECLUDING PRISONER WHOSE 3 PRIOR ACTIONS WERE DISMISSED FROM PLEADING IN FORMA PAUPERIS, JOHNSON-v.-WARNER, 200 Fed. Appx' 270, 2006 WL 2711957 (4th.Cir.2006). IT IS A DANGER GREATER THAN FEAR WHERE REPEATED AND RECENT ATTACKS HAVE ALREADY OCCURRED AND THE CONDUCT THREATENS CONTINUING AND FUTURE INJURY. IT IS A PATTERN OF CONDUCT EVIDENCING THE LIKELIHOOD OF IMMINENT SERIOUS PHYSICAL INJURY, BRYAN-v.-McCALL, 2016 WL 529574(DSC.2016); JOHNSON-v.-KISER, F.Supp.2d., 2012 WL 293286(W.D.Va.2012); SAYRE-v.-KING, F.Supp. 3d., 2014 WL 4414509(W.D.Va.2014); SOLE-v.-ELLIS, 2015 WL 6407205 (N.D.Fla.2015); HENDERSON-v.-GEORGIA, 2015 WL 4492743(Ga.2015).

IN REGARDS TO CASES 17-7068; 17-7134; 17-7139 ET. AL. AS ARGUED BEFORE THE COURT IN CASES 17-6693; 17-6925. YOU HAVE THE DEFENDANTS POTENTIALLY LISTED INCORRECTLY IN THESE CASES. IT APPEARS FROM THE DOCUMENTS THAT YOU HAVE SERVED ON US, THAT THE DEFENDANTS ARE LISTED AS "THE JUDGES WHO SIGNED THE ORDER IN CASE 16-1953" ONLY? IF THIS IS THE CASE, IT MUST BE CORRECTED BEFORE THESE CASES CAN MOVE FORWARD. THIS BECOMES A CHALLENGE TO YOUR JURISDICTION BASED UPON THE FRAUD THAT HAS POTENTIALLY OCCURRED. THIS IS NOT A FORTUITOUS ACT ON THE PART OF THE CONSPIRING JUDGES WHICH FURTHER WARRANT THEIR RECUSAL AND DISQUA-



LIFICATION. THE ACT OF LISTING THESE DEFENDANTS INCORRECTLY IN THESE PARALLEL AND RELATED CASES IS AN ACT OF FRAUD UPON THE COURTS, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND MACHINATION DESIGNED TO PREVENT US FROM BEING HEARD ON THE CLAIMS MADE. THIS IS WHAT IS OCCURRING. THERE ARE ESSENTIALLY (2) PRONGS THAT MUST BE MET BEFORE THE COURT CAN DEEM A CASE AS BEING FRI-VOLOUS. THEY ARE:

(1) THE PLAINTIFF(S) MUST BE IN A POSITION WHERE HE IS MAKING EFFORTS TO SUE A PERSON WHO UNDER THE ALLEGATIONS MADE WITHIN THE COMPLAINT CANNOT BE SUED.

(2) IS WHERE THE PLAINTIFF(S) INFRINGES UPON A RIGHT THAT IS NOT ESTABLISHED.

THE JUDGES AND CONSPIRING PARTIES KNEW THAT LEGALLY THE DEFAULT AND CLAIMS OF COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 DEMONSTRATES THAT WE ARE NOT INFRINGING UPON RIGHTS THAT WE DID NOT LEGALLY ESTABLISH BECAUSE THE ACTION UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 IS REMOVED TO THESE PARALLEL AND RELATED CASES AND BECAUSE THE CLAIMS OF DEFAULT AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL ESTABLISHES THE RIGHTS SOUGHT TO BE EXERCISED AND OR INVOKED, BARRING ANY FURTHER CHALLENGE IN THIS REGARD. SO THEIR INTENT WAS TO SHOW THAT WE DID NOT MEET THE FIRST PRONG. THAT BEING THAT WE WERE SUING PEOPLE WHO COULD NOT BE SUED FOR THE CLAIMS MADE. FOR EXAMPLE, WE ARE SUING THE UNITED STATES FOR ESTABLISHING THE PROVISIONS OF THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA. YET, THE UNITED STATES IS NOT LISTED AS A DEFENDANT. WE ARE SUING THE UNITED STATES AND THE OTHER (192) MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS FOR THEIR ARRESTING, ATTACHING AND OR EXECUTING THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF THE RE-ESTABLISHED GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE WHERE THEY ARE IN VIOLATION OF THE CONTRACT, THE GRANT, GIVEN TO THE NATIONS BY THE SOLE CORPORATION, IMPAIRING THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT BY GIVING THE RIGHT TO MARRY TO GAYS AND LESBIANS. WE CAN'T SUE THESE JUDGES FOR THIS. THIS IS WHY THEY FAILED TO LIST THE DEFENDANTS PROPERLY. WE ARE SUING THE S.C. DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS AND THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR

CONSPIRING WITH THE JUDGES AND FOR OTHER ACTS THEY'VE DONE. YET, THEY ARE NOT LISTED AS PARTY. WE ARE SUING THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, THE S.C. SUPREME COURT AND ITS JUDGES, THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS AND ITS JUDGES ALL THESE COURTS' JUDGES BY BY NAME INDIVIDUALLY FOR THE STATE-V.-GENTRY FRAUD. YET, THEY ARE NOT LISTED. WE ARE SUING FOR REPARATIONS FOR THE U.S. SLAVE TRADE AND JIM CROW LAWS IN THIS NATION. YET, THE PARTIES FOR THIS CLAIM ARE NOT LISTED. WE ARE SUING FOR THE INJUSTICES THAT OCCURRED UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 TO WHICH IS REMOVED TO THESE PARALLEL AND RELATED CASES. YET, THESE DEFENDANTS ARE NOT LISTED BY THE JUDGES CONSPIRING TO AID THEM AVOID SUIT. LOOK AT THE § 1983 THAT ESTABLISHES THE PARALLEL CASES, AS IT WAS FOR THE WRIT OF ERROR THAT ESTABLISHES APPEAL OF CASES 8:16-cv-3327, 3328, 3194-RBH-JDA. ON PAGE (2) OF THE [44] PAGE COMPLAINT ATTACHED WHERE IT ASKED FOR DEFENDANT NO.(1). IT IS LISTED "SEE ATTACHED SHEETS AND THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE SERVED ON THE 4TH. CIRCUIT". THIS IS A HAND WRITTEN DOCUMENT. IT WAS THEN TYPED DOWN AND NOW EXIST AS THE (14) PAGE DOCUMENT IN QUESTION THAT THE JUDGES CALLED S.C.D.C. AND TOLD THEM TO BLOCK COPIES TO PREVENT ITS FILING SO THEY COULD IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURTS, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE LIST THESE DEFENDANTS AS THEY PRESENTLY HAVE THEM LISTED AND FRAUDULENTLY SAY THAT WE ARE SUING PEOPLE WHO CAN'T BE SUED FOR THE CLAIMS MADE WITHIN THE COMPLAINTS AND OR WRITS OF ERROR IN ACTS OF MACHINATION. WE OBJECT AND DEMAND THAT THE NAMES OF ALL THE DEFENDANTS SOUGHT TO BE LISTED WITHIN ALL OF THESE PARALLEL AND OR RELATED CASES BE NOW AMENDED AND ADDED TO THE RECORD. THE HAND WRITTEN (34) PAGE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE, WHICH IS NOW TYPED AND EXIST AS THE (14) PAGE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE IS SUPPOSE TO BE FILED IN THE (3) CASES REFERRED TO AND FILED IN CASE 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM AND david duren CASE, WAS BLOCKED BEING FILED AND OR IGNORED IN THE OTHER PARALLEL AND OR RELATED CASES BY THE CONSPIRING JUDGES AND IS NOW ATTACHED TO THE FACE OF ALL COMPLAINTS AND OR WRITS OF ERROR FOR ALL PURPOSES WHICH INCLUDE INFORMING THE COURT OF WHO THE DEFENDANTS ARE WITHIN THESE CASES. WE WANT ALL DEFENDANTS NAMES LISTED INDIVIDUALLY AS REQUIRED.

FROM ON PAGE (7) OF THE COMPLAINT, THE "LEES" AND "ABRAMS"

WERE OMITTED IN THEIR FRAUD. ON THAT PAGE WE AGAIN INFORMED THE COURT THAT THE REMAINDER OF THE DEFENDANTS ARE LISTED WITHIN THE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE. ON PAGE (15) IT IS CLEARLY STATED WE ARE SUING THE UNITED STATES. NONE OF THESE DEFENDANTS ARE LISTED IN THE ACTUAL RECORD IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURTS AND MACHINATION SO THEY COULD CRIMINALLY, CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW AND OR AUTHORITY, ALSO IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001 CONCEAL MATERIAL FACTS AND CLAIM THAT WE ARE TRYING TO SUE JUDGES FOR ALL OF THESE CLAIMS ONLY, WHEN IT IS OVERWHELMINGLY CONSPICUOUS THAT THE JUDGES CANNOT BE SUED FOR ANY OF THESE CLAIMS PREVIOUSLY MADE, EXCEPT FOR THEY BEING PARTY TO THE CONSPIRACY WHERE THE LAW REQUIRES THAT WHOM THEY CONSPIRED WITH BY NAME MUST BE LISTED AS DEFENDANTS. IT BECOMES PERSPICUOUS THAT THE JUDGES PRESENCE IN THESE PARALLEL AND OR RELATED CASES IS OF SUCH A DETRIMENTAL AND PREJUDICIAL MAGNITUDE, THAT THE POTENTIAL FOR BIAS RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL WARRANTING SANCTIONS, RECUSAL, DISQUALIFICATION AND THAT OUR REQUEST AND MOTION FOR A CHANGE OF VENUE TO NEW JERSEY BE GRANTED. WE WANT THE DEFENDANTS AMENDED IN ALL OF THESE PARALLEL AND OR RELATED CASES, ALL THAT ARE CAPTIONED, TO REFLECT THE PARTIES AS THEY WERE ORIGINALLY INTENDED FOR THEM TO BE FILED AND CHANGE OF VENUE TO NEW JERSEY AND WE WANT INJUNCTION TO REQUIRE THIS.

**NOTICE:** ALL THE AFOREMENTIONED ARE ISSUES ON APPEAL. WE MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT FROM THE 4TH. CIRCUIT, WILLIAMS-X. PENNSYLVANIA, 136 S.Ct. 1899, 195 L.Ed.2d. 132, 84 U.S.L.W. 4359(U.S.2016); KOLON-INDUSTRIES-INC.-X.-E.I.-DURONT-DE-NEMOURS &-CO.- 748 F3d. 160 CA4 (Va.2014); CATAWBA-INDIAN-NATION-X. STATE, 407 S.C. 526, 756 S.E.2d. 900(S.C.2014); ARATA-X.-VILLAGE WEST-OWNERS-ASS'N-INC.- 2011 WL 11735004, \* 2+, S.C. App.; WILSON X.-CMAC-MORTG.-LLC.- F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 5244967(DSC.2015); U.S.-X.-LAWRENCE, F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 856866(S.D.Va.2015); GREAT AMERICAN-INC.-GO.-X.-NEXTDAY-NETWORK-HARDWARE-CORP.- 73 F.Supp. 3d. 636(2014); BLUE-SKY-TRAVEL-AND-TOURS,-LLC.-X.-AL-TAYYAR,- -Fed. Appx'--, 2015 WL 1451636(Va.2015); NHCAR-CORP.-X.-BELL, 251 F.R.D. 191, 194(DSC.2008); UNITED-STATES-X.-QUINONES, 2016 WL 4413149, \* 6+ (S.D.Va.2016); FORRESTER-X.-WHITE, 484 U.S. 219, 108 S.Ct. 538(U.S.1988); HLUSE-X.-U.S.- F.Supp.2d., 2009

WL 3052608(DSC.2009); ABEBE-v.-SEYMOUR, F.Supp.2d., 2012 WL 1130660; JOHNSON-v.-UNITED-STATES-DEPT.-OF-JUSTICE, 2016 WL 4593467(D.Md.2016); HALLAL-v.-MARDEL, 2016 WL 6494411; BRAZELL-v.-WINDSOR, 384 S.C. 502, 682 S.E.2d. 824(S.C.App.2009); RELLE-CRAIN-v.-BERTHELSEN, F.Supp.2d., 2012 WL 10847(DSC.2012); CARTER-v.-SOUTH-CAROLINA, 2014 WL 5325234(DSC.2014); ERSTEIN-v.-WORLD-ACCEPTANCE-CORP., 2015 WL 2365701(DSC.2015); BENTON-v.-BURNS, 11 Fed. Appx' 328, 2011 WL 640248 CA4 (2011); BACCUS-v.-MARCHANT, 2014 WL 1330984(DSC.2014); PHILLIAM-v.-ALLEN, 466 U.S. 522, 104 S.Ct. 1970(U.S.1984); EX-PARTE-VIRGINIA, 100 U.S. 339(1880).

THE JUDGES BLOCKED THESE DEFENDANTS AS BEING LISTED ALSO AS A MEANS TO AVOID RECUSAL IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURTS. WE OBJECT. THE PARTIES WERE ALREADY GIVEN NOTICE BY THE ACTIONS PENDING THROUGH THE WRITS OF MANDAMUS UNDER CASES 16-2299; 16-1953; 16-2141; 17-1415 AND OTHER PLEADINGS RELATED TO THE PENDING PARALLEL AND RELATED CASES AND THE KING-KHALIFAH PERSONALLY WROTE THE S.C. FEDERAL ATTORNEY AND U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE INFORMING THEM OF ALL CASES ADMONISHING THEM THAT IT WOULD BE IN THEIR BEST INTEREST TO MAKE AN APPEARANCE SINCE THEY APPEARED IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 NOW REMOVED TO THESE PARALLEL AND RELATED CASES. YOU HAVE ALL THE DEFENDANTS NAMES UNDER CASES 8:16-cv-3327, 3328, 3194-RBH-JDA VIA THE (34) PAGE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE. THUS, THEIR NAMES RELATE BACK TO THE ORIGINAL COMPLAINT AND OR WRIT OF ERROR IN ALL OF THESE PARALLEL AND OR RELATED CASES. ALL NAMES MUST BE LISTED INDIVIDUALLY NOT MERELY BY REFERRING TO A CASE OR AFFIDAVIT, GOODMAN-v.-BRAXAIR-INC., 494 Fed. Appx' 458, 68 Fed. R. SERV.3d. 850(4th.Cir.2007); JOHNSON-v.-MARRIOTT-INTERNATIONAL-INC., 2017 WL 1957071(2017); RUSSELL-v.-S.N.-SERVICING-CORPORATION, 2017 WL 1449211(N.D.Va.2017); GREEN-v.-BRADLEY-COMPANY, 194 F.Supp.3d. 479 (DSC.2016).

THE DEFENDANTS THAT ARE TO BE PROPERLY LISTED TO CORRECT THIS FRAUD IN THE PREVIOUS CASES AS WELL AS CASES 17-7134; 17-7068; 17-7139 ET. AL.,; THE ANTHONY COOK CASE UNDER 8:16-cv-3327-RBH HOPEFULLY BEING PROCESSED, MUST BE LISTED IN A SIMILAR FASHION WITH A SLIGHT VARIATION DUE TO INDEPENDENT ACTION ISSUES SUBSEQUENTLY RAISED. YOUR JURISDICTION IS LIMITED AND OR RE-

STRICTED TO ADDRESSING THE FRAUD IF ALL OF THE DEFENDANTS ARE NOT PROPERLY LISTED, AND BY THIS FRAUD THE COURT MUST REMAND TO ALLOW THIS INJUSTICE TO BE CORRECTED, U.S.-V.-PIERCE, 400 F3d. 176 CA4 (Va.2005); COLE-v.-BLANKENSHIP, 30 F2d. 211 CA4 (1929); LOUGHAN-v.-U.S., 134 S.Ct. 2384(U.S.2014); SMITH-v. CLARK/-SMOOT/-RUSSELL, --F3d.--, 2015 WL 4717932 CA4 (Md.2015); LUCAS-v.-BRISTOL-CONDOMINIUMS-PROPERTY-OWNERS-ASS'N, S.E.2d., 2015 WL 3885837(S.C.App.2015); FOX-EX-REL-FOX-v.-ELK-RUN-COAL CO.-INC., 739 F3d. 131 CA4 (2014); NELSON-v.-U.S.-BANK-N.A., 2015 WL 6852712(DSC.2015); STRATTEN-v.-MECKLENBERG-COUNTY-DEPT. OF-SOCIAL-SERVICES, 521 Fed. Appx<sup>o</sup> 278, 2013 WL 2364587 CA4 (N.C.2013).

**NOTICE:** THIS IS ANOTHER ISSUE ON APPEAL. BY THE LEGAL ISSUES SUBMITTED UNDER CASE 17-6693 THAT ARE ALSO FILED FOR ALL PARALLEL AND OR RELATED CASES AND BY THE LITIGATION SUBMITTED THAT DEMONSTRATE THAT THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA ARE UNCONSTITUTIONAL, DO WE HAVE A RIGHT TO CLASS ACTION CERTIFICATION, ALSO BY THE ATTORNEY POWERS GIVEN TO THE KING-KHALIFAH BY THE DEFAULT.

INASMUCH, THE COURT IN CASES 17-7139; 17-7134 AND 17-7068 REQUIRED WE FILE IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS AND SUBMIT INFORMAL BRIEF. EVEN THOUGH THIS ISSUE IS ADDRESSED IN CASES 17-6693 AND 17-6925 WHICH APPLIES TO ALL OF THESE CASES OF CONCERN. WE MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME UNTIL OCTOBER~~10~~<sup>16</sup>, 2017 BECAUSE THE 6 MONTH STATEMENTS MUST BE SENT TO S.C.D.C. HEAD QUARTERS AND THE TURN AROUND TIME CAN TAKE UP UNTIL A MONTH BEFORE WE RECEIVE THEM BACK. THEREFORE, WE MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME UNTIL THEN TO FILE THE DOCUMENT AND ANY POTENTIAL INFORMAL BRIEF OR BRIEF OF ANY KIND. NEVERTHELESS, BY ALL DOCUMENTS FILED IN CASES 17-6693 AND 17-6925, TO INCLUDE THOSE HERE WITH ATTACHED. WE MOTION TO WAIVE THE FILING FEES FOR ALL APPELLANTS IN THESE CASES DUE TO ACTS OF CRIMINAL CONVERSION DONE BY JUDGE SEYMOUR AND THE CLAIMS ARGUED WITHIN THOSE CASES WHICH ARE NOW FOR ALL CASES LISTED WITHIN THE CAPTION OF THIS DOCUMENT.

TO ESTABLISH CAUSE OF ACTION TO RECOVER DAMAGES FOR CONVERSION, WHERE JUDGE SEYMOUR STOLE MONEY FROM ROBERT MITCHELL'S

COOPER TRUST FUND ACCOUNT, WHICH WAS MONEY OWED TO THE KING-KHALIFAH, GIVING HIM THE RIGHT OF CLAIM. A PLAINTIFF MUST SHOW LEGAL OWNERSHIP OR AN IMMEDIATE SUPERIOR RIGHT OF POSSESSION TO A SPECIFIC IDENTIFIABLE THING AND MUST SHOW THAT THE DEFENDANT(S) EXERCISED AN UNAUTHORIZED DOMINION OVER THE THING IN QUESTION TO THE EXCLUSION OF THE PLAINTIFF(S) RIGHTS. ROBERT MITCHELL OWED THAT MONEY TO THE KING-KHALIFAH GIVING US BOTH STANDING TO CHALLENGE AND SEEK SANCTION FOR THIS INJUSTICE WHICH ARE GRANTED BY DECREE AND JUDGMENT OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT. ALL FILING FEES FOR ALL PARTIES ARE WAIVED. THE UNITED STATES IS IN DEFAULT IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084. YOUR GLOBAL NATIONS VIA THE DEFAULT HAD NO RIGHT TO ATTACH, ARREST OR EXECUTE THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, GIVEN TO YOUR NATIONS BY "GRANT", "FRANCHISE", "COVENANT", "CONTRACT" ORIGINATING FROM THE SOLE CORPORATION WHICH HAD RESTRICTIONS WHICH YOU VIOLATED WHICH IS PROTECTED UNDER THE U.S. CONSTITUTION ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10. YOU HAD NO AUTHORIZATION TO GIVE THIS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY TO THE SODOMITES AND GOMMORAHITES OF YOUR NATIONS. CAUSE IS ESTABLISHED. WE MOTION FOR AN INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATION BY SOMEONE NOT ASSOCIATED WITH THE TRUMP ADMINISTRATION, KINGJHX I.P.X.I.R.P.-INTERNATIONAL-INC., 2016 WL 5936875(N.Y.2016); NAT'L-CTR.-FOR-CRISIS-MGMT.-INC.-X.-LERNER, 91 A.D.3d. 920, 920-21, 938 N.Y.S.2d. 138, 138-39(2nd.DEPT.2012).

JUDGE KAYMANI WEST IN ONE OF THE PARALLEL APPEALS ISSUED AN ORDER ON AUGUST 23, 2017 CLAIMING SHE COULD NOT READ SOME OF THE LEGAL DOCUMENTS FILED. THE ATTACHED REFERRED TO ORDER IS NOW SOUGHT LEAVE TO APPEAL. WE INFORMED THE COURT IN CASES 17-6969; 17-6693 AND 17-6925 ALSO ARGUED IN THE (19) PAGE INJUNCTION THAT WE ARE BORROWING A TYPEWRITER TO WHICH WE DO NOT ALWAYS HAVE ACCESS TO DUE TO STATE INTERFERENCE. JUDGE WEST ABUSED HER DISCRETION. THIS ORDER IS VACATED AND ON REMAND BY DECREE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT. IT IS CONSPICUOUS BY WHAT IS ARGUED IN THE (19) PAGE INJUNCTION THAT THE STATE INTERFERENCE DO INDEED RISE TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL WHERE THE DEFENDANTS IN THESE PARALLEL AND RELATED CASES DESTROYED THE KING-KHALIFAH'S TYPEWRITER, SUBJECTING HIM TO

PHYSICAL ASSAULTS AND OTHER OUTRAGEOUS ACTS OF RETALIATION BE-  
CAUSE THEY KNOW HE SUFFERS FROM A LIFE LONG DISABILITY TO HIS  
HANDS WHICH IS WHY HE TRACES HIS LETTERS IN EFFORTS TO MAKE  
HIS WRITING MORE LEGIBLE. THE DISABILITY TO HIS HANDS CREATED  
BY THE ACTS OF OFFICIAL MENTAL TORTURE HE WAS SUBJECTED TO BY  
THESE DEFENDANTS, ALONG WITH THE DESTRUCTION OF HIS PROPERTY  
THEY KNEW HE DID NEED TO AID IN ACCESS TO THE COURT, WAS DONE  
TO IMPEDED HIS ACCESS TO THE COURTS AND OBSTRUCT JUSTICE AND  
SUBJECT HIM TO INTENSE PHYSICAL PAIN THEY KNEW HE WOULD SUFFER  
IN WRITING BY HAND IN HOPES OF DETERRING HIM FROM FREELY EXER-  
CISING THE CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED RIGHT OF ACCESS TO THE  
COURTS. THIS ALSO IS TANTAMOUNT TO ACTS OF PHYSICAL TORTURE  
IN VIOATION OF THE C.A.T. TREATY FOR WHICH THEY ARE BEING SUED  
FOR. THE DAMAGE TO THE KING-KHALIFAH'S HANDS IS IRREPARABLE  
AS THE DOCTORS HAVE REPEATEDLY SAID. JUDGE KAYMANI WEST, CON-  
SPIRING WITH THE DEFENDANTS UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW AND OR  
AUTHORITY, ISSUES THIS ATTACHED ORDER WITHIN THE DISTRICT COURT  
WHERE IN THIS ACT OF MACHINATION SHE HAS ESSENTIALLY PENALIZED  
THE KING-KHALIFAH IN ACTS OF RETALIATION FOR HIS DISABILITY  
WHERE THIS ORDER SERVES AS A MEANS, AN OBSTACLE TO BAR OR RE-  
STRICT HIS ACCESS TO THE COURT IN VILATION OF 42 U.S.C. § 12203  
(a)b) OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT. SINCE THE KING-  
KHALIFAH BY WAY OF HIS DISABILITY CANNOT MAKE HIS LEGAL DOCU-  
MENTS ANY MORE LEGIBLE THAN HE HAS, SPECNFICALLY AT TIMES WHEN  
HE DOES NOT HAVE ACCESS TO A TYPEWRITER. DAMAGES ARE NOW CLEARLY  
SHOWN AND THE INJUNCTION THAT IS NOW SERVED ON THE LOWER COURT  
"MUST" BE GRANTED. THE ORDER PRODUCED BY JUDGE WEST PRODUCES  
EVIDENCE OF DAMAGES VIA THE DISABILITY AND BY THE STATE INTER-  
FERENCE ARGUED IN THE INJUNCTION, IT DEMONSTRATES INDEED THAT  
THE STATE INTERFERENCE DOES RISE TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL.  
THEREFORE, BY THE KING-KHALIFAH'S RIGHTS UNDER THE AMERICANS  
WITH DISABILITIES ACT, THE INJUNCTION MUST AND IS GRANTED. WE  
MOTION THAT THE COURT INSTRUCT JUDGE WEST TO SIGN THE INJUNCTION  
AND PROTECTIVE ORDER. DUE TO ADA RIGHTS AND THE COMPLEXITY OF  
THE CASE IT MUST BE GRANTED.

JUDGE WEST, BY THE ISSUING OF SUCH AN ORDER SUBJUDICE ON AUGUST 23, 2017; THIS HAS GOT TO BE THE MOST STUPID, IGNORANT, UNREASONABLE ORDER I HAVE EVER SEEN IN MY ENTIRE LIFE. BY SUCH AN ORDER JUDGE WEST HAS ESSENTIALLY ORDERED A "BLIND MAN" TO STOP BEING BLIND. SHE HAS ORDERED A "PARALYZED MAN" TO STOP BEING PARALYZED. SHE ORDERED A "LAME MAN" WITH NO LEGS TO GET UP AND DANCE THE TENNESSEE WALTZ AND DANCE THE "CHARLESTON" , THEN DANCE A BALLET, BREAK DANCE THEN JUMP UP AND DO (20) JUMPING JACKS. JUDGE WEST IN AN EGREGIOUS MISCARRIAGE OF JUSTICE HAS ORDERED A DISABLED PLAINTIFF, THE KING-KHALIFAH, TO STOP BEING DISABLED IN VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. § 12203(a)(b) OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT, WHICH IS LUDICROUS, UNREASONABLE AND CRIMINAL IN ACTS OF RETALIATION AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. BY SUCH ACTION, LEGAL COUNSEL MUST BE APPOINTED TO REPRESENT THE CLASS MEMBERS TO PREVENT ANY FURTHER INJUSTICES FROM OCCURRING. WE MOTION FOR SUCH, BUT THE KING-KHALIFAH DO NOT WAIVE HIS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT OF SELF REPRESENTATION. LET COUNSEL ACT AS STAND-BY TO ASSIST THE KING-KHALIFAH WITH SUCH MATTERS AS FILING, TYPING OR ANY OTHER MATTER THAT MAY STAND AS AN OBSTACLE DUE TO THE DISABILITY IN HIS HANDS CAUSED BY THESE DEFENDANTS. THE KING-KHALIFAH SHALL RETAIN HIS RIGHT OF SELF REPRESENTATION WHILE LEGAL COUNSEL BE APPOINTED IN FULLNESS FOR THE OTHER CLASS MEMBERS. BY THE DEFAULT AND JUDGMENT OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, THE KING REMAIN AS LEAD PLAINTIFF. THE APPOINTING OF LEGAL COUNSEL AND THE GRANTING OF THE INJUNCTION NOW BECOMES MANDATORY. IT IS SO ORDERED,

LASKY-v.-MORRISTOWN-T.P. 425 N.J. SUPER. 530, 42 A.3d. 202 (N.J.2012); RULE 23(g) Fed. RULES OF PRO.; ROYSTERS-v.-NEW-JERSEY STATE-POLICE, 439 N.J. SUPER. 554, 110 A.3d. 934(N.J.2015); GATTUNA-v.-SARA-LEE-CORP. A.2d., 2010 WL 3418354 (2010); BARTLETT-v.-NEW-YORK-STATE-BD.-OF-LAW-EXAMINERS, 970 F.Supp. 1094 (S.D.N.Y.1997); KIMEL, 528 U.S. at 86, 120 S.Ct. 631; FERRELL V.-ESTELLE, 568 F2d. 1128, 1132-1133 CA5 (1978); PEOPLE-v.-RIVERA , 125 MISC.2d. 516, 528, 480 N.Y.S.2d. 426, 434 (Sup.Ct.1984); TENNESSEE-v.-LANE, 541 U.S. 509, 124 S.Ct. 1978, 158 L.Ed.2d. 820(2004); BABY-NEAL-FOR-AND-BY-KANTER-v.-CASEY, 43 F3d. 48 (3rd.Cir.1994); LACKY-v.-GREENTREE, 330 S.C. 388, 498 S.E.2d.



**NOTICE: THIS IS ANOTHER ISSUE ON APPEAL. DUE TO THE TOTALITY OF THE CIRCUMSTANCES. DO WE MEET THE CRITERION FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF LEGAL COUNSEL UNDER THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT, THE COMPLEXITY OF THE CASE, AND DUE TO THE EGREGIOUS ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURTS, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE?**

42 U.S.C.A. § 12203 PROVIDES:

\* 4 CHAPTER 126 OF TITLE 42 OF THE UNITED STATES CODE IS COMMONLY KNOWN AS THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (ADA) SECTION 42 U.S.C. § 12101 ET. SEQ. SECTION 12203 OF THE ADA, WHICH AIMS TO PROHIBIT RETALIATION AND COERCION<sup>®</sup> PROVIDES:

**(a) RETALIATION;**

NO PERSON SHALL DISCRIMINATE AGAINST ANY INDIVIDUAL BECAUSE SUCH INDIVIDUAL HAS OPPOSED ANY ACT OF PRACTICE MADE LAWFUL BY THIS CHAPTER (WHICH INCLUDE ACCESS TO THE COURTS) OR BECAUSE SUCH INDIVIDUAL MADE A CHARGE, TESTIFIED (AS WE DO AGAINST THESE DEFENDANTS SEEKING SANCTIONS), ASSERTED OR PARTICIPATED IN ANY MANNER IN AN INVESTIGATION (SUCH AS THE ONE WE PRESENTLY SEEK BEFORE THE COURT), OR PROCEEDING, OR HEARING UNDER THIS CHAPTER.

**(b) INTERFERENCE, COERCION OR INTIMIDATION: IT SHALL BE UNLAWFUL TO COERCE, INTIMIDATE, THREATEN, OR INTERFERE WITH ANY INDIVIDUAL IN THE EXERCISE OR ENJOYMENT OF, OR ON ACCOUNT OF HIS OR HER HAVING ENJOYED, OR ON ACCOUNT OF HIS OR HER HAVING AIDED OR ENCOURAGED ANY OTHER INDIVIDUAL IN THE EXERCISE OR ENJOYMENT OF, ANY RIGHT GRANTED OR PROTECTED (RELIGION AND ACCESS TO THE COURTS) BY THIS CHAPTER. THE PLAINTIFFS IN THESE PARALLEL AND OR RELATED CASES WERE AIDING THE KING-KHALIFAH IN THE EXERCISE AND ENJOYMENT OF HIS CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED RIGHT OF FREEDOM OF RELIGION, ACCESS TO THE COURTS AND BY THE LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES VIA THE DEFAULT, FOREIGN SOVEREIGN RIGHTS UNDER THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ACT.**

THE REMEDIES AND PROCEDURES AVAILABLE UNDER SECTION 12117, 12133 AND 12188 OF THE TITLE SHALL BE AVAILABLE TO AGGRIEVED PERSONS FOR VIOLATION OF SUBSECTION (a) AND (b) OF THIS SECTION WITH RESPECT TO SUBCHAPTER 1, SUBCHAPTER 11 AND SUBCHAPTER 14 OF THIS CHAPTER. THE KING REMAINS PROPRIA PERSONA. WE RENEW THE DEMAND FOR CRIMINAL CHARGES AND A FULL INVESTIGATION BY AN INDEPENDENT AGENT NOT ASSOCIATED WITH THE TRUMP ADMINISTRATION . THE PARTIES ARE CONSPIRING TO AVOID PROSECUTION, SUIT, AND THE CONCEALMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS. THEY ARE IN VIOLATION OF THE RICO ACT. NOTICE: THIS IS AN ISSUE THAT IS ON APPEAL, WALKER v. BEAUMONT-INDEPENDENT-SCHOOL-DISTRICT, 2016 WL 6666833; GABI v. BOSTON-CHILDREN'S-HOSPITAL, 161 F.Supp.3d. 136(2016). FOR THE COURT TO NOT INSTRUCT THE JUDGES TO SIGN THE INJUNCTION WOULD DEMONSTRATE A CONSCIOUSNESS OF GUILT, EARLY v. STATE, S.E.2d., --2016 WL 6092514(Sup.Ct.2016); STATE v. TONEY, S.E.2d., 2014 WL 2575415(2014); STATE v. INMAN, 395 S.C. 539, 720 S.E.2d. 31(2011).

INSOMUCH, THE LAW FIRMS AND OR ATTORNEYS THAT WE'VE SPOKEN TO THAT WOULD BE WILLING TO TAKE THESE CASES UPON THE ISSUING OF AN ORDER FROM THE COURT ARE:

(1) EVANS, MOORE LLC (843) 995-5000;

(2) DAVIDSON AND LINDERMAN P.A. (803) 806-8222;

(3) NELSON, MULLINS WHO ALSO HAVE A PRO BONO COMMITTEE , JAMES ROLLINS (617) 573-4722 OR NORAH ROGERS (803) 255-9546 THEIR "800" NUMBER IS 1-800-237-2000.

ANY OF THESE ATTORNEYS CAN BE APPOINTED TO REPRESENT THE CLASS MEMBERS AND OR ACT AS STAND-BY FOR THE KING-KHALIFAH AS HE PARTAKES IN HIS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT OF SELF REPRESENTATION . THE STATE AND OR FEDERAL INTERFERENCE IN THIS INSTANCE RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL WHERE THESE JUDGES ARE TRYING TO RESTRICT THE KING-KHALIFAH'S ACCESS TO THE COURT ESSENTIALLY PENALIZING HIM IN ACTS OF RETALIATION DUE TO HIS DISABILITY IN VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. § 12203(a)(b) OF ADA. WE SEEK SANC-

TIONS. WE ALSO MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT FROM THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS, CHANEY-V.-LEWIS, 801 F2d. 1191, 1196 (9th.Cir.1986); KNAUBERT-V.-GOLDSMITH, 791 F2d. 722(9th.Cir. 1986); TOWNSEND-V.-SAIN, 372 U.S. at 313, 83 S.Ct. 757; ANDERSON V.-HEIZE, 358 F2d. 479, 481 (9th.Cir.) CERT. DENIED 358 U.S. 889, 79 S.Ct. 131, 3 L.Ed.2d. 116 (1958); RASQUA-V.-COUNCIL, 186 N.J. 127, 142 (2008); MONROE-V.-RAFE, 365 U.S. 167, 183, 81 S.Ct. 473, 482, 5 L.Ed.2d. 492(1961); RATSY-V.-BOARD-OF-REGENTS-OF-STATE-OF-FLORIDA, 457 U.S. 500, 102 S.Ct. 2557 (1962); ALTMAN, 541 U.S. 677(U.S.2004).

WE MOTION FOR A REHEARING EN BANC IN CASE 17-1415 WHICH SHALL BE GRANTED BY THE 4TH. CIRCUIT THESE CASES NOW BEING OFFICIALLY ON REMAND FROM THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT. ALL ORDERS ISSUED IN CASES 16-1953; 16-2141; 17-1415 DUE TO STRUCTURAL ERROR ARE VOID AND VACATED. ALL OF THESE CASES ARE ESSENTIALLY ON REMAND BY DECREE AND JUDGMENT OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT, RESTRICTING, LIMITING YOUR JURISDICTION TO DO NOTHING EXCEPT GRANT THE RELIEF THAT IS DEMANDED WITHIN THESE CASES FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS:

(1) JUDGE GREGORY AND DIAZ ACTED IN RETALIATION BECAUSE WE PEEPED THE MOVE THEY INTENDED TO DO IN CASE 17-6960 SO WE MOTIONED FOR THEIR RECUSAL. IN RETALIATION IN VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. § 12203(a)(b) THEY COMPROMISED SHEDD AND MADE A FRAUDULENT RULING TO GET AHEAD OF US MOTIONING FOR THEIR RECUSAL IN THIS CASE.

(2) THEIR JUDGMENT CONFLICTS WITH A RECENT DECISION MADE BY THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT IN WILLIAMS-V.-PENNSYLVANIA, 136 S.Ct. 1899, 195 L.Ed.2d. 132, 84 U.S.L.W. 4359 (U.S.2016).

WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE CASES THE 4th. CIRCUIT JUDGES CITED RELATED TO RECUSAL SUCH AS 811 F2d. 818. WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE CASES MARCHANT AND AUSTIN CITED RELATED TO RECUSAL SUCH AS: 384 U.S. 563; 988 F2d. 1335; 581 F2d. 1114; 946 F2d. 335; 15 F3d. 319; 2012 WL 2805717 WHERE THESE JUDGES CONSPIRED IN COURTS

TO WHICH THEY HAD NO JURISDICTION TO MAKE THE CLAIMS APPEAR FRIVOLOUS WHEN THEY WERE NOT VIA THE DEFAULT EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084. WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE CASES JUDGES WEST AND BAKER CITED RELATED TO RECUSAL- 882 F2d. 913; 733 F2d. 304; 691 F2d. 666; 726 F2d. 158; 581 F2d. 1114; 510 U.S. 540; 330 F3d. 658. ALL THESE, INCLUDING THE GRISMORE CASE THAT WAS OVER RULED BY THAT SAME CIRCUIT. ALL OF THESE CASES THE JUDGES IN TOTAL CITED AT BEST, THE LATEST CITED CASE IS FROM 2012. THE REMAINDER OF THEM ARE FROM THE 80'S THROUGH 2003. THE JUDGES ARE ATTEMPTING TO NULLIFY U.S. SUPREME COURT PRECEDENT ESTABLISHED IN 2016 WITH LOWER COURT CASES OVER (20) YEARS OLD AND THAT ARE NOT FROM THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT; ATTEMPTING TO GIVE THE LOWER, LESSER COURTS, POWER OVER THE U.S. SUPREME COURT ITSELF. WE OBJECT. THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT IN WILLIAMS-v.-PENNSYLVANIA, 136 S.Ct. 1899(U.S.2016) GAVE CLARITY TO THESE EARLIER CITED CASES. THE LOWER COURTS CANNOT BE PERMITTED TO OVER RIDE THE U.S. SUPREME COURT WITH OLD CASES TO RENDER VOID OR WATER DOWN THEIR RULINGS MADE IN 2016. I, WE OBJECT. THE CRITERION ESTABLISHED BY THE WILLIAMS CASE IS (3) PRONG TO WHICH IF ONLY ONE OF THESE EXIST, RECUSAL BECOMES MANDATORY. THEY ARE: (1) A JUDGE CANNOT SIT UPON HIS OWN CASE; (2) HE CANNOT SIT IF HE HAS AN INTEREST IN THE OUTCOME; (3) HE CANNOT SIT IF THE POTENTIAL FOR BIAS RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL. EXTRA-JUDICIAL SOURCE FOR BIAS IS NOT THE STANDARD FOR RECUSAL AS WAS DETERMINED BY THE U.S. SUPREME COURT. THE AFOREMENTIONED ARE THE (3) PRONG STANDARD AND CRITERION. ALL (3) OF THESE EXIST IN THESE PARALLEL AND RELATED CASES TO WHICH THESE JUDGES ARE DEFENDANTS, TO INCLUDE EGREGIOUS ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURTS, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY WARRANTING SANCTIONS AND RECUSAL, BENTON-v.-BURNS, 2017 WL 491251(D.C.Md. 2017); PEGG-v.-HEARNBERGER, 845 F3d. 112(4th.Cir.2017); JOHNSON v.-BYRD, 2016 WL 6839410(N.C.2016); GRAHAM-v.-GAYRON, 831 F3d. 176 (4th.Cir.2016); BERGER-v. U.S., 255 U.S. 22, 41 S.Ct. 230, 65 L.Ed. 481(U.S.1921); CANADA v.-MILLER, F.Supp.3d., 2014 WL 1512245(2014); BOOTH v.-BALLARD, 2016 WL 1275054(2016)(DEMONSTRATING THAT A HEARING MUST OCCUR IN THE DISTRICT COURT); UNITED STATES v. QUINONES,--F.Supp.3d.--,2016 WL 4413149(2016).

NO MAN CAN TRY A CASE TO WHICH HE HAS AN INTEREST IN THE OUTCOME. EXTRA-JUDICIAL BIAS WAS ONLY "ONE OUT OF MANY" EXAMPLES THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT WAS REFERRING TO AND ADDRESSING. WHEN THE OBJECTIVE RISK OF ACTUAL BIAS "OF ANY KIND" (EMPHASIS ADDED) RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL, UNDER THE DUE PROCESS CLAUSE, THE FAILURE TO RECUSE IS "[N]OT" A HARMLESS ERROR BECOMING STRUCTURAL IN NATURE WHICH VOID'S THE COURT'S JURISDICTION FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION. JUDGES DIAZ AND GREGORY WERE REQUIRED TO RECUSE THEMSELVES AS WERE THE LOWER COURT JUDGES WITHIN THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT.

WATCH THE LANGUAGE USED BY THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT. "ON THE QUESTION OF RECUSAL, THE COURT ASKS "[N]OT" WHETHER A JUDGE HARBORS AN ACTUAL BIAS (FOR EXAMPLE, JUDICIAL BIAS AS IN AN ABUSE OF DISCRETION THE CONSPIRING JUDGES ASSERTED), BUT "INSTEAD" (EMPHASIS ADDED) WHETHER, AS AN OBJECTIVE MATTER THERE IS A "[P]OTENTIAL" FOR BIAS OF "ANY KIND" (EMPHASIS ADDED) THAT RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL", WHICH IS CONSPICUOUS THAT SUCH AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL DOES EXIST IN THESE PARALLEL AND OR RELATED CASES AS IS EVIDENCED BY WHAT OCCURRED INVOLVING THESE JUDGES OF CONCERN, CABEATON-V.-A.T.-MASSEY-COAL-CO.-INC., 556 U.S. 868, 129 S.Ct. 2252, 173 L.Ed.2d. 1208 (U.S.2009); JOHNSON-V.-STEVENSON, 2016 WL 1156649(DSC.2016); KENWOOD-GARDENS CONDOMINIUMS-INC.-V.-WHALEN-PROPERTIES,-LLC., 2016 WL 6788052, \* 11+ (Md.2016).

(3) EVERY WRIT OF MANDAMUS RULING IS VOID FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION BY THE STRUCTURAL ERROR WHERE EVERY MANDAMUS RULING WAS RENDERED BY INVOKING THE PROVISIONS OF THE PRISON LITIGATION REFORM ACT WHICH THE 4TH. CIRCUIT DEMANDED AS A PRE-REQUISITE FOR ENTRY INTO THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS. EVEN THE CASES JUDGES GREGORY, DIAZ AND SHEDD CITED VIOLATE THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT HOLDINGS UNDER EX-PARTE-VIRGINIA AND THE-SLAUGHTER-HOUSE-CASES, INCLUDING IN-RE:-BEARD, 811 F2d. 818 IS TAINTED AS WELL WHICH VOID THE ORDER IN CASE 17-1415. THE CASES ARE ILLEGAL AS IS ANY ORDER ISSUED IN CASE 17-1415, AS WELL AS ANY ORDER ISSUED WITHIN ALL OF THESE CASES LISTED

WITHIN THE CAPTION OF THIS DOCUMENT FOR THESE PARALLEL AND OR RELATED CASES JUST AS IS ARGUED WITHIN CASES 17-6693 AND 17-6925. THIS WILL BE ELABORATED ON LATER WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT.

REFERRING BACK TO THE ISSUE OF THE JUDGES. THE JUDGES BLOCKED THE DEFENDANTS FROM BEING LISTED PROPERLY AS A MEANS TO AVOID RECUSAL. PER THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT'S ENTRY NUMBER (16) FILED AUGUST 8, 2017 IN CASE 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM. WE GAVE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT AND ALL PARTIES JUDICIAL NOTICE OF SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL WITHIN (14) DAYS OF RECEIPT OF THAT ORDER ONLY TO BE SUBJECTED TO FRAUD UPON THE COURTS INVOLVING ZANCHELLI AND THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT. A SIMILAR SITUATION OCCURRED IN CASE 1:17-cv-1468-HMH-SVH TO WHICH WE OBJECT. THE ORDER ISSUED BY MARCHANT ACTED AS A FINAL ORDER ON THEIR FAILURE TO RECUSE AND TRANSFER VENUE; ADDING ANTHONY COOK AS PARTY DUE TO REMOVAL OF CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. §§ 1443(1) (WHERE WE ARE DEFENDANTS RELATED TO CRIMINAL CONVICTIONS), 2679, 1602-1612 ET SEQ. (WHICH IS WHY MARCHANT IN THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION PREVENTED REMOVAL TO PREVENT THE EVIDENCE IN THAT CASE FROM ENTERING THE COURT WHICH WE OBJECT TO); CONSOLIDATION (WHICH IS ANOTHER REASON THEY LISTED THE DEFENDANTS INCORRECTLY TO DENY CONSOLIDATION WHICH WE OBJECT TO); THE JUDGES SEPARATING US; THEY MAKING USE OF THE PLRA AND OR THE AEDPA; DENIAL OF CHANGE OF VENUE AND CLASS ACTION CERTIFICATION. THUS, WE IMMEDIATELY SOUGHT LEAVE TO APPEAL THE ORDERS IN BOTH CASES 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM AND 1:17-1468-HMH-SVH OR IT WOULD HAVE CAUSED IRREPARABLE DAMAGE TO THE PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THEY BEGAN. THIS DIVESTED BOTH COURTS OF JURISDICTION PENDING THAT REVIEW.

THE JUDGES ARE THE ONES WHO IN ACTS OF MACHINATION SEPARATED US IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT. THIS IS WHY THE PARALLEL CASES EXIST AND WHY THE KING-KHALIFAH, CRAWFORD, HAD TO HAVE HIMSELF ADDED AS A PARTY IN EACH OF THESE PARALLEL AND OR RELATED CASES TO PROTECT HIS INTEREST PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. §§ 1443(1), 2679, 1602-1612 ET. SEQ. WHICH IS PERMITTED UNDER THESE CIRCUMSTANCES ALSO BEING THE FIDUCIARY HEIR. SEPARATE

FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS WERE FILED TO ADDRESS ANY CONCERN IN THIS AREA. WE MOTION TO AMEND THE PARTIES IN BOTH THE 4TH. CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT TO REFLECT THAT CRAWFORD IS PARTY IN EACH INDIVIDUAL CASE AND THE NAMES OF THE DEFENDANTS BE LISTED AS THOSE CONTAINED IN THE (14) PAGE AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE IN QUESTION. THIS IS PARALLEL LITIGATION WHERE RES JUDICATA ATTACHES.

WE WANT THE COURTS TO PAY SPECIAL ATTENTION TO THE (23) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED JULY 8, 2017 AND THE (14) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED JULY 2, 2017 SERVED ON THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT AND FILED IN CASES 9:17-cv-3808-TLW-BM AND 8:16-cv-3327, 3328, 3194-RBH-JDA. WE EXPAND THE SCOPE AND INCLUDE THESE DOCUMENTS THAT ARE TO BE FILED IN ALL CASES LISTED IN THE CAPTION TO ADDRESS ISSUES OF COMITY, PARALLEL LITIGATION, RES JUDICATA, CHANGE OF VENUE AND DISQUALIFICATION OF THE JUDGES INVOLVED. SEE U.S.-EX-REL. KNIGHT-V.-RELIANT-HOSPICE-INC. F.Supp.2d., 2011 WL 1321584(DSC. 2011); HARBISON-V.-BELL, 556 U.S. 180, 129 S.Ct. 1481(U.S.2009); MIDDLETON-V.-NISSAN-MOTOR-CO.-LTD. F.Supp.2d., 2012 WL 3612572 (DSC.2012); SOUTHERN-PAC.-R.-CO.-V.-U.S. 168 U.S. 1, 18 S.Ct. 18, 42 L.Ed. 355(U.S.1897); NOELL-CRANE-SYSTEMS-GMBH-V.-NOELL CRANE-SYSTEMS-SERVICES. 667 F.Supp.2d. 852(2009); KNIGHT-V-MANUFACTURERS-&-TRADERS-TRUST-CO. F.Supp.3d. 436(D.Md.2015); BARDES V.-SOUTH-CAROLINA. F.Supp.2d., 2010 WL 1498190(DSC.2010).

**NOTICE:** THIS IS AN ISSUE ON APPEAL. YOU HAVE FRAUD UPON THE COURT INVOLVING PARTIES IN BOTH THE 4TH. CIRCUIT AND THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT. WE OBJECT. PURSUANT TO FEDERAL APPELLATE COURT RULE, RULE 4(d). ZANCHELLI OR ANY OTHER 4TH. CIRCUIT CLERK SHOULD HAVE NEVER IN ACTS OF CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, HELD UP THE NOTICE SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL CASE 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM TO ALLOW JUDGE MARCHANT TO PRODUCE A FRAUDULENTLY OBTAINED REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION FOR THE PURPOSE OF HAVING A DETRIMENTAL EFFECT ON THE MATTERS RELATED TO THESE APPEALS. A SIMILAR SITUATION OCCURRED UNDER CASE 1:17-cv-1468-HMH-SVH WHERE THE CONSPIRING JUDGES IGNORED THE FIRST NOTICE SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL TO CONCEAL THEIR CRIMES, THEREUPON CLOSING THE CASE WHEN THEIR JURISDICTION WAS DIVESTED. THEREAFTER,

THEY SENT UP THE APPEAL BASED UPON A SUBSEQUENT LETTER AND OR DOCUMENT, THE 4TH. CIRCUIT HOLDING THEIR NOTICE, TO ALLOW THE DISTRICT COURT TO CLOSE THAT CASE BEFORE IT WAS SENT UP FOR APPEAL WHEN THEIR JURISDICTION WAS DIVESTED BY THE FIRST NOTICE. WE OBJECT.

WE RENEW THE PREVIOUS FILED MOTIONS AND SEEK ADDITIONAL SANCTIONS TO REMEDY THIS EGREGIOUS INJUSTICE AND ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURTS. WE WANT CASE 1:17-cv-1468-HMH-SVH REINSTATED, OPENED, AND WE WANT THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ISSUED BY MARCHANT RENDERED VOID FOR FRAUD AND DUE PROCESS VIOLATION. EVIDENCE BY THE ACTIONS OF THE CLERKS WOULD SERVE TO SUBSTANTIATE THAT THE 4TH. CIRCUIT JUDGES WHOM RECUSAL IS SOUGHT FOR ARE INVOLVED. WE RENEW THE MOTION FOR THE JUDGES RECUSAL AND DISQUALIFICATION OF THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGES, TO INCLUDE RENEWING THE MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE ALL CASES INVOLVING THE KING-KHALIFAH AND PLAINTIFFS AND VENUE BE TRANSFERRED TO THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY. WE SEEK BY SANCTION THAT NOT ONLY THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT, BUT THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS AS WELL BE IN FORFEITURE OF PRESENTING IN ANY MANNER THE LEGAL STANCE, POSITION OR ADJUDICATION THAT WAS PRODUCED IN BOTH CASES SUBJECT AND OR IS CONTAINED WITHIN THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ISSUED BY MARCHANT IN ANY FORM. EVEN IF THE COURTS ATTEMPT TO MODIFY THE LANGUAGE IN ANY MANNER TO MAKE THOSE SAME CLAIMS CONTAINED THEREIN. THEIR JURISDICTION WAS DIVESTED AND THE FRAUD TAINTS THE ACTIONS RENDERING THEM VOID FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION, ALSO FOR VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001, U.S. v. LAWRENCE SURRA; IN-RE:-WALLACE, 649 Fed. Appx' 298(MEM)(4th.Cir.2016); IN-RE:-WHOLESALE-GROCERY-PRODUCTS-ANTITRUST-LITIGATION, 849 F3d. 761, 96 Fed. R. SERV.3d. 1207(8th.Cir.2017); IN-RE:-DUROSER, 2015 WL 4068243(N.D.N.Y.2015); BROWN-v.-ELI-LILLY-AND-CO. 654 F3d. 347(2nd.Cir.2011); SHANKLIN-v.-SEALS, 461 Fed. Appx' 313, 2012 WL 29186(4th.Cir.2012); RUSS-v.-HEWITT, 69 Fed. Appx' 185, 2003 WL 21546021(4th.Cir.2003); KAMP-v.-WARDEN-OF-GRAHAM-C.I. 2014 WL 6809522(DSC.2014); ASHCROFT-v.-IQBAL, 556 U.S. 662, 129 S.Ct. 1937(U.S.2009); UNITED-STATES-v.-ROGERS, 101 F3d. 247(2nd.Cir.1996). WE MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT FROM THE



4TH. CIRCUIT.

THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION PRODUCE CONCRETE EVIDENCE, THAT OUR CLAIM AS TO WHY THEY INCORRECTLY LISTED THE DEFENDANTS IN THE CIVIL ACTIONS THE WAY THEY HAD THEM LISTED WAS TRUE AND NOT A CONCLUSORY CLAIM, SO WE OBJECT TO THIS STATEMENT MADE BY MARCHANT IN THAT REPORT. WE WARNED THE 4TH. CIRCUIT OF THEIR INTENTIONS BEFORE THEY ACTED WHICH ARE NOW CLEARLY MANIFESTED REQUIRING SANCTIONS AND FORFEITURE DUE TO FRAUD UPON THE COURTS AND VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS LAW. THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION PRODUCED BY MARCHANT AND THE ACTS OF HODGES ETC. IN CASE 1:17-cv-1468 PROVES WE WERE CORRECT AND THE PRESENCE OF THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGES ESTABLISH THAT THERE IS A POTENTIAL FOR BIAS THAT RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL CREATING A STRUCTURAL ERROR THAT VOIDS THEIR JURISDICTION FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION. WE OBJECT, IANNELLI-x-U.S. 420 U.S. 770, 95 S.Ct. 1284, 43 L.Ed.2d. 616; BLUE-SKY-TRAVEL-AND-TOURS,LLC-x-AL TAXYAR--Fed. Appx'--, 2015 WL 1451636 CA4 (Va.2015); U.S.-x-STERLING, 724 F3d. 482 CA4 (Va.2013); WILLIAMS-x-PENNSYLVANIA, 136 S.Ct. 1899(2016); BENTON-x-BURNS, 2017 WL 491251(D.C.Md. 2017); CHRISTIANSON-x-M.B.N.A.-AMERICAN-BANK-N.A. S.E.2d., 2013 WL 8507850(S.C.App.2013); CALDWELL-x-WINQUIST, 402 S.C. 595, 741 S.E.2d. 583(S.C.App.2013); LOUMIET-x-UNITED-STATES, 65 F.Supp.3d. 19(2014); WHITE-x-MANIS, 2014 WL 1513280(DSC. 2014); GRUPO-SUPRA, 124 S.Ct.1920(U.S.2004).

REFERRING BACK TO THE ISSUE OF BIAS REGARDING THE JUDGES. THE (14) PAGE AND (23) PAGE DOCUMENTS THEMSELVES, ALONE, EVEN THOUGH THERE ARE MANY OTHERS TO INCLUDE THOSE IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 MARCHANT IS CONSPIRING TO PREVENT FROM BEING REVEALED, AND OTHERS TO WHICH THE STATE AND FEDERAL JUDGES ALONG WITH THE S.C. DEPT. OF CORR. ARE CONSPIRING TO BLOCK FILING, ARE MORE THAN SUFFICIENT TO NOT ONLY PROVE REMOVAL UNDER 28 U.S.C. §§ 1443(1), 2679, 1602-1612 ET. SEQ.. THEY ALSO PROVE BOTH OVERWHELMING "PERSONAL" AS WELL AS "JUDICIAL" BIAS. WHEN YOU HAVE THE JUDGES GOING OUT OF THEIR WAY TO CALL US "RACIST", "VULGAR" AND "SEXIST"? THIS PROVES JUDGE MARCHANT LIED IN FRAUD WHEN

HE SAID IN THE REPORT THAT THE ACTION WAS "INCOMPREHENSIBLE", BECAUSE THE COURT IN CASE 5:17-cv-0105-BHH-KDW DID NOT MAKE THIS CLAIM AND FURTHER AFFIRMED THAT THE PLEADING CONSTITUTE AN "AFFIDAVIT". SUCH ASSESSMENT COULD NOT HAVE BEEN DONE IF THE PLEADINGS WERE "INCOMPREHENSIBLE". WE OBJECT. RES JUDICATA ATTACHES FROM JUDGE KAYMANI WEST. WHAT MORE DO YOU NEED TO ESTABLISH "PERSONAL" BIAS. "PERSONAL" IS DEFINED AS---PERTAINING TO, OR CONCERNING A PARTICULAR PERSON; REFERRING OR DIRECTED TO A PARTICULAR PERSON IN AN OFFENSIVE MANNER; PERTAINING TO THE BODY, CLOTHING OR APPEARANCE (PHYSICALLY OR CHARACTERISTICALLY). WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE MOTION TO REINSTATE CASE 5:17-cv-0105-BHH-KDW, THE ORDER PRODUCED FROM IT; THE REPORT AND WHAT MARCHANT AND WOOTEN DID IN BOTH CASES 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM AND 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM; WHAT JUDGES HARWELL AND AUSTIN DID IN CASES 8:16-cv-3327, 3328, 3194-RBH-JDA; WHAT THE JUDGES DID IN CASE 1:17-cv-01468-HMH-SVH, IGNORING THE INITIAL NOTICE SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL AND CLOSING THAT CASE; WHAT THEY DID TO david duren IN THE S.C. SUPREME COURT CONSPIRING WITH THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL; ALSO WHAT JUDGE BAKER DID IN CASE 2:17-cv-1127-JMC-MGB AND THE PLEADINGS IN THEIR TOTALITY. IT IS CONSPICUOUS THAT IT HAS GOTTEN SERIOUSLY PERSONAL BETWEEN THE JUDGES AND PLAINTIFFS, SO MUCH THAT THE JUDGES ARE ENGAGING IN CONSTANT ACTS OF FRAUD, MACHINATION, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY. WE OBJECT TO JUDGE MARCHANT'S CLAIMS THAT THE STATE CASE, 2013-CP-400-0084 DO NOT BEAR ON OR HAVE ANY CONNECTION TO THE CLAIMS BEING MADE OR THAT IT DOESN'T WARRANT REMOVAL TO ESTABLISHED THE FACTS PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. §§ 1443(1) (DEFENDANT IN A CRIMINAL CASE/ CONVICTION ISSUES), 2679 (PROPERTY INFRINGEMENT BY THE UNITED STATES), 1602-1612 ( FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ACT CLAIMS) WHICH IS WHY HE DO NOT WANT THIS EVIDENCE TO ENTER THE COURT. IT WILL INDISPUTABLY PROVE THE FACTS. LETS SEE. ORDER THE ISSUING OF THE INJUNCTION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER. ALONG WITH THE (23) AND (14) PAGE DOCUMENTS RECENTLY FILED. THEY HIGHLIGHT THE REPEATED, CONTINUOUS ACTS OF FRAUD, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY WHICH IS WHY JUDGE MARCHANT IS CONSPIRING TO PREVENT THE REMOVAL OF THE STATE CASE. WE OBJECT. WE MOTION FOR DISQUALIFICATION OF THE JUDGES AND

CHANGE OF VENUE TO BE GRANTED BY THE 4TH. CIRCUIT VIA THESE PARALLEL APPEALS AND OR RELATED CASES. WE WANT CLASS ACTION CERTIFICATION FOR THE LEGAL ISSUES OF RELIGIOUS PROPHECY, IN RE: NAT. FOOTBALL LEAGUE PLAYERS CONCUSSION INJURY LITIGATION, 775 F3d. 570, 90 Fed. R. SERV.3d. 563(3rd.Cir.2014); IN RE: WHOLESALE GROCERY PRODUCTS ANTITRUST LITIGATION SUPRA; ALILA KATITA v. U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, 2016 WL 4992464(N.D. Cal.2016); FLEISCHMAN v. ALBANY MEDICAL CENTER, 639 F3d. 28, 79 Fed. R. SERV.3d. 494(2nd.Cir.2011); SCOTT v. CLARKE, 61 F.Supp.3d. 569(W.D.Va.2014); BUMGARNER v. N.C.D.C. 276 F.R.D. 452(N.C.2011); BURNETT v. TAHEY, 699 F3d. 804(MEM)(4th.Cir. 2012); KARSJENS v. PIPER, --F3d.--, 2017 WL 24613(8th.Cir.2017).

EVEN THOUGH THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION SUBMITTED BY JUDGE MARCHANT IS TAINTED BY FRAUD AND CAN'T BE USED. WE OBJECT TO MARCHANT IN THE REPORT STATING THAT THE DOCUMENT CONTAINS DISPARAGING AND INFLAMMATORY LANGUAGE; THAT THE CASE CAN BE CHARACTERIZED AS "BUSSWORDS" OR "LEGAL GIBBERISH", CITING ROCHESTER v. McKIE, 2011 WL 2671228(DSC.2011) AND YOCUM v. SUMMER, 1991 WL 171389 (N.D.Ill.1991). FIRST, THESE CASES ARE VOID DUE TO THEIR USE OF THE PLRA AND OR AEDPA WHICH ARE UNCONSTITUTIONAL. THIS RENDERS HIS REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION VOID FOR MAKING USE OF SUCH CITINGS OF LAW. SECONDLY, THE USE OF THESE CASES IS MISPLACED AND IS LIKE COMPARING "APPLES TO ORANGES". THERE IS NOTHING IN THE CASES CITING BY THE JUDGE THAT DEMONSTRATE THAT THOSE CASES INVOLVED PUBLIC JURIS CLAIMS, OR CLAIMS INVOLVING MATTERS OF PUBLIC, SOCIAL, RELIGIOUS OR POLITICAL INTEREST TO THE COMMUNITY WHERE BY THOSE CASES DO NOT INVOLVE PROTECTED SPEECH. SINCE THIS IS THE CASE FOR THE PLAINTIFFS FILINGS; THUS, THE LANGUAGE CONTAINED THEREIN IS "PROTECTED SPEECH" AND CANNOT BE CHARACTERIZED AS "BUSSWORDS" OR "LEGAL GIBBERISH". "GIBBERISH", IS DEFINED AS---CONFUSING, UNINTELLIGIBLE OR MEANINGLESS SPEECH OR LANGUAGE. OUR PLEADINGS CANNOT BE CHARACTERIZED AS SUCH. JUDGE MARCHANT ABUSED HIS DISCRETION IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT IN HIS EFFORTS TO KEEP THE EVIDENCE FOUND UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 FROM COMING INTO THE COURT AND BEING MADE A PART OF THE COURT RECORD.

FUTHERMORE, WE TAKE YOU TO ISAIAH 14:29 (THE AUTHORIZED KING JAMES BIBLE), THE "CONTRACT", "COVENANT", ESTABLISHED BY THE SOLE CORPORATION. IT READS: "REJOICE NOT THOU, WHOLE PALESTINA, BECAUSE THE ROD OF HIM THAT SMOTE THEE IS BROKEN (MEANING THIS MAN WILL COME AT A TIME WHEN THE PALESTINIANS ARE SUBJECT TO APARTHEID AS THEY ARE NOW. ISRAEL, IS THE "ROD". IT IS BROKEN [STOPPED] BECAUSE I AM BOTH THEIR KING-KHALIFAH, THE FIDUCIARY HEIR.); FOR OUT OF THE "SERPENT'S ROOT" (SATAN'S ATTACK UPON MY LIFE. "ROOT"---MEANING SOURCE, ORIGIN OR CAUSE. "CAUSE" IS FURTHER DEFINED AS---"CAUSE FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW", "PRISON".); . SHALL COME A "COCKATRICE"--MEANING A BOLD, ARDENT, STOCKY, "PERNICIOUS" (EMPHASIS ADDED) FELLOW.); AND HIS "FRUIT" (THAT WHICH COMES FORTH FROM HIM, THAT 7 TIMES THE SPIRIT OF ELIJAH THING FORETOLD BY PROPHECY TO COME), SHALL BE THAT OF A "FIERY FLYING SERPENT". MY PERSONALITY, WHICH INCLUDES MY SPEECH, IS ESTABLISHED AND PROTECTED BY LEGAL BINDING CONTRACT TEN THOUSAND YEARS BEFORE I WAS BORN. IT IS AN "ACT OF GOD" ORDAINED UNDER CONTRACT PROTECTED BY THE U.S. CONSTITUTION UNDER ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10. THE DETERMINATION MADE BY JUDGE MARCHANT MUST BE REJECTED WHERE SUCH ACCEPTANCE WOULD BURDEN THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT AND PENALIZE THE KING-KHALIFAH FOR AN "ACT OF GOD" WHICH IS IMPERMISSIBLE BY LAW. THE COURTS CANNOT MAKE OR UNMAKE THE CONTRACT AFTER THE FACT WITH EX POST FACTO LAW. SUCH WOULD BE A MISCARRIAGE OF JUSTICE, PEUGH-v.-U.S. 133 S.Ct. 2072, 186 L.Ed.2d. 84, 81 U.S.L.W. 4372(2013); U.S.-v.-WELLS, 578 Fed. Appx' 234 CA4 (Va.2014); GRAHAM-v.-McFADDEN, 2015 WL 505536 (DSC.2015); OGDEN-v.-SANDERS, 25 U.S. 213 (1827); TRUSTEES-OF-CONCINNATI-SOUTHERN-RY.-v.-PORTER, 21 OHIO N.P. (N3) 441, 1918 WL 96530.

IN FURTHER ADDRESSING THIS MATTER, AND THE OUTRAGEOUS ACTS, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, FRAUD UPON THE COURTS IN JURISDICTIONS WHERE THEY HAD NO POWER OR AUTHORITY ESTABLISHING EXTRA-TERRITORIAL JURISDICTION CLAIMS. PART OF THE REASON THE JUDGES INVOLVED DID WHAT THEY DID, WAS BECAUSE THEY DID NOT LIKE THE WAY THEY WERE TALKED TO, DEMONSTRATING "PERSONAL" AS WELL AS "JUDICIAL" BIAS THAT RISES TO AN UNCONSTI-

TUTIONAL LEVEL, WHICH IS EVIDENT BY WHAT MARCHANT SAID IN THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION. THE JUDGES INVOLVED DID THE ACTS IN RETALIATION AGAINST US IN VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. § 12203(a)(b); 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001 AND IN VIOLATION OF ARTICLE III SECTION 1 AND 2 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. JUST LIKE THE DEFINITION OF PERSONAL BIAS DICTATES. THE JUDGES RETALIATED AGAINST US, INCLUDING MARCHANT, WOOTEN, WEST AND AUSTIN, FOR THE PURPOSE OF REGULATING AND RESTRICTING OUR SPEECH, BECAUSE THEY FOUND IT PERSONAL, INFLAMMATORY, DISPARAGING, AND OFFENSIVE. THEIR ACTIONS WOULD CHILL A PERSON OF ORDINARY FIRMNESS FROM CONTINUING TO ENGAGE IN THE PROTECTED SPEECH. LOOSE, FIGURATIVE OR HYPERBOLIC LANGUAGE IS PROTECTED WHERE THESE PARALLEL AND RELATED CASES INVOLVE MATTERS OF PUBLIC, SOCIAL, RELIGIOUS AND POLITICAL INTEREST TO THE COMMUNITY PRODUCING PUBLIC JURIS CLAIMS. THE USE OF ROCHESTER AND YOCUM ARE MISPLACED. THERE WAS NO PHYSICAL THREAT MADE AGAINST ANY OF THESE JUDGES. THE JUDGES SHOULD HAVE NEVER DONE THE ACTS THEY'VE DONE IN THESE CASES IN RETALIATION WARRANTING RECUSAL AND SANCTIONS, SABATINI-v.-REINSTEIN,--F. Supp. 3d.--, 2016 WL 8716594(E.D.Pa.2016); HALE-v.-EMPORIA-STATE-UNIVERSITY, 2016 WL 3277264(D.Kan.2016); LAROCHELLE-v.-WILMAG-CORPORATION, 210 F.Supp.3d. 658(E.D.Pa.2016); DOE-v.-RECTOR-AND-VISITORS-OF-GEORGIA-MASON-UNIVERSITY, 149 F.Supp.3d. 602(E.D.Va. 2016); SNYDER-v.-PHELPS, 580 F3d. 206(4th.Cir2009); BALTIMORE SPORTS-&-SOCIAL-CLUB,-INC.-v.-SPORT-&-SOCIAL,-LLC, 2017 WL 526499, \* 3+, D.Md.; SGIRIO-v.-BLACK-CAT-BAIL-BONDS, 2010 WL 1463470, \* 4 (DSC.2010); MORRIS-v.-DORMA-AUTOMATIC-S.-INC, 537 Fed. Appx' 254, 255 (4th.Cir.[S.C.] 2013); JOHNSON-v.-BYRD, 2016 WL 6839410(N.C.2016); BERGER-v.-U.S., 255 U.S. 22, 41 S.Ct. 230, 65 L.Ed. 481(U.S.1921); CANADA-v.-MILLER, F.Supp.3d., 2014 WL 1512245(2014); BOOTH-v.-BALLARD, 2016 WL 1275054(2016)(DEMONSTRATING EVIDENTIARY HEARING MUST OCCUR).

**NOTICE:** THESE ISSUES ARE ON APPEAL. CAN THE KING-KHALIFAH ACT AS ATTORNEY FOR OTHER INMATES WHO ARE SUBJECTS UNDER THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES? WHAT IS "JAHJAH AL MAHDI, CRAWFORD'S" STATUS AT THE TIME OF FILING THESE CASES? DID JUDGE MARCHANT ABUSE HIS DISCRETION IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT WHEN HE ASSERTED

THAT THERE WAS NO RELIEF AVAILABLE UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF 28 U.S.C. §§ 1443(1), 2679, 1602-1612 ET. SEQ. IN HIS EFFORTS TO PREVENT THE EVIDENCE FILED IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 FROM ENTERING THE FEDERAL COURT?

FIRST, YOU ARE DEALING WITH THE FRAMING OF A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN. THE KING-KHALIFAH IS A DEFENDANT IN A CRIMINAL CASE WHERE HIS DUE PROCESS MATTERS WERE COMPROMISED ON DIRECT APPEAL GIVING WAY TO HABEAS CORPUS WHICH PRESENTLY EXIST UNDER CASE 9:16-cv-3808-TLW-BM SOUGHT CONSOLIDATED TO THE EXISTING PARALLEL § 1983 CASES ARGUING THAT PROCESS WAS CORRUPTED BEHIND RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED. THUS THE PROVISIONS OF 28 U.S.C. § 1443(1) DO ATTACH AS A DEFENDANT WHICH IS ALSO PRESENTED UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 REMOVED TO THESE PARALLEL CASES.

SECONDLY, WE TAKE YOU TO THE "CONTRACT", "COVENANT", ESTABLISHED BY THE SOLE CORPORATION UNDER ISAIAH 11:1-5. IT READS: "AND THERE SHALL COME FORTH A "ROD" (A KING/ SOVEREIGN) OUT OF THE STEM OF JESSE (KING DAVID'S FATHER) AND A "BRANCH" (A DESCENDENT) SHALL GROW OUT OF HIS ROOTS (THE KING-KHALIFAH IS THE FIDUCIARY HEIR). AND THE SPIRIT OF THE LORD SHALL REST UPON HIM, THE SPIRIT OF WISDOM AND UNDERSTANDING, THE SPIRIT OF COUNSEL (JAHJAH AL MAHDI POSSESSES ATTORNEY POWERS) AND MIGHT, THE SPIRIT OF KNOWLEDGE AND OF THE FEAR OF THE LORD; AND SHALL MAKE HIM OF QUICK UNDERSTANDING IN THE FEAR OF THE LORD: AND HE SHALL NOT JUDGE (JAHJAH AL MAHDI IS A JUDGE) AFTER THE SIGHT OF HIS EYES, NEITHER REPROVE AFTER THE HEARING OF HIS EARS: BUT WITH RIGHTEOUSNESS SHALL HE JUDGE THE POOR, AND REPROVE WITH EQUITY (JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS) FOR THE MEEK OF THE EARTH: AND HE SHALL SMITE THE EARTH (MEANING MY POWER AND AUTHORITY IS GLOBAL) WITH THE ROD OF HIS MOUTH AND WITH THE BREATH OF HIS LIPS SHALL HE SLAY THE WICKED". (AGAIN PROVING THE KING-KHALIFAH'S SPEECH IS PROTECTED SPEECH UNDER THE 1st. AMENDMENT AND VIA CONTRACT UNDER ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONST.).

ISAIAH 16:5 READS: "AND IN MERCY SHALL THE THRONE BE ESTABLISHED: AND HE SHALL SIT UPON IT IN TRUTH IN THE TABERNACLE OF DAVID (I AM KING AND HIGH PRIEST), JUDGING AND SEEKING JUDG-

MENT (I AM JUDGE, ATTORNEY AND LEGISLATOR WITH SUPERSEDING GLOBAL AUTHORITY), AND HASTING RIGHTEOUSNESS".

ISAIAH 42:18-22 READS: "HEAR YE DEAF; AND LOOK YE BLIND, THAT YE MAY SEE. WHO IS BLIND, BUT MY SERVANT: (I WILL NOT SEE THE WORLD'S POINT OF VIEW), OR DEAF AS MY MESSENGER (I AM SENT, THE VOICE OF GOD IN THE FORM OF A MAN, A MEMBER OF THE SOLE CORPORATION. I WILL ACCEPT NO ARGUMENT WHEN IT COMES TO THE LAWS OF GOD.) THAT I [GOD] SENT? (I AM HIS ADVOCATE, ATTORNEY), WHO IS BLIND AS HE WHO IS PERFECT, AND BLIND AS THE LORD'S SERVANT (ALL I SEE IS WHAT MY GOD DESIRES). SEEING MANY THINGS, BUT THOU OBSERVEST NOT (I SHALL TEACH MANY, BUT I SHALL NOT BE MOVED). THE LORD IS WELL PLEASED FOR HIS RIGHTEOUSNESS SAKE; HE (THE KING) WILL MAGNIFY THE LAW". I AM KING, KHALIFAH, IMAM, HIGH PRIEST, LAWGIVER, ATTORNEY, JUDGE, LEGISLATOR WITH GLOBAL SUPERSEDING POWER AND AUTHORITY BY MY ORIGINAL STATUS AS SUCH OVER TEN THOUSAND YEARS BEFORE YOUR NATION WAS FORMED VIA CONTRACT FROM THE SOLE CORPORATION WHICH CANNOT BE MADE OR UNMADE BY THE COURTS PROTECTED UNDER ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION THAT WAS DEFAULTED ON BY THE UNITED STATES AND OTHER (192) MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 WHICH IS WHY THE FEDERAL JUDGES ARE CONSPIRING TO PREVENT REMOVAL OF THAT STATE CASE TO THE FEDERAL COURTS.

WE TAKE TO TO THE CONTRACT UNDER LUKE 11:52. IT READS: "WOE UNTO YOU [LAWYERS!] FOR YE HAVE TAKEN AWAY THE KEY OF KNOWLEDGE: YE ENTER NOT IN YOURSELVES, AND THEM THAT WERE ENTERING YE HINDERED". YOU THINK YOU CAN LEGALLY HINDER ME? YOUR LAWS ARE NOT SOLELY YOUR OWN. THEY ARE OURS. OWNERSHIP LIES WITH US, THE SOLE CORPORATION, UNDER FOREIGN LAW. THE SOLE CORPORATION UNDER GOD IS THE "ORIGINAL FOUNTAIN" OF ALL LAW. THE "TERM" OR "CONCEPT" OF "ATTORNEY" DID NOT COME FROM ENGLISH LAW. IT DID NOT COME FROM THIS NATION GIVING YOU THE LEGAL RIGHT TO RESTRICT IT TO REQUIREMENT OF ENTRY TO THE STATE OR FEDERAL BAR ABSENT OF THE RIGHTS OR PREROGATIVES OF THE SOLE CORPORATION WHO ESTABLISHED IT AND WHERE FULL OWNERSHIP LIES. IT COMES FROM US, THE SOLE CORPORATION. THESE LAWS ARE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

GIVEN TO YOUR NATIONS AS A "GRANT" WITH RESTRICTIONS. I HAVE FULL OWNERSHIP VIA CONTRACT PROTECTED UNDER ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AND CAN ABUSE OR ACT IN THEM AS I PLEASE. THEREFORE, THE CLAIMS MADE BY MARCHANT ARE WITHOUT MERIT. THE COURTS CANNOT MAKE OR UNMAKE THE CONTRACT.

NOW WHAT'S INSIDE CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 THAT JUDGE MARCHANT AND THE FEDERAL JUDGES ARE WILLING TO LIE, RISK THEIR JOBS, ENGAGE IN ACTS OF FRAUD AND CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY TO PREVENT FROM BEING SUBMITTED AS EVIDENCE TO WHICH THE UNITED STATES AND U.N. MEMBER STATES DEFAULTED ON? ONE DOCUMENT IS ENTITLED, "MEMORANDUM OF LAW WITH POINTS AND AUTHORITIES ON 'SOVEREIGNTY' OF GOD'S APPOINTED KING, KHALIFAH, IMAM, PROPHET AND HIGH PRIEST SUPPLEMENTED TO PREVIOUS SENT UNITED NATIONS DOCUMENTS IN RELATION TO GOD'S THEOCRATIC GOVERNMENT OF THE SEVERAL GLOBAL NATIONS AND THEIR RESPECTIVE NATIONAL AND OR FEDERAL GOVERNMENTS AND NOTICE OF LIEN". IT READS IN RELEVANT PART:

TO: THE GLOBAL GOVERNMENTS, S.C.D.C., RELEVANT CORPORATIONS, BANKING ENTITIES, ETC. VIA THE UNITED NATIONS ETC., U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE, U.S. STATE DEPT., S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL ET AL., FIRST AVENUE AT 46th. STREET NEW YORK, N.Y. 10017,

TO WHOM JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE, THE KING OF THE NORTH, AL MAHDI, APPOINTED AND ANOINTED KING, KHALIFAH, IMAM, PROPHET AND HIGH PRIEST, TRUE FIDUCIARY HEIR TO THE THEOCRATIC THRONE OF THE AFRICANS, CHRISTIANS, MUSLIMS AND JEWISH WORLD, BY WILL AND TESTAMENT OF THE ONE TRUE GOD AND HIS PROPHETS PRESENTS SHALL COME. IN THE NAME OF THE ONE TRUE GOD, THE MOST MERCIFUL, THE MOST GREAT. SHALOM. AS SALAMU ALAYKUM WA RAMATULLAH WA BARAKATU. MAY THE BLESSING OF CHRIST JESUS BE UPON YOU. TAKE NOTICE THAT:

IT IS A WELL UNDERSTOOD FACT OF WORLD HISTORY THAT THE MOST DYNAMIC DOCUMENTS AND OR BOOKS THAT SET THE COURSE OF WORLD EVENTS AND WORLD HISTORY ARE THE TORAH, BIBLE, QURAN AND THE SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH). IT WAS WITHIN THE SACRED PAGES OF THESE HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH THAT DISCLOSED THE FUTILITY



, TYRANNY, WICKEDNESS, EVIL AND DEPRAVITY OF WORLD GOVERNMENTS AND FALSE RELIGIONS. THEY EXPRESSED THE ELEMENTS OF GOD'S LAWS AND THE RIGHTS OF THE SERVANTS OF THE MOST HIGH GOD WITHIN ANY SOCIETY WORLDWIDE ESTABLISHING THAT THE BELIEVING MEN AND WOMEN, SERVANTS AND WILLFUL SLAVES OF GOD, ARE CO-HEIRS TO GOD'S ETERNAL KINGDOM PROMISES. THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH), BY WILL AND TESTAMENT OF GOD AND HIS HOLY PROPHETS STIPULATED THE CHAIN OF AUTHORITY BY HOLY PROGENY AND GODLY AUTHORITY GIVEN TO HIS ANOINTED AND APPOINTED KINGS, KHALIFAHS, IMAMS AND HIGH PRIESTS WITHIN THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC GOVERNMENT, LEADING TO THE ETERNAL EARTHLY REIGN OF CHRIST, JESUS OF NAZARETH, TO INCLUDE HIS FORERUNNER AND HIS FORERUNNER'S DECLARATION OF SOVEREIGNTY AS ALSO SEEN BY THE ATTACHED UNITED NATIONS DOCUMENT DATED JULY 1, 2009 AND THE (21) PAGE SUMMONS AND LETTER DATED DECEMBER 25, 2009, AND THE OBVIOUS FACT THAT THE ONE TRUE GOD, HAVING NO PARTNERS, CREATES KINGS, KHALIFAHS AND HEIRS. THAT IT WAS THE ONE TRUE GOD WHO INSTITUTED, ORDAINED OR PERMITTED WORLD GOVERNMENTS AND IN DOING SO GOD SECURED THE RIGHTS OF ALL GOVERNMENTS AND OR CAN TAKE AWAY THESE RIGHTS AS HE SO WILLS, AND THAT WORLD GOVERNMENTS, AT EVERY LEVEL, DERIVE THEIR 'JUST POWERS' BY THE DECREE AND MERCY OF THE ONE TRUE GOD, FOR ALL MANKIND, JINN AND ANGELS ARE CREATED TO WORSHIP HIM.

IT IS WELL ESTABLISHED THAT THE SERVANTS OF THE ONE TRUE GOD MUST BE WILLING TO LOSE THEIR LIVES TO FIND THEIR LIVES, IN ALTRUISM, SUBJUGATION, PLACING ONESELF LAST IN ORDER TO BECOME FIRST IN THE RECEIPT OF GOD'S KINGDOM PROMISES, NOT LOVING THEIR LIVES UNTO DEATH! EVEN IF THEY MUST BE IMPRISONED OR DIE TO BE IN COMPLIANCE, PROTECT AND SERVE THE LAWS, DECREES AND WILL OF THE ONE TRUE GOD AS GIVEN BY HIS (3) HOLY BOOKS, SUNNAH AND HIS APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH JONAH THE TISHBITE, AL MAHDI. THIS DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE CREATES AND RE-ESTABLISHES BEFORE ALL MANKIND THE SOVEREIGNTY OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, THE SOVEREIGNTY OF GOD HAVING NO PARTNERS, TO INCLUDE THE SOVEREIGNTY OF HIS ANOINTED, APPOINTED KING OF THE NORTH, AL MAHDI, JONAH THE TISHBITE, FIDUCIARY HEIR TO THE EARTHLY THRONE OF

THE AFRICANS, ITS DIASPORA, CHRISTIANS, MUSLIMS AND JEWS WORLD-WIDE, WHICH IS NOT TO BE PLACED IN A DEMOCRACY OF THE PEOPLE, BY THE PEOPLE OR FOR THE PEOPLE OR OTHER GLOBAL NATIONAL INTEREST . THEREFORE, GOD AND HIS APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH, JONAH THE TISHBITE AND THE CREATURES THEY CREATE AND OR RULE BY DIVINE POWER ei. GOVERNMENTS OF THE WORLD, THEIR INHABITANTS, AND THOSE WHO WORK FOR/ IN GOVERNMENT(S) ARE PUBLIC SERVANTS AND OR GOD'S SERVANTS AND BY GODLY DECREE, FAITH, IMAN, HAVE PLACED THEMSELVES IN A SUBSERVIENT POSITION, TO SERVE THE ONE TRUE GOD AND HIS APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH WITHIN THEIR OFFICE/ FUNCTION/ POSITION/ STATUS VIA THEIR RELIGIOUS COVENANTS AND OATHS PURSUANT TO THE LAWS OF GOD'S (3) HOLY BOOKS AND THE SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH).

IN REGARD TO THE PRINCIPLES ESTABLISHED BY THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD PURSUANT TO THIS DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE, ANY PRESENT LAWS AND ANY SUBSEQUENT LAWS WRITTEN OR CREATED AFTER IT, AND OF THE TRUE SOVEREIGNTY OF THE ONE TRUE GOD, HIS APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH, JONAH THE TISHBITE, AL MAHDI, ANY WRITTEN OR SPOKEN CUSTOMED LAW IS NOT ONLY THE DIRECT EXPRESSION OF THE SOVEREIGN WILL OF GOD, HIS PROPHETS AND APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH, AL MAHDI, THE KING OF THE NORTH, IT IS ALSO THE ABSOLUTE RULE OF ACTION AND DECISION OF ALL DEPARTMENTS AND OFFICES OF GOD'S GLOBAL THEOCRATIC GOVERNMENT WITH RESPECT TO ALL MATTERS COVERED BY IT, AND THEY MUST CONTROL AS THEY ARE WRITTEN OR STATED, INTERPRETED BY AL MAHDI, UNTIL IT IS CHANGED BY THE AUTHORITY WHICH ESTABLISHED IT, GOD, HIS PROPHETS AND THE KING/ KHALIFAH OF THE NORTH, AL MAHDI, JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE. FOR REFERENCES SEE STATE-EX REL-CRENSHAW-v.-JOSEPH, 175 Ala. 579, 57 So. 942; SCHMITT-v. F.W.-COOK-BREWING-CO., 187 Md. 623, 120 N.E. 19, 3 A.L.R. 270; COLLINS-v.-MARTIN, 209 Pa. 388, 139 A. 122, 55 A.L.R. 311; TRAVELER'S-INSURANCE-CO.-v.-MARSHALL, 124 Tex. 45, 76 S.W.2d. 1007, 96 A.L.R. 802; STATE-EX-REL-LEMON-v.-LANGLIE, 45 WASH.2d. 82, 273 P.2d. 464; EIE-GUAM-v.-LONG-TERM-CREDIT-BANK,-JAPAN, 322 F3d. 652(9th.Cir.2003); WANG-v.-ASHCROFT, 320 F3d. 130(2nd.Cir. 2003); ENGLISH-v.-THORN, 676 F.Supp. 761(S.D.Miss.1987); IN

...AND TAKE NOTICE OF THE FOLLOWING CASES AND POINTS:

(1) "BEFORE AND WHEN THE REVOLUTION BY GOD'S DECREE AND WAR IN THE FORM OF THIS LITIGATION BEFORE THE COURTS TOOK PLACE, THE KING OF THE NORTH, THE 12th. AND FINAL OF THE RIGHTLY GUIDED KHALIFAHS OF ISLAM WAS SOVEREIGN BY WAY OF HIS ORIGINAL STATUS AS SOVEREIGN AS IS WRITTEN IN THE HOLY BOOKS OF THE (3) TRUE RELIGIONS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH) BEING A SECURED PERSON BY THAT WHICH IS WRITTEN AND CONTAINED THEREIN BEFORE THE UNJUST CAPTIVITY OF HIS HOLY ANCESTORS OR THE PRESENT NATIONS OF THE WORLD WERE FORMED AND BEFORE ANY SLAVE NAME OR NUMBER WAS ASSIGNED TO HIM BY BIRTH CERTIFICATE, SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER OR ANY OTHER RELEVANT LEGAL OR OTHERWISE DOCUMENT IN THE UNITED STATES WAS PRODUCED. BY SUCH HIS SUBJECTS ARE ALSO SECURED PERSONS BEING A KINGDOM OF PRIEST, A KHALIFATE OF IMAMS AS REDEEMED BY THE ONE TRUE GOD'S HIGH PRIEST OF THE LEVITICAL PRIESTHOOD, CHRIST AND LINE OF AARON", MARTIN-ET.-AL.-V.-THE LESSEE-OF-WADDELL, (1342) 41 U.S. (6 Pet.) 367, 410, 10 L.Ed. 997, 1013; ENGLISH-V.-THORN, 676 F.Supp. 761(S.D.Miss.1987); IN-RE:-GREEN, 980 F2d. 590(9th.Cir.1992); THE (3) HOLY BOOKS.

(2) "THE THEOCRATIC STATE, THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH) ARE THE SUPREME LAW OVER ALL MANKIND, WRITTEN BY THE SUPREME POWER, THE ONE TRUE GOD HAVING NO PARTNERS AND HIS PROPHETS AS THEY WERE MOVED BY THE RUH OF ALLAH, THE HOLY SPIRIT, AS HE WRITES UPON THE HEARTS OF MAN WITH THE PEN THAT THOU KNOWETH NOT", RE-CORHAM--FAYETTE LOCAL-SCHOOL-DIST., 20 OHIO MISC. 222, 49 OHIO OPS.2d. 143, 250 N.E.2d. 104; STATE-EX-REL-WEINBERGER-V.-MILLER, 87 OHIO ST.-12, 99 N.E. 1078; IN-RE:-GREEN, 980 F2d. 590(9th.Cir.1992).

(3) "THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH) ARE THE VOICE OF THE ONE TRUE GOD, HIS PROPHETS, JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE, AL MAHDI, SPEAKING IN THEIR SOVEREIGN CAPACITY UNDER THEOCRATIC LAW AS WELL AS FOREIGN LAW,

AND THEY ARE CONGRUENT TO EACH OTHER, IN HARMONY WITH EACH OTHER, THEY ARE ONE AND MUST BE HEEDED; WHEN THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND THE SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH) SPEAK WITH REFERENCE TO A PARTICULAR MATTER, THEY MUST BE GIVEN EFFECT AS INTERPRETED BY AL MAHDI AS THE PARAMOUNT LAW OF THE LAND AND GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE", PEOPLE-V.-PARKS, 58 Cal. 624; (3) HOLY BOOKS; ENGLISH-V.-THORN-SUPRA.

(4) "GOD'S APPOINTED KING AL MAHDI, JONAH THE TISHBITE HIMSELF, OF COURSE IS NOT SUBJECT TO THE LAW, FOR HE IS NOT ONLY KING/ KHALIFAH, BUT ALSO PROPHET OF GOD BEING THE VOICE OF GOD IN THE FORM OF A MAN, LAWGIVER OF GOD, THE AUTHOR AND SOURCE OF THE RESTORE LAW, BUT HE IS ALWAYS SUBJECT TO THE COMMANDS GIVEN HIM BY THE ONE TRUE GOD AS HIS SLAVE AND SERVANT. IN OUR GLOBAL THEOCRATIC SYSTEM, WHILE SOVEREIGN POWERS ARE DELEGATED TO VARIOUS AGENTS AND OR AGENCIES OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC GOVERNMENT, SOVEREIGNTY ITSELF REMAINS WITH GOD AND HIS APPOINTED, ANOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH, JONAH THE TISHBITE, AL MAHDI, UNTIL TAKEN OVER BY CHRIST, JESUS OF NAZARETH, BY WHOM AND FOR WHOM ALL EARTHLY GOVERNMENTS EXIST AND ACTS. AND THE LAW AS WRITTEN IN THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF MUHAMMAD(PBUH) ARE THE DEFINITION AND LIMITATION OF POWER AND GOD'S DIVINE LAWS", YICKS-WO-V.-HOPKINS, 118 U.S. 356; ENGLISH-V.-HORN-SUPRA.

(5) "UNDER OUR GLOBAL THEOCRATIC SYSTEM THE PEOPLE, WHO BY THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD (PBUH) ARE CALLED SERVANTS AND SLAVES OF THE ONE TRUE GOD BEING SUBJUGATED TO GOD AND HIS APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH, JONAH THE TISHBITE, ARE HERE AND WORLDWIDE A KINGDOM OF PRIEST, A KHALIFATE OF IMAMS, WHETHER COLLECTIVE OR INDIVIDUAL, BEING SUBJECT TO THE LAWS OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE ABOVE ANY OTHER LAW WITHIN ANY NATION AND ARE IMMUNE FROM PARALLEL OR SECONDARY STATE ACTION BEING THEMSELVES KINGS AND KHALIFAHS WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF THE SOVEREIGN KING OF KINGS, KHALIFAH OF KHALIFAHS JONAH IBN YAQUB, AL MAHDI, OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, FROM THE LEAST OF THEM TO THE GREATEST OF THEM BY BEING A HOLY COMMON-WEALTH AND KINGDOM. THEY ARE BOUND TO GIVE WAY TO THE SENTIMENT OF LOYALTY, THE BAYT, TO THE PERSON OF JONAH GABRIAL JAHJAH

TO THE HOLY THRONE OF THE AFRICANS, THEIR DIASPORA, CHRISTIANS, MUSLIMS AND JEWS WORLDWIDE. THE CITIZENRY OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE KNOW HIM AS SUCH PERSON, DECREED BY THE ONE TRUE GOD, HOWEVER IN YEARS TO THOSE IN POWER, A KING, KHALIFAH, IMAM, AND HIGH PRIEST OF STRENGTH AND POWER THEY NEED TO YIELD RIGHTS WHICH THE LAW AND COMMANDMENT OF THE ONE TRUE GOD SECURE HIM", SEE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF MUHAMMAD(PBUH); UNITED-STATES v.-LEE, 106 U.S. 196 at 208.

(6) "IN THE THEOCRATIC STATE WORLDWIDE SOVEREIGNTY RESTS WITH THE ONE TRUE GOD, HAVING NO PARTNERS OR ASSOCIATIONS, HE IS ONE GOD NOT A TRINITY, IN HIS APPOINTED AND ANOINTED KING, KHALIFAH, IMAM, PROPHET AND HIGH PRIEST, JONAH THE TISHBITE, AL MAHDI", SEE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF MUHAMMAD(PBUH); GHISOLM-EX-R-v.-GEORGIA, 1 L.Ed. (2 DALL) 415, 472; ENGLISH v.-TRHORN, 676 F.Supp. 761.

(7) "KNOW IT TRUE THAT UNDER GLOBAL THEOCRATIC LAW THE DUTY OF ANY ATTORNEY GENERAL, GENERAL, OR OFFICER OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE IS TO REPRESENT, SERVE AND PROTECT THE TRUTH, LAWS, RIGHTS AND OR LIFE OF THE ONE TRUE GOD, HIS APPOINTED, ANOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH BEING THE EMBODIMENT OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AS GOD PROTECTS THE KING/ KHALIFAH AS THE KING/ KHALIFAH PROTECTS AND SECURES THE RIGHTS OF THE SERVANTS AND SLAVES OF GOD.(I CAN LEGALLY ACT AS ATTORNEY, JUDGE AND LEGISLATOR WITH SUPERSEDING POWERS). ANY FORM OF DEMOCRATIC GOVERNMENT OR OTHERWISE, OR ITS LAWS, WHETHER NATIONALLY OR INTERNATIONALLY THAT STAND IN OPPOSITION TO THE DECREES OF GOD'S APPOINTED KING OR THE LAWS OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE ARE NOT PREVAILING AND THEY ARE TO BE RENDERED VOID. YOU WANT TO PLACE INTO EFFECT LEGISLATION THAT WOULD PREVENT THE GUANTANOMO DETAINEES FROM RECEIVING JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS IN AMERICAN COURTS? THEN SET THEM FREE. I HAVE REDEEMED THEM AS HIGH PRIEST, KING AND KHALIFAH. AS I AM SOVEREIGN THEY ARE SOVEREIGNS UNDER A SOVEREIGN BEFORE ANY OF YOUR NATIONS WERE FORMED BY LEGAL BINDING CONTRACT PURSUANT TO THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND THE SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH) NOT SUBJECT TO THIS UNJUST CAPTIVITY

OR YOUR GLOBAL LAWS. YOU WANT TO PLACE INTO EFFECT NATIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL LEGISLATION BY LAW OR U.N. COUNCIL PROTECTING THE WORSHIP OF FALSE GODS, THESE SODOMITE AND LESBIAN DOGS AROUND THE WORLD? I MAKE VOID ANY EFFECT YOUR NATIONAL OR INTERNATIONAL LAWS HAVE UPON MY PEOPLE THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND CLAIM THE LIMITATIONS PLACED UPON OUR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IN THE FORM OF THE RIGHT TO LEGALLY MARRY REGARDING SAME SEX MARRIAGES. THE ANTI-CHRIST, AD DAJJAL, WILL BE A ONE EYED CLOSET FAGOT SODOMITE DOG AND PIG. BEHIND THE SCENES OF YOUR GLOBAL GOVERNMENTS HE IS PREPARING FOR HIS RULE. BEHIND THE WALL OF YOUR CORRUPT BROKEN PRISON SYSTEM I AM PREPARING FOR MY RULE OVER GOD'S PEOPLE. NEITHER TIME NOR PLACE HINDERS THE POWER OF GOD'S APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH. YOU WILL NOT SUBJECT GOD'S APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH OR THE CITIZENS OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE TO THESE STUPID, IGNORANT, VILLAGE IDIOT LAWS, INJUSTICES OR OPPRESSIONS. I WILL DROP A HOUSE ON YOUR WICKED, STUPID, DEPRAVED, PERVERTED, SODOMITE PROTECTING TAILS. PUSH MY BUTTON IF YOU WANT TO. YOU GOT THE RIGHT-WRONG ONE. I WILL CLEAN YOUR PUTRID CLOCKS. THE PROPHET OF GOD IS ABOVE ALL WORLDLY GOVERNMENTS TO INCLUDE THE PEOPLE HE IS COMMANDED TO PROTECT. THE GOD APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE IS SOVEREIGN BEING SUBJECT TO GOD, SO ALL OFFICERS OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE DUTIES ARE TO THAT SOVEREIGN AS THAT SOVEREIGN PROTECTS THE RIGHTS OF THE THEOCRATIC PEOPLE BY HIS GODLY WISDOM AND DISCRETIONARY ACTS RATHER THAN TO THE MACHINERY OF ANY GLOBAL GOVERNMENT", HANCOCK-v.-CARRY-ALGORN-MINING-CO.-INC.-KY. 503 S.W.2d. 710; KENTUCKY-CONSTITUTION-SECTION-4;-COMMONWEALTH EX REL-HANCOCK-v.-PAXTON-KENTUCKY, 516 S.W.2d. PAGE 867(2) CLAUSE 3; THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH); ENGLISH-v.-THORN-SUPRA.

(8) "LOCAL LAWS AND ORDINANCES ENACTED BY CITY, STATE OR NATION(S) MUST BE CONSISTENT WITH AND CANNOT BE PERMITTED TO OVERRIDE OR OVERRULE THE LAWS OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE. YET CONCESSIONS CAN BE MADE BY GOD'S APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH AS HE IS MOVED BY THE RUH, THE HOLY SPIRIT OF GOD IN LIGHT OF GOD'S MERCIES AND THE CIRCUMSTANCES PRESENTED, BELL-v.-VAUGH, 155 Fla. 551, 21 So.2d. 31; EVANS-v.-BERRY, 262 N.Y. 61, 186 N.E. 203, 89 A.L.R. 387.

(9) "IT IS THE DUTY OF ALL OFFICIALS OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, WHETHER LEGISLATIVE, JUDICIAL, EXECUTIVE OR BY CHOICE MONARCHY/ KHALIFATE, ADMINISTRATIVE OR MINISTERIAL, TO PERFORM EVERY OFFICIAL ACT AS NOT TO JUST VIOLATE THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH) AS INTERPRETED BY AL MAHDI, BUT EVERY WORD THAT PROCEEDETH OUT OF THE MOUTH OF THE ONE TRUE GOD, WHICH GIVES LICENSE BY GOD'S DISCRETION VIA HIS APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH TO FUFILL ANY ACT GOD COMMANDS EVEN IF IT SEEMS TO CONTRADICT HIS WRITTEN LAWS OR THE LAWS OF ANY SOVEREIGN NATION, SEE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND THE SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH) ei. JUNCTURE OF THE TWO SEAS AL KIDR AND MUSA; MONTGOMERY-v.-STATE, 55 Fla. 97, 45 So. 879; DANIEL 11:1-3.

(10) "THE PROVISIONS OF THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH) AS RESTORED AND INTERPRETED BY JONAH AL MAHDI AND THE DECREES OF GOD'S PROPHET AND APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE MUST BE GIVEN EFFECT EVEN IF DOING SO A STATUTE OR LAW OF ADJACENT OR OTHERWISE SOVEREIGN NATIONS UPON THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND ITS CITIZENRY ARE HELD TO BE INOPERATIVE". SEE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND THE SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH); STATE-EX-REL.-WEST-v.-BUTLER, 70 Fla. 102, 69 So. 771; ENGLISH-v.-THORN, 676 F.Supp. 761.

(11) "THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH) AS RESTORED AND INTERPRETED BY JONAH IBN YAQUB, AL MAHDI, ARE NOT MADE TO ACT UPON THE LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT ALONE, BUT UPON EVERY DEPARTMENT OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE", WAY-v.-HILLIER, 16 OHIO 105; (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH; ENGLISH v.-THORN, 676 F.Supp. 761; IN-RE:-GREEN-SUPRA.

(12) "COURTS SHOULD NOT TOLERATE OR CONDONE DISREGARD OF THE DECREES OF GOD'S APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH, JONAH THE TISHBITE, AL MAHDI OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE NOR ARBITRARY USURPATION OF POWER OF GOD'S APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH ON THE PART OF ANY OFFICER OR THE PEOPLE [AND NEITHER SHOULD THE KING/

KHALIFAH] AND THE PEOPLE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE MUST BE GIVEN ACCESS TO THEIR KING/ KHALIFAH IN SOME FORM TO SEEK REDRESS OF THEIR GRIEVANCES IF SOMEHOW THE COURTS FAIL IN THEIR DUTIES", EX-PARTE-OWENS, 10 OKLA. CRIM. REP. 284, 136 P. 197, Ann. Cas. 1916 A. 522; KING'S DECREE--COURTS NO.(1); ENGLISH v. THORN-SURRA; VERLINDEN-B.V. v. CENTRAL-BANK-OF-NIGERIA, 401 U.S. 480, 103 S.Ct. 1962, 76 L.Ed.2d. 81(U.S.1983).

(13) "THE OFFICERS OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC LAW, IN THE EXECUTION OF PROCESS, ARE OBLIGED TO KNOW THE REQUIREMENTS OF THEOCRATIC LAW, AND IF THEY MISTAKE THEM, WHETHER THROUGH IGNORANCE OR DESIGN, OR IF THEY SEEK TO ROB OR PILLAGE THE KING OR ANY OTHER CITIZEN OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND ANY ONE IS HARMED BY THE ERROR OR INJUSTICE, THEY MUST RESPOND IN DAMAGES AND ARE SUBJECT BY FINAL JUDGMENT TO THE KING/ KHALIFAH", SEE 28 U.S.C. § 2679; CAPITAL-TRANS-INTERN-LLC v. INTERNATIONAL-PETROLEUM-INV-CO, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 557236(Fla.2013); THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF MUHAMMAD(PBUH); ROSTERS v. MARSHALL, (UNITED STATES USE OF ROGERS v. CONKLIN) 1 WALL (U.S.) 644, 17 L.Ed. 714 (EMPHASIS ADDED); ENGLISH v. THORN-SURRA; THORTON v. MARYLAND-GENERAL-HOSP, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1943065 (Md.2013).

(14) "IT IS A GENERAL RULE THAT AN OFFICER-EXECUTIVE, ADMINISTRATIVE, QUASI-JUDICIAL, MINISTERIAL, OR OTHERWISE--WHO ACTS OUTSIDE THE SCOPE OF HIS JURISDICTION AND WITHOUT AUTHORIZATION OF THE KING/ KHALIFAH AND THE PROVISIONS OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC LAW MAY THEREBY RENDER HIM AMENABLE TO PERSONAL LIABILITY AND THE JUDGMENT OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC KING/ KHALIFAH AS HE IS GUIDED BY THE RUH OF ALLAH, THE HOLY SPIRIT", SEE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH); COOPER v. O'CONNOR, 69 App. D.C. 100, 99 F2d. 135, 118 A.L.R. 1440; CHAMBERLAIN v. CLAYTON, 56 IOWA 331, 9 N.W. 237, 41 Am. Rep. 101.

(15) "IN OUR GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, GOD AND HIS APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH, JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE, AL MAHDI, ARE SOVEREIGN,...AND THE GOVERNMENT AND OR PEOPLE



CANNOT SEVER ITS RELATIONSHIP TO THE ONE TRUE GOD OR HIS APPOINTED GLOBAL THEOCRATIC KING/ KHALIFAH,..." , AEROXIM, 387 U.S. at 257, 87 S.Ct. at 1662.

(17) "IN THE COMMON USAGE, THE TERM 'KING/ KHALIFAH' THOUGH HE BE A SERVANT OF GOD DESIGNATED TO PROTECT THE RIGHTS OF THE PEOPLE, DOES NOT INCLUDE THE PEOPLE, AND STATUTES AND THEOCRATIC LEGISLATION EMPLOYING IT WILL ORDINARILY NOT BE CONSTRUED TO DO SO", UNITED-STATES-V.-UNITED-MINE-WORKERS, 330 U.S. 258(1947), 91 L.Ed. 884, 67 S.Ct. 677.

(18) "SINCE IN COMMON USAGE, THE TERM 'KING/ KHALIFAH' , THOUGH THE PEOPLE BE A KINGDOM OF PRIEST, A KHALIFATE OF IMAMS, DOES NOT INCLUDE THE PEOPLE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE UNLESS SPECIFIED, STATUTES OR LAWS NOT IMPLYING THE PHRASES ARE ORDINARILY CONSTRUED TO EXCLUDE IT", 1 U.S.C.S. 1 n. 12; 28 U.S.C. § 1602-1612 ET. SEQ.,; BRADDY-V.-UNITED-STATES, 2016 WL 1031301 (E.D.Va.2016), (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD (PBUH); UNITED-STATES-V.-EX., 94 U.S. 315.

(19) "WHERE THE RIGHTS, DUTIES, AND OBLIGATIONS SECURED BY THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD (PBUH) AS RESTORED AND INTERPRETED BY JONAH IBN. YAQUB, THE TISHBITE, AL MAHDI, GOD'S APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE DECREES ARE INVOLVED, THERE CAN BE NO RULE MAKING OF LEGISLATION PAST, PRESENT OR FUTURE, OTHER THAN CHRIST, JESUS OF NAZARETH HIMSELF, WHICH WOULD ABROGATE THEM WITHIN ANY NATION", SEETHE ONE TRUE GOD; THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH); MIRANDA-V.-ARIZONA.

(20) "...THE CONGRESS, PARLIAMENT NOR ANY OTHER GLOBAL LAW MAKING BODY CANNOT OVERRIDE THE DECREES OF THE ONE TRUE GOD, GOD'S PROPHET, AND APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH JONAH IBN. YAQUB, THE LAWS OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, NOR CAN THEY REVOKE THE SOVEREIGN POWER OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC KING/ KHALIFAH, NOR THE POWER OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE", SEE THE ONE TRUE GOD; THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH); PERRY-V.-UNITED-STATES, 294 U.S. 330, 353(1935); ENGLISH-V.-THORN-SUPRA; ADAIR-ASSET-MANAGEMENT, LLC-V.-U.S.

(21) "INHERENT 'SOVEREIGNTY' IS ONE OF THE FOUNDATIONAL STRUCTURES OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC GOVERNMENT AS IS DECREED BY THE ONE TRUE GOD AND IS DESIGNED TO EXIST BY AND THROUGH THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD (PBUH) BEING A GOVERNMENT OF GOD, BY GOD AND FOR GOD. IN THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE SOVEREIGNTY RESIDES IN THE ONE TRUE GOD AND HIS SERVANT AND SLAVE, HIS APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH, JONAH IBN. YAQUB, THE TISHBITE, AL MAHDI, AND NO GLOBAL CONGRESS, PARLIAMENT OR ANY OTHER LEGISLATIVE BODY CAN EXERCISE ANY POWER WHICH THEY HAVE, IN CONTRADICTION OR OPPOSITION TO THEOCRATIC LAW AND THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, BY THEIR CONSTITUTIONS OR OTHERWISE ENTRUSTED TO THEM: ALL ELSE IS WITHHELD", (YOU CANNOT GIVE GAYS AND LESBIANS OUR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY WHICH IS GIVEN TO YOUR NATIONS UNDER "CONTRACT", "GRANT" WITH RESTRICTIONS), SEE THE ONE TRUE GOD; THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH); JULLIARD-v.-GREENMAN, 110 U.S. 421; ENGLISH-v.-THORN-SUPRA.; SAUNIER-v.-BOEING-COMPANY, F.Supp. 2d., 2014 WL 1646953(2014).

(22) "ALL THAT THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC KING/ KHALIFAH, JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE IBN. YAQUB, AL MAHDI AND THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE DOES AND PROVIDES LEGITIMATELY BY THE COMMAND OF THE ONE TRUE GOD, THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH) ARE IN PURSUIT OF ITS DUTY TO PURGE THE INNER-SANCTUM OF AFRICANS, ITS DIASPORA, CHRISTIANS, MUSLIMS AND JEWS, BELIEVERS, SERVANTS OF GOD WORLDWIDE, PURIFY THE PLACES OF WORSHIP AND HOLY TEACHINGS, ALL THAT ARE PERMITTED TO WORSHIP AND LEARN THEREIN, AND PREPARE THEM FOR THE RULE OF THEIR ETERNAL EARTHLY KING, KHALIFAH, IMAM, PROPHET AND HIGH PRIEST, CHRIST JESUS OF NAZARETH(PBUH), AND PROTECT THEIR RIGHTS AS HOLY HEIRS, KINGS, KHALIFAHS OF THE ETERNAL PROMISES OF GOD AS THEY REMAIN OBEDIENT, IN COVENANT TO GOD'S LAWS AND THE DECREES OF HIS APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH, AL MAHDI ( WYNHAMMER-v.-PEOPLE, 13 N.Y. 378; (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD[PBUH]) WHICH DUTY THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC KING/ KHALIFAH AND THE GLOBAL

THEOCRATIC STATE WITH ITS COMMONWEALTH OWE TO THEIR CREATOR WHO IS ONE, HAVING NO PARTNERS. THERE IS NO SUCH THING AS A "TRINITY". I, JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE IBN. YAQUB, AL MAHDI AND THE SERVANTS AND SLAVES OF THE ONE TRUE GOD; WHICH DEBT AND DUTY TO THEIR GOD IS NEVER EXTINGUISHED NOR DISCHARGED, BEING PERPETUAL, BY WAY OF GOD'S APPOINTED HIGH PRIEST OF THE LINE OF AARON, CHRIST(PBUT) AND THE LEVITICAL PRIESTHOOD, REDEEMS THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COMMONWEALTH OF AFRICA, ITS DIASPORA, CHRISTIANS, MUSLIMS AND JEWS, HEREBY NULLIFY AND OR SUPERCEDE ANY DEBT OR DUTY OWED BY THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC KING/ KHALIFAH AND THEOCRATIC COMMONWEALTH, EXTINGUISHING AND DISCHARGING SUCH THAT EXIST WITHIN ANY GLOBAL NATION AS DETERMINED AND DECREED BY GOD'S HIGH PRIEST, IMAM, PROPHET, KING AND KHALIFAH. NO MATTER WHAT ANY GLOBAL GOVERNMENT OR NATION PROVIDES FOR US IN ANY MANNER OF CONVENIENCE, CONSCIENCE OR SAFETY, THE SERVANTS, SLAVES OR KING/ KHALIFAH OWES NOTHING TO THE GLOBAL GOVERNMENTS", SEE THE ONE TRUE GOD; THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH); HALE-v.-HENKEL, 201 U.S. 43 at 74; LANSING-v.-SMITH, 4 WEND 9, 20 (1829); THE-PARLIAMENT-BELGE, 5 Prob. Div. 197; EXCHANGE, 7 CRANCH 116; VAVASSEUR-v.-KRUPP, 9 CH. DIV. 351.

(23) "UNDER THE FORM OF THE KING/ KHALIFAH'S GLOBAL THEOCRATIC GOVERNMENT, THE LEGISLATURE OR JUDICIARY IS NOT SUPREME. THEY ARE ONLY ORGANS OF THAT ABSOLUTE SOVEREIGNTY WHICH RESIDES WITH THE ONE TRUE GOD AND HIS APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH, JONAH THE TISHBITE, AL MAHDI. LIKE OTHER BODIES OF THE GOVERNMENT, IT CAN ONLY EXERCISE SUCH POWERS AS HAS BEEN DELEGATED TO IT, AND WHEN IT STEPS BEYOND THAT BOUNDARY OR THE DECREES OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC KING/ KHALIFAH, THEIR ACTS...ARE UTTERLY RENDERED VOID", SEE THE ONE TRUE GOD; THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH); BILLINGS-v.-HALL, 7 CA. 1 (COURT OF APPEALS, U.S.); 6-PET.-729,730; 7-CRANCH,42-& C. 1-PET.-340; 2-PET.-163; 3-PET.-203; 10-PET.-472 & C. 12-PET.-611.

(24) I, JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE IBN. YAQUB, AL MAHDI, BY HIS ORIGINAL STATUS AS SOVEREIGN KING, KHALIFAH

AND FIDUCIARY HEIR, BY WAY OF HIMSELF SECURED PERSON PURSUANT TO THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH, LEGAL BINDING CONTRANTS UNDER FEDERAL AND INTERNATIONAL PROBATE LAW, ALSO REGARDING THE CITIZENS OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, AS HIGH PRIEST AND DIRECT DESCENDANT OF AARON, OF MOSES, OF CHRIST THROUGH HIS BROTHER JAMES, OF THE LEVITICAL PRIESTHOOD, OF KING DAVID, OF KING SOLOMAN AND THE QUEEN OF SHEBA OF THE ETHIOPIAN THRONE(PBUT), HEREBY REDEEMS HIMSELF BY THE HOLY DECREE OF THE ONE TRUE GOD AND BY HOLY INHERITANCE, TO INCLUDE THE SERVANTS, SLAVES, CITIZENS OF GOD OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND DISCHARGES ANY DEBT WHICH MAY BE SAID TO EXIST OR BE OWED TO THE STATE OR GOVERNMENT OF ALL GLOBAL NATIONS BY HIMSELF OR THE THEOCRATIC COMMONWEALTH. THE GOVERNMENTS OF THE WORLD ARE, HOWEVER, INDEBTED CONTINUALLY TO THE ONE TRUE GOD AND GOD'S APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH AND THE CITIZENRY OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE BECAUSE THE ONE TRUE; HIS APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH JONAH AL MAHDI AND THE CITIZENRY OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE OF THE MOST HIGH GOD WHO ARE SANCTIONED AND BLESSED BY HIM CREATING ALSO A GLOBAL THEOCRATIC CORPORATION IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE SOLE CORPORATION SUFFER THEIR CONTINUAL SODOMITE PROTECTING, FALSE GOD WORSHIPPING, OVER CARNAL INDULGING, CAPITALISTIC DOG EAT DOG IDEOLOGY, BLASPHEMING RUMPS EXISTENCE. THE CONTINUAL DEBT OF THE GLOBAL DEMOCRATIC AND WORLDLY NATIONS AND OR GOVERNMENTS OWE TO THE ONE TRUE GOD, THE GLOBAL APPOINTED THOCRATIC KING/ KHALFAH OF THE THEOCRATIC STATE AND ITS COMMONWEALTH WHO ARE IN THEMSELVES KINGS AND KHALIFAHS IS DISCHARGED UPON SETTLEMENT OF THE \$100 TRILLION, DOLLAR AMOUNT WHICH IS ALSO TO BE ESTABLISHED BY LIEN UPON THE GLOBAL ASSETS OF THE (193) MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS AND OTHER RELIEF SOUGHT BEFORE THE VARIOUS COURTS BY WAY OF THE DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA DISTRICT COURT UNDER CASE 4:10-cv-4625-SBA, ALSO IN THE RICHLAND COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084, AND OR BY DECREE OF GOD'S APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH JONAH IBN. YAQUB, AL MAHDI, AND IS CONTINUED DISCHARGED UPON SUCH SETTLEMENT ONLY AS IF THEY DO NOT VIOLATE THE SOVEREIGNTY, LAWS AND RIGHTS OF THE KING/ KHALIFAH OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE INCLUDING ITS CITIZENS, AND WHEN THE GLOBAL WORLDLY GOVERNMENTS FALL AND

OR FAIL IN THEIR DUTY TO PROVIDE PROTECTION--DISCHARGE ITS DEBT TO THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, IT IS AN ABANDONMENT (AN INJURY) OF ANY AND ALL POWER, AUTHORITY OR VESTIGE OF "SOVEREIGNTY" WHICH POSSESSED AND THE LAWS REMAIN THE SAME UNTIL CHANGED BY THE ONE TRUE GOD, HIS APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH JONAH IBN. YAQUB, THE TISHBITE, AL MAHDI, THE SOVEREIGNTY OF ALL GLOBAL NATIONS REVERT BACK TO GOD, HIS APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH JONAH AL MAHDI AND THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE FROM WHENCE IT CAME." SEE THE ONE TRUE GOD; THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH); DOWN-v.-BIDWELL, 182 U.S. 277; UNITED-STATES-v.-JOHNSON,--Fed. Appx'--2014 WL 6764682 CA4 (N.C.2014); I.N.S. v.-CYR, 533 U.S. 289, 121 S.Ct. 2271(U.S.2001); DECATUR-v.-RAULDING, 39 U.S. 599, 1840 WL 6277 (MEM) U.S. 1840; HORNS-v.-WHALEN, 922 F2d. 835 CA4 (Va.1991); ALSO SEE SOURCES: BEFORE THE MAYFLOWER, A HISTORY OF BLACK AMERICA BY LERONE BENNETT; SUNA IBN MAJAH VOLUME # 5 ISBN. No. 81-7152-294-1 PAGES 391-395; SIGNS BEFORE THE DAY OF JUDGMENT, BY IBN KATHIR ISBN No. 1-870582-039 PAGES 18-24; ISAIAH 14:29-32; 41:25; WORLD'S GREATEST MEN OF COLOR, VOLUME 1 BY J.A. ROGERS ISBN No. 978-0-684-81581-7; THE KEBAR NAGAST OR "GLORY OF THE KINGS" A CHRONICLE OF THE RULERS OF ETHIOPIA; BUDGE E.A.W., THE QUEEN OF SHEBA AND HER ONLY SON MENYELEK, LONDON 1923; ORMONDE, CZENZI, SOLOMON AND THE QUEEN OF SHEBA, NEW YORK, FARRA STRAUS AND YOUNG 1954; ZECHARIAH 6:12-13; NATION-v.-COX-v.-SHALALA, 112 F3d. 151; ENGLISH-v.-GEN.-ELEC.-CO. 496 U.S. 72, 79, 100 S.Ct. 2270, 2275, 110 L.Ed.2d. 65(1990); O'BRIEN-v.-MOORE, 395 F3d. 499 CA4 (N.C.2005); BELL-v.-WOLFISH, 441 U.S. 520, 99 S.Ct. 1861(U.S. 1978); UNITED-STATES-v.-BANNISTER, 467 Fed. Appx' 175 CA4 (S.C. 2012).

(25) "THE INDIVIDUAL CITIZENS OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE MAY STAND UPON HIS RIGHTS OUTLINED BY THE (3) HOLY BOOKS, THE SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH) AND THE DECREES OF GOD'S APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH AS SERVANTS AND SLAVES OF THE ONE TRUE GOD, AS A KINGDOM OF PRIESTS, AS A KHALIFATE OF IMAMS. HE IS ENTITLED TO CARRY ON HIS OWN PRIVATE BUSINESS IN HIS OWN WAY AS LONG AS SUCH BUSINESS OR WAY DO NOT VIOLATE THE LAWS OF THE ONE TRUE GOD PURSUANT TO THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH

OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH) OR THE DECREES OF GOD'S APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH JONAH IBN. YAQUB. HIS POWER TO CONTRACT IS UNLIMITED AS LONG AS HE IS IN COMPLIANCE TO THE PRIOR CONDITIONS. HE OWES A DUTY TO THE ONE TRUE GOD, THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC KING AND THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE TO CONDUCT SUCH BUSINESS IN THE FEAR AND LOVE OF GOD, THE KING'S DECREES AND THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE WITH JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS AND UPON VIOLATION OF ANY OF THE PRE-EXISTING CONDITIONS, SUCH INJUSTICE OR ACTS THAT STAND IN VIOLATION OF THE LAWS OF THE ONE TRUE GOD, THE KING'S DECREES AND THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, BY THE NATURE OF THIS BUSINESS OR ACTS WILL BE DIVULGED AND OPEN TO INVESTIGATION, . . . HE OWES ALMANAH, DUTY AND RESPONSIBILITY TO THE ONE TRUE GOD, FORSAKING ALL OTHER GODS SINCE ALL HE OWNS AND THE KNOWLEDGE HE POSSESSES IS GIVEN HIM BY THE ONE TRUE GOD. HE WILL PAY ZAKAT AND OR TITHE. HE WILL ESTABLISH PRAYER BY WAY OF HIS RELIGIOUS COVENANT. HE WILL PURIFY HIS LIFE WALKING IN HOLINESS POSSESSING TAWQA OF ALLAH. THE MARRIAGE IS UNDEFILED, AS LONG AS IT IS NOT SAME SEX MARRIAGE. HE WILL GO UNTO HIS TILT AS HE WISHES. THE FATHERS OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE WILL TAKE THE LEAD RESPONSIBILITY FOR RAISING THE CHILDREN OF LIGHT, THE GODLY SEED, WHILE THE WOMEN ASSIST HIM. HIS HONOR, BLOOD AND PROPERTY ARE SACRED TO BE PROTECTED BY GOD'S APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH AND HIS FELLOW SERVANTS OF GOD, THE UMMAH OF THE "I AM", "ALLAH", "JEHOVAH", THE BODY OF CHRIST(PBUH) BEING MEMBERS OF EACH OTHER. HIS RIGHTS ARE SUCH AS EXISTED BY THE (3) HOLY BOOKS, THE SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH) AND THE DECREES OF THE KING/ KHALIFAH, AL MAHDI, THROUGHOUT THE LAND WITHIN ALL PROVINCES OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC SOVEREIGNTY, LONG ANTECEDENT TO THE ORGANIZATION OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND WORLDLY NATIONS, AND CAN ONLY BE TAKEN AWAY FROM HIM IF HE APOSTATE, WORSHIP FALSE GODS, BY DUE PROCESS LAW IN ACCORDANCE TO THE DECREES OF GOD'S APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH, THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND THE SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD (PBUH). HE OWES ALL. HIS BEST, HIS FIRST FRUITS, TO THE ONE TRUE GOD, MUST WANT FOR HIS BROTHER WHAT HE WANTS FOR HIMSELF AND SHALL NOT TRESPASS UPON THE RIGHTS OF THE KING/ KHALIFAH OR HIS FELLOW SERVANTS OF GOD, THE CITIZENS OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE." SEE THE ONE TRUE GOD; THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND

(26) "JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE IBN. YAQUB, AL MAHDI, GOD'S APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, IS NOT BOUND BY GENERAL WORDS IN STATUTES OR GLOBAL LAWS, RESTRICTIVE OF PREROGATIVE RIGHTS, TITLES OR INTEREST, UNLESS EXPRESSLY NAMED AND CONSENTED TO. ACTS OF LIMITATIONS DO NOT BIND AT THE KING/ KHALIFAH OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE NOR THE PEOPLE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE WHEN IT COMES TO THEIR DUTIES OR RELIGIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF BEING OBEDIENT TO THE LAWS AND DECREES OF THE ONE TRUE GODS. THE KING/ KHALIFAH JONAH IBN. YAQUB, AL MAHDI, IS THE VOICE OF THE ONE TRUE GOD BEING HIS MESSENGER IN THE FORM OF A MAN. THE KING/ KHALIFAH IS THE FINAL WORD ON ALL MATTERS CONCERNING MORALITY, JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS AND ON ALL MATTERS CONCERNING THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND ITS CITIZENRY. THE COMMON LAW MAXIM IS WITHOUT EFFECT WHEN IT ASSERTS, AN ACT OF PARLIAMENT AND OR CONGRESS AND OR ANY OTHER GLOBAL LEGISLATIVE BODY IS MADE FOR THE PUBLIC GOOD, THE ADVANCEMENT OF RELIGION OR JUSTICE, AND TO PREVENT INJURY OR WRONG, THE KING SHALL BE BOUND BY SUCH ACT, THOUGH NOT NAMED, FOR THIS KING, JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE IS ALSO A PROPHET OF THE ONE TRUE GOD, BEING THE VOICE OF THE ONE TRUE GOD IN THE FORM OF A MAN, BEING A MAN AFTER GOD'S OWN HEART, AND GOD'S APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE'S SENSE OF PUBLIC GOOD, THE ADVANCEMENT OF RELIGION OR JUSTICE AND EFFORTS TO PREVENT INJURY OR WRONG PURIFYING THE LAND AND PEOPLE BY THE WILL OF THE ONE TRUE GOD CONTAINED THEREIN, SUPERSEDES ANY NOTION OF LAW, PUBLIC GOOD, ADVANCEMENT OF RELIGION, JUSTICE ETC. PLACED FORTH BY THE WORLDLY GLOBAL GOVERNMENTS AND THEIR LEGISLATIVE BODIES. WHEN THERE EXIST A STATUTE OR LAW IN GENERAL IN ANY GLOBAL NATION, AND ANY PREROGATIVE RIGHTS, TITLES OR INTEREST WOULD BE DIVESTED OR TAKEN AWAY FROM GOD'S APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND THE HOLY CITIZENS OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, IN ANY SUCH CASE THEY SHALL NOT BE BOUND." SEE THE ONE TRUE GOD; THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF MUHAMMAD(PBUH); THE-PEOPLE-v.-HERKIMER, 15 AMERICAN DECISIONS 379, 4 COWEN N.Y. 345, 348(1825); KING'S DECREE-GOVERNMENT NO.(1) (2011); UNITED-STATES-v.-PEREZ, 752 F3d. 398, 88 Fed. R. SERV.3d.

1294 CA4 (N.C.2014); B.G.-GOUR, PLC. v. REPUBLIC OF ARGENTINA,  
134 S.Ct. 1198(U.S.2014); HOWSAM v. DEAN-WITTER-REYNOLDS-INC.,  
537 U.S. 79, 84, 123 S.Ct. 588, 154 L.Ed.2d. 491(2002); HENDY  
v. BELLO, 555 Fed. Appx' 224 CA4(Md.2014); COHEN v. VIRGINIA,  
6 WHEAT 264, 411; NICHOLS v. UNITED STATES, 7 WALL 122, 126;  
CARR v. UNITED STATES, 98 U.S. 433.

(27) "THE SUPREME COURT IN THE CASE OF WILLS v. MICHIGAN-STATE-POLICE, 105 L.Ed.2d. 45(1989) MADE-IT-BERFECTLY-CLEAR THAT-THE-SOVEREIGN-CANNOT-BE-NAMED-IN-ANY-STATUTE-AS-MERELY A-"PERSON"-OR-"ANY-PERSON"-INCLUDING-INDICTMENTS-WHICH-ARE PRODUCED-BY-STATUTE. [AFFIANT AND THOSE REDEEMED AND SECURED BY HIM BEING THE FIDUCIARY HEIR, KING, KHALIFAH OF THE (4) GLOBAL THEOCRATIC THRONES OF THE AFRICANS, ITS DIASPORA, CHRISTIANS, MUSLIMS AND JEWS, HIGH PRIEST OF THE LEVITICAL PRIESTHOOD OF THE ONE TRUE GOD ARE MEMBERS OF A SOVEREIGNTY AND FOREIGN (EMPHASIS ADDED) STATE, A KINGDOM OF PRIEST, A KHALIFATE OF IMAMS AS DEFINED UNDER LEGAL BINDING CONTRACT THAT CANNOT BE MADE OR UNMADE BY THE COURTS VIA THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH)]. THE KING/ KHALIFAH IS SOVEREIGN BY HIS ORIGINAL STATUS AS SOVEREIGN TO INCLUDE ALL THE ATTRIBUTES ATTRIBUTED TO HIM.", YICKS-WO v. HOPKINS, 118 U.S. 356. ENGLISH v. THORN, 676 F.Supp. 761(S.D.Miss.1987); THE-DRED-SCOTT CASER, 60 U.S 393; STOGSDILL v. SEBELIUS, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 5211483(DSC.2013); PETER-B. v. SANFORD, F.Supp.2d., 2012 WL 2149784; TARRANT-REGIONAL-WATER-DIST. v. HERMANN, 133 S.Ct. 2120, 186 L.Ed.2d. 153(U.S.2013); SAMANTAR v. YOUSEF, 560 U.S. 305, 130 S.Ct. 2278(U.S.2010); PERMANENT-MISSION-OF-INDIA-TO-THE-UNITED-NATIONS v. CITY-OF-NEW-YORK, 551 U.S. 193, 127 S.Ct. 2352, 168 L.Ed.2d. 85, U.S.L.W. 4433(U.S.2007).

(28) "THE PROPHET, LAWGIVER OF THE ONE TRUE GOD AND SOVEREIGNTY ITSELF IS, OF COURSE, NOT SUBJECT TO THE LAW, FOR HE IS THE "LAWGIVER" OF GOD AND IN SUCH IS THE AUTHOR AND SOURCE OF THE LAW BEFORE ALL MANKIND", YICKS-WO v. HOPKINS-AND-WOO LEE v. HOPKINS, 118 U.S. 356.

(29) "THE LAWS OF THE ONE TRUE GOD SUBSCRIBES TO



HIS APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE IBN. YAQUB, AL MAHDI, AND THE CITIZENS OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE THE ATTRIBUTE OF SOVEREIGNTY; HE IS SOVEREIGN AND INDEPENDENT WITHIN HIS OWN GLOBAL THEOCRATIC DOMINION AND HIS SUBJECTS ARE KINGS, KHALIFAHS UNDER HIM ALSO INDEPENDENT BUT SUBJUGATED TO THE KING/ KHALIFAH; HE AND HIS SUBJECTS OWE NO KIND OF SUBJECTION TO ANY OTHER PONTIFICATE OR GOVERNMENT ON EARTH FOR GOD'S LAWS SUPERSEDE ALL EARTHLY LAWS AND PLACE THEM IN HARMONY WITH ALL MANKIND BEING A GUIDE AND LIGHT UNTO THE WORLD. HENCE, IT IS, THAT NO SUIT OR ACTION CAN BE BROUGHT AGAINST GOD'S APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE OR HIS SUBJECTS, EVEN IN CIVIL MATTERS, BECAUSE NO COURT CAN HAVE JURISDICTION OVER HIM OR THEM, FOR ALL JURISDICTION IMPLIES SUPREMACY OF POWER", CHISOLM v. GEORGIA, 2 DALL 419, 458; ENGLISH v. THORN-SURRA.

(30) "IN THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE GOD'S APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH, JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE IBN. YAQUB, AL MAHDI, THE FIDUCIARY HEIR, IS SYNONYMOUS WITH THE SOVEREIGN POWER OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AS DECREED BY THE LAST WILL AND TESTAMENT, OF THE SOLE CORPORATION, THE KINGS AND HOLY PROPHETS OF THE ONE TRUE GOD BY WAY OF THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH) STANDING IN RIGHTEOUSNESS, LIGHT, TRUTH, JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS, WHICH CANNOT BE USURPED BY FORCE, FRAUD OR BOTH. OURS IS A GOVERNMENT FOUNDED UPON "COMPACT", "CONTRACT". IT IS FOUNDED UPON "COVENANT", SUBJUGATION TO THE ONE TRUE GOD, (3) IDENTICAL "COVENANTS", "CONTRACTS" OF MILK, HONEY, JEALOUSY AND SALT. SOVEREIGNTY WAS AND IS WITH THE ONE TRUE GOD AND HIS APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH, JONAH THE TISHBITE, AL MAHDI, AND NOT WITH THE PEOPLE EQUAL TO OR ABOVE THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC KING/ KHALIFAH OTHER THAN WHAT IS DESIGNATED BY THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD (PBUH), BEING A KINGDOM OF KINGS, WITH AL MAHDI ABOVE THEM, CHRIST BEING ABOVE AL MAHDI AND THE ONE TRUE GOD BEING ABOVE CHRIST HAVING NO PARTNERS", SEE (3) HOLY BOOKS; GLASS v. THE SLOOP-BETSY, 3 DALL 6 (DALLAS U.S. SUPREME COURT REPORTER).

(31) "THE RIGHTFUL FIDUCIARY HEIR, KING, KHALIFAH,

IMAM AND HIGH PRIEST OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE OF AFRICA, ITS DIASPORA, CHRISTIANS, MUSLIMS AND JEWS, JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE IBN. YAQUB, AL MAHDI, IS ENTITLED TO ALL RIGHTS WHICH BELONG TO GOD'S APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH BY HIS PREROGATIVE", LANSING-v.-SMITH, 4 WEND 9, 20(1829); (3) HOLY BOOKS.

(32) "GOD'S APPOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, JONAH IBN. YAQUB, AL MAHDI AND THE SERVANTS/ SLAVES OF THE MOST HIGH GOD ARE A FOREIGN GLOBAL THEOCRATIC GOVERNMENT OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, JONAH IBN. YAQUB, AL MAHDI, AND THE SERVANTS/ SLAVES OF THE MOST HIGH GOD ARE A FOREIGN GLOBAL GOVERNMENT AND NO OTHER GLOBAL GOVERNMENT CAN SEVER OR HINDER THEIR RELATIONSHIP BY TAKING AWAY THEIR CITIZENSHIP", AFROYIM-v.-RUSK, 387 U.S. 253(1967); (3) HOLY BOOKS.

NOTE: THE FOLLOWING DEFINITION OF SOVEREIGNTY IS SIMILAR TO THAT FROM BOUVIER'S 14th. EDITION LAW DICTIONARY (QUOTING 4 WHEAT 402). THE WORDS HAVE BEEN SLIGHTLY MODIFIED TO PAINT A MORE TRUE PICTURE OF THE MATTERS PRESENTED HERE:

"IT HAS BEEN JUSTLY THOUGHT A MATTER OF IMPORTANCE TO DETERMINE FROM WHAT SOURCE THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE UNDER THE FIDUCIARY HEIR, GOD'S APPOINTED AND ANOINTED KING, KHALIFAH, IMAM AND HIGH PRIEST, JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE IBN. YAQUB, AL MAHDI DERIVES ITS AUTHORITY. THE QUESTION HERE PROPOSED IS WHETHER OUR BOND RESTORED BY THEOCRATIC UNION, BEING MEMBERS OF ONE ANOTHER, THE UMMAH OF THE "I AM" "ALLAH", THE BODY OF CHRIST ARE (3) TRUE AND SANCTIONED BLESSED OF THE ONE TRUE GOD BLOOD "COVENANTS" "CONTRACTS" UNTO DEATH OF MILK, HONEY, JEALOUSY AND SALT ENTERED INTO BY THE SERVANTS AND SLAVES OF THE ONE TRUE GOD WHO THOUGH HE BEGETS NOT NOR IS BEGOTTEN, ADOPTS US BY OUR OBEDIENCE AS SONS AND DAUGHTERS, CO-HEIRS BEING OUR WALL, PROTECTOR, AND HE WHO SUSTAINS US THROUGHOUT ALL ETERNITY, OR WHETHER THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD (PBUH) ARE THE ORGANIC, LIVING, ESTABLISHED WORD AND LAWS OF THE ONE TRUE GOD OF THE HEAVENS AND EARTH, HAVING NO PARTNERS, WHICH SUPERSEDES AND OR RENDER VOID ALL

OTHER LAWS, HUMAN REASONING OR RIGHTEOUSNESS OF MANKIND REQUIRING THAT IT FOREVER BE GIVEN ITS PLACE AND HEEDED AND RESPECTED BY ALL NATIONS. TO THIS THE SOLE CORPORATION, GOD'S APPOINTED AND ANOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH, JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISSHBITE IBN. YAQUB, AL MAHDI AND THE CITIZENS OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE ANSWER THUS: THE FIDUCIARY HEIR, THE KING/ KHALIFAH AND THE SERVANTS, SLAVES OF THE MOST HIGH GOD, THE "I AM", "ALLAH", "JEHOVAH", "YHWH", TO HIM BELONGS THE BEST OF NAMES, OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE SANCTIONED AND BLESSED BY THE ONE TRUE GOD...ORDAIN, ESTABLISH AND WILL STAND BEHIND, WILL WALK IN THE TRUTH, RIGHTEOUSNESS AND LAWS OF THE ONE TRUE GOD AS THEY EXIST RESTORED BY JONAH IBN. YAQUB, AL MAHDI EVEN UNTO DEATH... THE GOVERNMENT OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, A FOREIGN STATE, AS RULED BY JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE IBN. YAQUB, AL MAHDI, HAD ONLY DELEGATED POWER FROM THE ONE TRUE GOD OF THE HEAVENS AND EARTH WHO RISES ABOVE HIS ISTAWA(THRONE) IN HONOR, GLORY AND POWER, AND EVEN IF GOD'S APPOINTED AND ANOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH, JONAH AL MAHDI, OR THE SERVANTS/ SLAVES/ CITIZENS OF THE MOST HIGH GOD AND GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE HAD AN INCLINATION, THEY HAD NO AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER THE POWER AND AUTHORITY OF GOD'S APPOINTED AND ANOINTED SOVEREIGN KING, KHALIFAH JONAH IBN. YAQUB EXCEPT TO THE KING/ KHALIFAH, IMAM AND HIGH PRIEST JESUS CHRIST OF NAZARETH(PBUH) UPON HIS APPEARANCE AS DECREED BY THE "I AM", "ALLAH". THE SOLE CORPORATION AND FIDUCIARY HEIR, GOD'S APPOINTED KING, KHALIFAH, IMAM, PROPHET AND HIGH PRIEST OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE RESTORED AND ADOPTED THE TEACHINGS, PRECEPTS, STATUTES AND LAWS OF THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH) BEING RULING LAW THROUGHOUT THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC DOMINION; WHICH BINDS THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND ITS COMMONWEALTH OF AFRICANS, ITS DIASPORA, CHRISTIANS, MUSLIMS AND JEWS WORLDWIDE AND ALL OTHER GOVERNMENTS OF THE WORLD WITHOUT THEIR OR ANY OTHER GLOBAL NATION'S CONSENT. THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AND OR GOVERNMENT RULED BY JONAH IBN. YAQUB, AL MAHDI, THE KING OF THE NORTH, ORDAINED AND SANCTIONED BY THE ONE TRUE GOD AND SOLE CORPORATION CARRIES BATTLE AXE, SWORD, SPEAR, HELMET, BREASTPLATE, BODY SHIELD AND BUCKLER, BY WAY OF THEIR GOD APPOINTED IMAM, HIGH PRIEST, KING AND KHALIFAH, DECREED BY THE "I AM", "ALLAH", TO EXECUTE JUSTICE, FAIRNESS

OR WRATH UPON ANY GLOBAL EVILDOER WHO WOULD USURP THE DECREES, LAWS AND AUTHORITY OF THE ONE TRUE GOD. THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE AS A WHOLE, THEREFORE, EMANATES FROM THE ONE TRUE GOD AS RULED BY HIS FIDUCIARY HEIR, HIS APPOINTED AND ANOINTED KING/ KHALIFAH JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE IBN. YAQUB, AL MAHDI AND ALSO FROM THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH) RESTORED AND OR INTERPRETED BY JONAH IBN YAQUB, AL MAHDI, AND NOT FROM THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC CITIZENRY OR COMMON-WEALTH THOUGH THEY BE KINGS AND KHALIFAHS THEMSELVES. THE LAWS OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE CONTAINED THEREIN, WHETHER MADE BEFORE OR SINCE THE ADOPTION OF THE RESTORED AND OR INTERPRETED TEACHINGS OF AL MAHDI, ARE SUBORDINATE, THE KING-KHALIFAH ALSO BEING A MESSENGER AND PROPHET OF THE ONE TRUE GOD TO UNITE ALL AFRICANS, ITS DIASPORA, CHRISTIANS, MUSLIMS AND JEWS AROUND THE ENTIRE WORLD UNDER ONE BANNER TO PREPARE THEM FOR THE RETURN OF GOD'S APPOINTED CHRIST, JESUS OF NAZARETH, MY GREAT ETC. GRAND UNCLE(PBUH)", ENGLISH-v.-THORN, 676 F.Supp. 761.

GOD'S APPOINTED KING OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, THE SOLE CORPORATION, IS THE FOUNTAIN OF SOVEREIGNTY. THE WHOLE IS ORIGINALLY WITH HIM AS HIS OWN. THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC GOVERNMENT, PROVINCES, ORGANIZATIONS, CORPORATIONS, PLACES OF LEARNING, MOSQUES, SYNAGOGUES, CHURCHES, ENTITIES, AGENCIES AND OR AGENTS ETC. ARE BUT TRUSTEES ACTING UNDER A DERIVED AUTHORITY, AND HAVE NO POWER TO DELEGATE WHAT IS NOT DELEGATED TO THEM. BUT THE FIDUCIARY HEIR, GOD'S APPOINTED AND ANOINTED KING, KHALIFAH, IMAM, PROPHET AND HIGH PRIEST, JONAH AL MAHDI, AS THE ORIGINAL FOUNTAIN, MIGHT TAKE AWAY WHAT HE HAD LENT AND ENTRUST TO WHOM HE PLEASE. HE HAS THE WHOLE TITLE, AND AS ABSOLUTE PROPRIETOR, HAVE THE RIGHT OF USING AND ABUSING--JUS UTENDI ET ABUTENDI. IT IS A MAXIM CONSECRATED IN THEOCRATIC LAW, FOREIGN LAW, IN PUBLIC LAW AS WELL AS COMMON SENSE AND NECESSITY OF THE CASE THAT A SOVEREIGN IS ANSWERABLE FOR HIS ACTS ONLY TO HIS GOD AND HIS CONSCIENCE ALSO BEING IN THIS CASE PROPHET, LAWGIVER OF GOD.....THERE IS NO AUTHORITY WITHIN ANY GLOBAL NATION ABOVE THE SOVEREIGN AND PROPHET JONAH IBN. YAQUB, AL MAHDI, TO WHICH AN APPEAL CAN BE MADE BEING THE VOICE OF GOD IN THE FORM OF A MAN. SEE THE ONE TRUE GOD; THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF

MUHAMMAD(PBUH); 4 WHEAT 402 (BOUVIER'S 14th. EDITION LAW DICTIONARY: "SOVEREIGNTY").

(33) "THE CONGRESS, PARLIAMENT OR ANY OTHER GLOBAL LEGISLATIVE BODY CANNOT REVOKE THE SOVEREIGN POWER OF GOD'S APPOINTED AND ANOINTED KING, KHALIFAH, IMAM, PROPHET AND HIGH PRIEST OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE IBN. YAQUB, AL MAHDI TO OVERRIDE HIS WILL AS THUS DECLARED. THIS INCLUDES ANY GLOBAL COURT, PERRY-V.-UNITED-STATES, (1935) 294 U.S. 330, 353; ENGLISH-V.-THORN-SUPRA; UNITED-STATES V.-WHEELER, 98 S.Ct. 1079 at 1083(1978).

**NOTE:** THE ABOVE POINTS AND AUTHORITIES ARE NOT EXHAUSTIVE AND ADDITIONS CAN BE MADE AND OR ADDED AT ANY TIME.

**" A SOVEREIGN IS ANSWERABLE ONLY TO GOD AND CONSCIENCE".**

**CAVEAT**

THAT THE WORLD BANK, ALL MEMBER STATES AND NATIONS OF THE UNITED NATIONS, THE KINGDOM OF SAUDI ARABIA, ALL OTHER GLOBAL NATIONS, THE U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE, THE U.S. STATE DEPARTMENT, THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, THE UNITED STATES AND THE SEVERAL STATES CONTAINED THEREIN, THE VATICAN, THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL, THE S.C. DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS, ALL GLOBAL BANKING ENTITIES AND ALL OTHER DEFENDANTS LISTED IN THE U.N. DOCUMENT AS WELL AS THOSE LISTED IN THE DOCUMENTS FILED IN CASE 4:10-cv-4625-SBA IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT, , THOSE LISTED IN CASES 2013-CP-400-0084, 2294 IN THE RICHLAND COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS, UPON RECEIPT OF THIS MEMORANDUM OF LAW ON THE SOVEREIGNTY OF GOD'S APPOINTED AND ANOINTED KING, KHALIFAH, IMAM AND HIGH PRIEST AND THE COMMONWEALTH OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE, REDEEMED AND SECURED BY GOD'S HIGH PRIEST OF THE LINE OF AARON AND THE LEVITICAL PRIESTHOOD WITH POINTS AND AUTHORITIES (VIA CERTIFIED MAIL # [SEE PAGE 1] NOTICE AND DEMAND IS MADE UPON YOU TO REVIEW AND RESPOND TO THE ABOVE MEMORANDUM AND EACH "POINT" AND "AUTHORITIES" AS ENUMERATED ABOVE AND ITS LIEN AND DOCUMENT UPON THE PUBLIC RECORD AND IN CASES

4:10-cv-4625-SBA AND 2013-CP-400-0084, 2294, AND BY CERTIFIED,  
U.S. MAIL TO THE SOVEREIGN AS IS ADDRESSED BELOW, WITHIN (15)  
DAYS UPON RECEIPT OF THIS MEMORANDUM, ALLOWING UP TO (3) DAYS  
GRACE FOR RETURN MAIL DELIVERY.

FAILURE TO DO SO, AS BY EITHER A PUBLIC SERVANT WHO BY  
OATH OF OFFICE OR DUTY AS AN "OFFICER", "AGENT" OR "EMPLOYEE"  
OF A GOVERNMENT CREATED CORPORATION, MINICIPALITY, ETC. AND  
OR BY AND THROUGH YOUR POSITION, OFFICE OR SUPERIOR KNOWLEDGE  
OF LAW, WILL PLACE YOU IN DEFAULT, AND THE PRESUMPTION WILL  
BE TAKEN UPON THE PRIVATE, COURT AND PUBLIC RECORD THAT YOU  
AND YOUR OFFICE AND OR GLOBAL GOVERNMENTS AND OR COMPANIES,  
CORPORATIONS, ORGANIZATIONS AND OR ENTITIES, FULLY AGREE TO  
THE "POINTS AND AUTHORITIES" WITH ITS LIEN(S) CONTAINED WITHIN  
THIS MEMORANDUM AND THAT THE "POINTS AND AUTHORITIES" WITH ITS  
LIEN(S) ARE TRUE, CORRECT AND CERTAIN (F.R.C.P. 8d),...AND THAT  
GOD'S APPOINTED AND ANOINTED KING, KHALIFAH, IMAM, PROPHET AND  
HIGH PRIEST JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE, AL MAHDI, AS NAMED  
BELOW AND HIS SEAL ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ IS SOVEREIGN WITHIN THE COLLECTIVE  
CAPACITY OF SAID APPOINTED KING, KHALIFAH OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRA-  
TIC GOVERNMENT AND POSSESS TRUE SOVEREIGN POWER, 18 U.S.C. §  
1116(a)(b)(4); 18 U.S.C. § 1116(a)(b)(2)(3)(A).....

THIS DOCUMENT IS WHAT THE UNITED STATES AND THE REMAINING  
(192) MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS DEFAULTED ON. THIS  
IS WHAT THE U.S. CONGRESS, THE U.S. SENATE, THE JUSTICE DEPART-  
MENT, THE U.S. STATE DEPARTMENT, THE U.S. TREASURY, HILLARY  
CLINTON, ERIC HOLDER, THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL AND ALL DEFEN-  
DANTS INVOLVED DEFAULTED ON AND IS WHY JUDGE MARCHANT AND THE  
S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGES IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT,  
CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE DO NOT WANT TO  
COME INTO THE FEDERAL COURT AS EVIDENCE. UNLESS THE DEFENDANTS  
INVOLVED CAN PRODUCE AN ORDER EMERGING FROM THE RICHLAND COUNTY  
COURT OF COMMON PLEAS UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 THAT IS NOT  
COMPROMISED BY ACTS OF FRAUD AND OR REMOVAL. THE DOCUMENT LEGALLY  
STANDS AND IS THE SUPREME LAW OF THE LAND AND I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI,  
AM SOVEREIGN BY MY ORIGINAL STATUS AS SOVEREIGN THOUSANDS OF  
YEARS BEFORE THIS NATION WAS FORMED BY LEGAL BINDING CONTRACT

WHICH CANNOT BE MADE OR UNMADE BY THE COURTS, WHICH INCLUDE ALL RIGHTS, PRIVILEGES AND ATTRIBUTES THE FEDERAL JUDGES ARE CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY TO DENY ME TO PREVENT EVIDENCE OF THEIR CRIMES FROM ENTERING THE COURT. YOUR LAWS HAVE NO EFFECT UPON ME AND I CAN ACT IN ANY CAPACITY I SO DESIRE DUE TO PROPER SERVICE, NOTICE AND DEFAULT EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084. THIS DOCUMENT IS ONLY THE "TIP" OF THE ICEBERG AS TO WHAT IS FILED WITHIN THAT STATE CASE. THE LEGAL ISSUES OF RELIGIOUS PROPHECY THAT'S BEFORE THIS COURT RELATED TO THE GOURDINE CASE ARE PREVIOUSLY FILED IN THE RICHLAND CASE. REPARATIONS FOR THE U.S. SLAVE TRADE, LAND APPROPRIATION OF ANCESTRAL LANDS WITHIN THE KINGDOM OF SAUDI ARABIA, LAND CALLED "FADAK" GIVEN TO MY GREAT ETC. GRANDMOTHER FATIMA BY THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD(PBUH), THE "GITMO" DETAINEES SITUATION, ALL OF THIS AND MORE IS DEFAULTED ON BY THE GLOBAL NATIONS TO INCLUDE THE UNITED STATES WHICH IS WHY THEY DO NOT WANT THIS EVIDENCE TO ENTER INTO THE FEDERAL COURT. THE "2013" PREFIX IS A MISNOMER. THE ORIGINAL CASE NUMBERS ARE 2006-CP-400-3567, 3568, 3569 STILL PENDING BEING MADE A PART OF THE "2013" CASE ESTABLISHING EQUITABLE TOLLING FOR THE HABEAS CORPUS PROVING WE WERE DILIGENT FOR OVER (10) YEARS WORKING TO HAVE THESE MATTERS HEARD BEFORE THE COURT ONLY TO BE SUBJECTED TO FRAUD AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. ROSS-v.-BLAKE, 136 S.Ct. 1850(2016) ATTACHES AND WE DO NOT HAVE TO EXHAUST FOR ANY CASE TO WHICH THE KING-KHALIFAH IS PARTY. THE 2013-CP-400-0084 CASE ESTABLISHES A PAPER TRAIL AND EVIDENCE EXPANDING OVER (10) YEARS THAT PROVE THEIR CRIMES ARGUED WITHIN THESE PARALLEL CASES REQUIRING REMOVAL. JAHJAH CAN ACT AS ATTORNEY OR IN ANY CAPACITY HE SO DESIRES UNLESS IT CAN BE PROVEN BY CLEAR EVIDENCE THAT THE DEFENDANTS TIMELY RESPONDED IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 TO DEFEAT THE DEFAULT ESTABLISHED BY THE FILED AFFIDAVITS. THE DEFENDANTS IN TOTAL MUST BE SERVED ALL THE PLEADINGS WITHIN THIS COURT AND BELOW TO GIVE THEM OPPORTUNITY TO REBUT, BUT SINCE THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL WHO IS PARTY TO THE DEFAULT IN QUESTION IS BEFORE THIS COURT UNDER CASE 17-6960. LET HIM PROVE THAT HE OR THE ATTORNEY FOR HIM TIMELY RESPONDED TO DEFEAT THE DEFAULT BEFORE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT NOW. I, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, AM THE FIDUCIARY HEIR, KING AND KHALIFAH WITH ALL RIGHTS ESTABLISHED TO DEFEND MY PEOPLE

IN ANY GLOBAL COURT WITHOUT EXCEPTION, AND NO ONE CAN LEGALLY PREVENT ME DUE TO THE DEFAULT. YOU CANNOT CREATE LAW EX POST FACTO TO FORCE ME TO BREACH MY FIDUCIARY DUTIES AND CONTRACT ESTABLISHED BY THE SOLE CORPORATION AS FIDUCIARY HEIR OBLIGATED TO DEFEND MY GOD AND MY PEOPLE. THE FEDERAL COURT HAD JURISDICTION UNDER 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332, 1346, 2201, 2202, 2679, 1602-1612 ET. SEQ.,; ARTICLE III SECTIONS 1 AND 2; ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10; THE C.A.T. TREATY; THE RICO ACT; FEDERAL AND INTERNATIONAL PROBATE LAW; 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985(2), 1985(3), 1986, 12203(a)(b) OF ADA; THE ANTI-PEONAGE ACT, THE 1st., 4th., 5th., 6th., 7th., 8th., 13th., 14th., AND 15th. AMENDMENTS AND OTHER LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES. IT IS SO ORDERD BY DECREE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT. THE FIDUCIARY AND JUDICIAL DUTY COMMANDS IT, 29 U.S.C.A. § 1104; SPIRES-V. SCHOOLS,--F.Supp.3d.--, 2017 WL 4174774(DSC.2017); FIFTH-THIRD BANGOR-V. DUDENHOEFFER, 132 S.Ct. 2459, 189 L.Ed.2d. 457, 82 U.S.L.W. 4578(U.S.2014); PEREZ-V. CHIMES-DISTRICT-OF-COLUMBIA, INC., F.Supp.3d., 2016 WL 6124679(D.C.Md.2016).

REPARATION WERE ARGUED FOR, FOUGHT AND WON BY THE DEFAULT EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 FOR THE U.S. SLAVE TRADE WHERE AS THE FIDUCIARY HEIR, KING, KHALIFAH OF THE UNITED ETHIOPIAN EMPIRE, THE DIRECT DESCENDANT OF KING SOLOMOM AND THE QUEEN OF SHEBA, EVEN ARGUING DECEDENT DOMICILE CLAIMS FOR MY FORE FATHERS AND MOTHERS WHO DIED ON AFRICAN SOIL AND DURING THE MIDDLE PASSAGE. THESE DECEDENT DOMICILE CLAIMS ATTACHED AND WERE DEFAULTED ON BY THE (193) MEMBER STATE OF THE UNITED NATIONS. RACISM IS A STRUCTURAL RELATIONSHIP BASED UPON THE SUBORDINATION OF ONE RACIAL GROUP BY ANOTHER. GIVEN THIS PROSPECTIVE, THE DETERMINING FEATURE OF RACE RELATIONS IS NOT PREJUDICE TOWARD BLACKS OR BLACKS TOWARD WHITES, BUT RATHER THE SUPERIOR POSITION, NOT CULTURE, NOT GENETICS OR SOME FALLACIOUS SUPPOSE SUPERIOR INTELLECT OF WHITES, BUT THE SUPERIOR POSITION OF WHITES AND THE INSTITUTIONS--IDEOLOGICAL AS WELL AS STRUCTURAL--WHICH MAINTAIN THAT SUPERIOR POSITION. AFRICAN AMERICANS HAVE ENDURED ALL MANNER OF INDIGNITIES, SUFFERINGS, DEPRIVATIONS, LOSSES AND IMPAIRMENTS UNDER THE REIGN OF WHITE SUPREMACY IN ITS VARIOUS HISTORICAL AND CONTEMPORARY FORMS. THE NEGATIVE EFFECTS AND



AFTEREFFECTS OF WHITE DOMINATION SHALL LAST FOR GENERATIONS AMONG ALL BLACK PEOPLES, ITS PRIMARY SUBJECTS. THE CENTRAL FUNCTION OF WHITE COMMANDEERED APARTHEID WAS TO FORCIBLY AND EXPLOITATIVELY EXTRACT THE MATERIAL, HUMAN AND PRODUCTIVE WEALTH OF BLACK PEOPLES AND REDISTRIBUTE THEM TO THEIR WHITE OVERLORDS. THE WEALTH POWER AND INFLUENCE WHITES EXPROPRIATED THROUGH THEIR COERCIVE DOMINATION AND EXPLOITATION OF BLACK LAND, LABOR AND PRODUCTIVITY HAVE CONTINUED TO EXPONENTIALLY EXPAND AND PERPETUATE THEMSELVES BY COMPOUNDING THE INTEREST ON THEIR ORIGINAL AND CONCURRENT PRINCIPLE. WHITE DOMINATION OF BLACKS, EVEN IF CONFINED TO THE PAST, ALLOWED WHITES TO ACCUMULATE ASTRONOMICAL WEALTH. MOREOVER, IT HAS ALLOWED WHITES TO CAPITALIZE THAT WEALTH BY DEVELOPING SOCIOECONOMICAL, TECHNOLOGIES AND SOCIOPOLITICAL ADVANTAGES WHICH WILL FACILITATE THEIR CONTINUING ECONOMIC AND POLITICAL DOMINATION OF BLACKS IN THE PRESENT AND INTO THE FUTURE EVEN UNDER GOVERNMENTAL REGIMES WHICH DO NOT LEGALLY OR POLITICALLY SANCTION RACIAL DISCRIMINATION OF ANY KIND, WHETHER OF THE FORWARD OR REVERSE VARIETY. REPARATIONS MUST BE GIVEN TO OFFSET THIS INJUSTICE. AS THE FIDUCIARY HEIR, AS MARTIN LUTHER KING JR.'S SUCCESSOR, JAHJAH AL MAHDI IS CALLED UPON BY CONTRACT, COVENANT, TO ADDRESS THESE INJUSTICES WHICH CANNOT BE MADE OR UNMADE BY THE COURTS PROTECTED UNDER ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. A STATE OR GOVERNMENT, ESPECIALLY IN LIGHT OF THE DEFAULT, MAY NOT EXCLUDE A PERSON FROM THE PRACTICE OF LAW (LAWGIVER) OR ANY OTHER OCCUPATION (FIDUCIARY HEIR) IN A MANNER OR FOR REASONS THAT CONTRAVENE THE DUE PROCESS OR EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE OF THE 14TH. AMENDMENT. TO PREVENT ME FROM ACTING IN THE CAPACITY ESTABLISHED BY THE DEFAULT WOULD DENY ME THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS BEHIND RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED, SCHWARE-v.-BOARD-OF-EXAM.-OF-STATE-OF-N.M. 353 U.S. 232, 77 S.Ct. 752, 64 A.L.R.2d. 288, 1 L.Ed.2d. 796(U.S. 1957); FREIRE-v.-SULLIVAN, 2017 WL 3710066(D.C.Nev.2017); VIRGINIA-BOARD-OF-MEDICINE-v.-ZACKRISON, 67 Va. App. 461, 796 S.E. 2d. 866(2017); DOE-v.-ROGERS, 139 F.Supp.3d. 120(D.C.C.2015); BOLLS-v.-VIRGINIA-BD.-OF-BAR-EXAMINERS, 811 F.Supp.2d. 1260 (E.D.Va.2011).

NOTICE: THIS IS AN ISSUE ON APPEAL. ATTACH THE COURT

AND PARTIES WILL FIND: A COPY OF THE WRIT OF MANDAMUS THAT MAKE UP CASE 16-2299. ALL ARGUMENTS, CLAIMS AND ISSUES PRESENTED ARE NOW SOUGHT ADDRESSED IN THIS APPEAL. IF THE COURT WOULD TAKE NOTICE OF PAGES 12 THROUGH 24 IT EXPLAINS THE TRUST AND BENEFICIARY NATURE OF THE TRUST. THE SOLE CORPORATION WANTS ITS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RETURNED. ALL SAME SEX MARRIAGES NATIONALLY AND GLOBALLY ARE TO BE RENDERED VOID AND OF A NULLITY. DO IT BY JUDICIAL ORDER SINCE THE UNITED NATIONS IS PARTY TO THE DEFAULT EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 BY DECREE AND JUDGMENT OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT. IT IS SO ORDERED.

28 U.S.C. § 2679 PROVIDES:

"THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT SHALL HAVE EXCLUSIVE JURISDICTION FOR REMEDY AGAINST THE UNITED STATES PROVIDED BY SECTION 1346(b) AND 2672 OF THIS TITLE FOR INJURY OR LOSS OF PROPERTY (INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY/ THE RIGHT TO MARRY GIVEN TO YOU AS A "GRANT" WITH RESTRICTIONS), OR PERSONAL INJURY OR DEATH ARISING OR RESULTING FROM NEGLIGENCE OR WRONGFUL ACT OR OMISSION OF ANY EMPLOYEE OF THE GOVERNMENT WHILE ACTING WITHIN THE SCOPE OF HIS OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT IS EXCLUSIVE TO ANY OTHER CIVIL ACTION OR PROCEEDING.....; SANDRA-J.-KOTTMAN-PLAINTIFF-V.-UNITED-STATES, 2017 WL 4185481 (W.D.MISSOURI.2017); WARD-V.-ANERBAUGH, 2017 WL 2724938 (D.C.Miss.2017).

**NOTICE:** ANOTHER ISSUE ON APPEAL. DO WE HAVE THE RIGHT FOR DISCOVERY PURSUANT TO WEARRY-V.-GAIN, 136 S.C.t. 1002(2016). THE FEDERAL JUDGES ARE CONSPIRING TO CONCEAL MATERIAL FACTS IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001. WE WANT CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 REMOVED TO INCLUDE ALL DOCUMENTS CONTAINED THEREIN. WE WANT THAT DNA OBTAINED AND TESTED TO MICHAEL LEE AND THE OTHER EVIDENCE SOUGHT VIA THE FORM 24. WE WANT THAT INJUNCTION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER GRANTED IMMEDIATELY.

**NOTICE:** ANOTHER ISSUE ON APPEAL. DID THE DISTRICT COURT ABUSE ITS DISCRETION BY NOT GIVING REVIEW VIA THE PROPERLY FILED WRIT OF ERROR. UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES THE WRIT OF ERROR WAS

THE APPROPRIATE VEHICLE TO USE. SEE THE (44) PAGE COMPLAINT ON PAGES 13-14.

**NOTICE:** ANOTHER ISSUE ON APPEAL. BY THE LITIGATION PRESENTED DID WE ESTABLISH EQUITABLE TOLLING BY OUR CONTINUAL DILIGENCE SINCE 2006?

**NOTICE:** ANOTHER ISSUE ON APPEAL. BY THE LITIGATION, TO INCLUDE THAT ARGUED IN THE DOCUMENT SEEKING INJUNCTION. DID WE MEET THE CRITERION FOR FILING HABEAS CORPUS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(B)?

**NOTICE:** ANOTHER ISSUE ON APPEAL. BY THE LITIGATION, TO INCLUDE THAT ARGUED IN THE DOCUMENT SEEKING INJUNCTION. DID WE MEET THE CRITERION FOR FILING HABEAS CORPUS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(C)?

**NOTICE:** ANOTHER ISSUE ON APPEAL. DO HUMPHREYS OR PREISER APPLY SINCE THE CONVICTIONS ARE ALREADY INVALIDATED BY THE FALSE IMPRISONMENT TORT WHICH IS WHAT CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 IS AMONG OTHER THINGS?

**NOTICE:** ANOTHER ISSUE ON APPEAL. WE WANT THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL TO PRODUCE A DOCUMENT FILED BY THEM IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 DEMONSTRATING THAT ANY OF THEM TIMELY FILED RESPONSE TO DEFEAT THE DEFAULT DOCUMENTS FILED AT THE END OF 2015.

THE LAWGIVER OF GOD RIGHTS, TITLES AND SOVEREIGNTY MUST BE GIVEN FULL FAITH, CREDIT AND SWAY. FOR THERE IS A HIGHER LOYALTY THAN TO LOYALTY TO THIS COUNTRY. THAT IS LOYALTY TO THE ONE TRUE GOD, UNITED STATES v. SEEGER, 380 U.S. 163, 172, 85 S.Ct. 850, 13 L.Ed.2d. 733(U.S.1965). ALSO SEE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES ACT 5 U.S.C. § 556(d).

**NOTICE:** IF ANY OF THE CASES LISTED WITHIN THR CAPTION HAVE BEEN CLOSED. WE MOTION TO REINSTATE ANY SUCH CASE. IF ANY OF THE CASES MANDATE HAS BEEN SENT TO THE LOWER COURT. WE MOTION TO RECALL IT TO HAVE ALL ISSUES ADDRESSED WHERE DUE TO PRESENT

STATE INTERFERENCE WE HAVE THE DEFENDANTS IN THESE PARALLEL CASES, S.C.D.C., CONSPIRING TO PUSH US PAST THE DEADLINES ESTABLISHED BY THE COURT TO FORCE A PROCEDURAL COMPLIANCE ISSUE. THEY ARE MAKING EFFORTS TO PREVENT AND OR DELAY OUR MAIL FROM GOING OUT. WE MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND THAT OUR FILINGS BE DEEMED TIMELY.

**NOTICE:** THE APPEAL OF THESE PARALLEL CASES WILL BE HEARD BASED UPON APPEAL OF CASE 2:17-1127-JMC-MGB; 9:17-cv-1140-TLW-BM AND 8:16-cv-3328-RBH-JDA. ALL NECESSARY DOCUMENTS SHOULD BE FILED WITHIN THESE CASES. THE 4TH. CIRCUIT JUDGES SHALL SIT EN BANC ABSENT OF THOSE JUDGES SOUGHT RECUSED. COMITY ATTACHES.

**NOTICE:** DO RES JUDICATA ATTACH BY JUDGE WEST IN APPEAL UNDER CASE 17-6925 WHERE SHE DETERMINED THE § 1983 ACTION FILED FIT THE LEGAL DESIGNATION AS BEING AN AFFIDAVIT REQUIRING THE PARTIES TO BE SERVED BEFORE THE CASES ARE DISMISSED ONCE THE LISTING OF THE PARTIES IS CORRECTED WITHIN ALL COURT RECORDS? DO WE HAVE A RIGHT TO AN EVIDENTIARY HEARING, THE APPOINTMENT OF LEGAL COUNSEL AS SOUGHT AND REMOVAL PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 455(c)? THESE ARE ISSUES ON APPEAL.

**NOTICE:** WE RENEW THE MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND THE 4TH. CIRCUIT NOW BE DEEMED IN FORFEITURE ON THE ISSUE OF WHETHER OR NOT THE PROVISIONS OF THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA ARE UNCONSTITUTIONAL. EVER SINCE THESE PARALLEL OR RELATED CASES WITHIN THE 4TH. CIRCUIT BEGAN. THE COURT CONSISTENTLY SENT US FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS WITH PLRA WRITTEN ALL OVER THEM. THUS, THE CHALLENGE OF ADDRESSING THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THESE PROVISIONS OF LAW SHIFTED FROM THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT TO THE 4TH. CIRCUIT BECAUSE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT WAS ALSO MAKING USE OF THESE PROVISIONS, COMPROMISING THE PROCEEDINGS ESTABLISHING STRUCTURAL ERROR WHICH VOID JURISDICTION. NOW IN ACTS OF FRAUD AND MACHINATION TO CIRCUMVENT RULING ON THE ISSUE, THE 4TH. CIRCUIT IN CASE 17-7186 NOW SENDS DIFFERENT IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS? WE OBJECT AND A COPY OF FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS UNDER PLRA IS NOW FILED FOR CASE 17-7186 TO STOP THE

POTENTIAL FRAUD. IT IS CONSPICUOUS THAT ONE OR TWO OF THE JUDGES SOUGHT RECUSED ARE INVOLVED IN THIS ACT AS WELL. SANCTIONS ARE IMPOSED AND THE 4TH. CIRCUIT IS IN FORFEITURE ON THE ISSUE. IT IS SO ORDERED.

INSOMUCH, THE LITIGATION ADDRESSING THESE UNCONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS IS SEEN ON PAGES 15-22 OF THE (44) PAGE COMPLAINT. ITS NOT GIBBERISH OR UNINTELLIGIBLE. NOT ONLY ARE THE ORDERS AND CASES THAT ARE NOW BEFORE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT ARE TAINTED AND VOID, BECOMING ILLEGAL PROCEEDINGS. BUT ALSO ALL ORDERS ISSUED WITHIN THE LOWER DISTRICT COURTS BY JUDGES HODGES, BAKER, WEST, AUSTIN AND MARCHANT. ALL OF THEM MUST BE RENDERED VOID FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION AND AN ABUSE OF DISCRETION, TO INCLUDE IT BEING ADDRESSED THAT JUDGE AUSTIN VIOLATED HER DUTIES AS THE KING-KHALIFAH'S APPOINTED TRUSTEE. THE 4TH. CIRCUIT JUDGES INVOLVED HAVE BROKEN THE LAW BY THEIR ORDERS AND THE ESTABLISHING OF ALL OF THESE CASES AS THEY PRESENTLY EXIST. THE USE OF AEDPA BY HODGES; EVERY CASE THAT NOW EXIST WITHIN THE 4TH. CIRCUIT; EVERY CASE CITED BY JUDGES MARCHANT, BAKER, WEST AND JUDGE AUSTIN THEY USED TO DENY CLASS ACTION CERTIFICATION OR JOINDER OF PARTIES, OR FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS OR RECUSAL AS CITED, TO INCLUDE CASES SUCH AS 612 F3d. 237; 136 S.Ct. 627; 262 F3d. 1194; 15 F3d. 319; 2012 WL 2805712; 2013 WL 3051155; 42 U.S.C. § 1997 IF IT HAS ANY CONNECTION RELATED TO THE CLINTON BILL; 534 U.S. 516; 548 U.S. 81; GENERAL RULE ORDER UNDER 3:07-MC-5014; 5:17-cv-0105; 4:16-cv-2939; 1:16-cv-3853; 4:16-cv-3104; 8:16-cv-3327, 3328, 3194-RBH-JDA; 8:14-cv-3555-RBH-JDA; 0:16-cv-992; 2013 WL 1316025; 2008 WL 249167; 407 U.S. 163; 509 F2d. 1405; 213 F3d. 1320; 175 Fed. Appx' 552; ANY CASE THAT WAS FILED IN THE PAST SINCE 2006 BY ALL OTHER PAST PARTIES TO THESE CASES; TO INCLUDE EVERY CASE FILED BY THE KING-KHALIFAH, JAHJAH AL MAHDI, FOR WHICH THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT OR ANY OTHER FEDERAL COURT UNJUSTLY ISSUED A "STRIKE" IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURTS , OR THAT THEY PREVIOUSLY RULED ON AND OR DISMISSED. ALL OF THESE CASES ARE TAINTED AND CANNOT ANY LONGER BE USED BY ANY COURT BEING ILLEGAL. ALL OF THESE CASES TAINTS THEIR ORDERS RENDERING THEM VOID FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION AND AN ABUSE OF

DISCRETION, BECAUSE ALL OF THESE CASES AND CITINGS OF LAW ARE RENDERED INVOKING THE PROVISIONS OF THE PRISON LITIGATION REFORM ACT AND OR THE ANTI-TERRORISM EFFECTIVE DEATH PENALTY ACT ORIGINATING FROM THE OMNIBUS CRIME BILL UNDER 51 A.L.R. Fed.2d. 143 SET IN PLACE BY THE CLINTON ADMINISTRATION'S WAR ON DRUGS CAMPAIGN MAKING THEM ILLEGAL.

WE EXPAND THE SCOPE AND SEEK INCLUSION EVEN IN THE 4TH. CIRCUIT AND THE BOOK ENTITLED, "MASS INCARCERATION DURING THE AGE OF COLOR BLINDNESS, THE NEW JIM CROW", BY MICHELLE ALEXANDER ISBN. NO. 978-1-59558-643-8 AN INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATOR AND THE DOCUMENTARY "13" THAT AIRED ON PBS IS NOW A PART OF ALL COURT RECORDS INVOLVED. UNLESS YOU BY SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE CAN SUFFICIENTLY REBUT THE EVIDENCE AND STATISTICS GATHERED BY THESE INDEPENDENT SOURCES. IF THESE PROVISIONS OF LAW, THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA ARE UNCONSTITUTIONAL BY THE EVIDENCE AND LITIGATION PRESENTED, WHICH THEY ARE, STANDING IN EGREGIOUS VIOLATION OF THE HOLDINGS MADE IN EX-PARTE-VIRGINIA, 100 U.S. 339(1880) AND THE-SLAUGHTER-HOUSE-CASES, 83 U.S. (16 WALL) 36, 1873.

THE LAW AS DETERMINED BY THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT IS CLEAR ON ISSUES SUCH AS THESE, WHICH INCLUDE THE STATE-V. GENTRY CASE, PLRA, AEDPA AND 51 A.L.R. Fed.2d. 143 AS A WHOLE. IF RULING HAS BEEN OBTAINED UNDER AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL STATUTE AND OR LEGISLATIVE PROVISION AND OR INTERPRETATION OF LAW. THE COURT EXPLAINED THAT IF THIS POSITION IS WELL TAKEN, WHICH IT IS, IT EFFECTS THE "FOUNDATION" OF THE "WHOLE" (EMPHASIS ADDED) PROCEEDING. AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW OR JUDICIAL DETERMINATION IS VOID AND IS AS IF THERE WERE NO DETERMINATION AT ALL. WHERE DIRECT AND OR COLLATERAL REVIEW PROCEEDINGS PERMIT PERSONS TO CHALLENGE THE LAWFULNESS OF THEIR CONFINEMENT, STATES CANNOT REFUSE TO GIVE RETROACTIVE EFFECT TO SUBSTANTIVE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT THAT DETERMINES THE OUTCOME OF THAT CHALLENGE OR JUDICIAL DETERMINATION (ei. STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS, SUCCESSIVE, JURISDICTIONAL REQUISITES OR PREREQUISITES, PLRA AND AEDPA FILINGS ETC.). A CONVICTION OR JUDICIAL DETERMINATION RENDERED UNDER AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW OR INTERPRETATION OF LAW IS NOT MERELY

ERRONEOUS. BUT IT IS ILLEGAL AND VOID, AND CANNOT BE A LEGAL CAUSE OF IMPRISONMENT OR JUDICIAL DETERMINATION. A SENTENCE, CONVICTION, LEGISLATION, OR EVEN A JUDICIAL DETERMINATION IMPOSED IN VIOLATION OF A SUBSTANTIVE RULE (ei. INDICTMENTS ARE TO BE ADJUDICATED UNDER THE DUE PROCESS PRONG TO SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION AND YOU DON'T USE LAW OR LEGISLATION THAT DISPROPORTIONATELY TARGET AFRICAN AMERICANS) OF CONSTITUTIONAL LAW IS NOT MERELY ERRONEOUS, BUT CONTRARY TO LAW, AND IT FOLLOWS, AS A GENERAL PRINCIPLE, THAT A COURT HAS NO AUTHORITY (AUTHORITY=POWER=JURISDICTION) TO LEAVE IN PLACE A CONVICTION, SENTENCE, LEGISLATION (SUCH AS THAT WHICH VIOLATE EX-PARTE-VIRGINIA AND THE-SLAUGHTER-HOUSE-CASES), OR A JUDICIAL DETERMINATION THAT VIOLATES A SUBSTANTIVE RULE, REGARDLESS OF WHETHER THE CONVICTION, SENTENCE, LEGISLATION, OR JUDICIAL DETERMINATION BECOMES FINAL BEFORE THE RULE IS ANNOUNCED. THIS IS CHALLENGE TO THE 4TH. CIRCUIT'S JURISDICTION DUE TO YOUR USE OF THE PLRA AND OR THE AEDPA PROVISIONS AND YOU CONSPIRING TO CIRCUMVENT RULING UNDER CASE 17-7186. YOUR JURISDICTION IS MADE VOID FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION, FRAUD FOR TRYING TO GET PAST RULING UNDER 17-7186 AND YOU FORFEIT ON THE CAUSES PRESENTED. THE STATE-V-GENTRY CASE, THE PLRA, THE AEDPA, ALL OF THE WRITS OF MANDAMUS DETERMINATIONS, 51 A.L.R. Fed.2d. 143 AND OTHER ISSUES ARGUED WITHIN ALL OF THESE CASES CANNOT STAND AND YOU MUST GRANT ALL RELIEF SOUGHT BY LAW AND DETERMINATION OF THE SUPERSEDING AUTHORITY OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC COURT. IT IS SO ORDERD, MONTGOMERY-V-LOUISIANA, 136 S.Ct. 718, 193 L.Ed.2d. 599, 84 U.S.L.W. 4063(U.S.2016); GEET-OUTDOORS-LLC-V-CONSOLIDATION-CITY-OF-INDIANAPOLIS-AAA, 187 F.Supp.3d. 1002, 1012, S.D.Ill.; HILL-V-SNYDER, 821 F3d. 763, 765+ (6th.Cir.MICH.); PEOPLE-V-SOLO, N.E.3d., 2017 WL 1838423(2017).

SINCE THE MONTGOMERY CASE WAS ISSUED BY THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT. A FEW STATES, COURTS OR PARTIES, CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW AND OR AUTHORITY, MADE EFFORTS TO SKIRT, CIRCUMVENT, THE REQUIREMENT OF GRANTING RELIEF, FRAUDULENTLY ASSERTING AND OR ARGUING AGAINST WHETHER OR NOT THE RULING WAS TO BE GIVEN RETROACTIVE EFFECT, WHICH OF COURSE IT DOES HAVE RETROACTIVE EFFECT, TO INCLUDE WHAT THE 4TH. CIRCUIT JUST TRIED

TO PULL UNDER CASE 17-7186. WE ARE NOT GOING TO PLAY GAMES WITH THIS LAW LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, BECAUSE EVEN THOUGH IT IS TO BE GIVEN RETROACTIVE EFFECT. WE WERE NOT DECEIVED BY THE "VERBAL VODOO", "THE WORD WINX", "THE LINGUISTIC LURING INTO LETHARGY", "THE JUDICIAL CHICANERY" OR DECEPTIVE LEGAL MANEUVERS OR TACTICS EMPLOYED AND ENGAGED IN BY THOSE CONSPIRING COURTS OR PARTIES, TO INCLUDE WHAT JUST OCCURRED RELATED TO CASE 17-7186. LETS "NAIL" THIS THING AND KILL ANY EFFORT FOR THE CONSPIRING PARTIES TO ATTEMPT TO USE THIS MANEUVER OR ANY OTHER. WE WANT YOU TO KNOW THAT WE ARE FULLY COGNIZANT OF WHAT THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT ESTABLISHED BY THE MONTGOMERY CASE. EVEN THOUGH THAT CASE DEALT WITH JUVENILES. THE SUBSIDIARY FACT HERE IS THAT THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT CLEARLY ADDRESSED WHAT OCCUR WHEN COURTS MAKE USE OF "ANY" (EMPHASIS ADDED) UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW, LEGISLATION, SENTENCE ETC. RELATED TO CONVICTION OR JUDICIAL DETERMINATIONS.

INSOMUCH, WHAT MAKES THE RELIEF THAT WE SEEK MANDATORY AND AN ABUSE OF DISCRETION FOR FAILURE TO ACKNOWLEDGE AND GRANT, IS THE ESSENTIAL LANGUAGE USED AND ADJUDICATED BY THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT WHICH THE AFORESAID CONSPIRING COURTS FAILED TO ADDRESS, SKIRTING, CIRCUMVENTING THE OBLIGATION TO GRANT RELIEF. THE FOCUS IS NOT SO MUCH ON WHETHER THE RELIEF BY USE OF UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW APPLIES RETROACTIVELY, EVEN THOUGH IT DOES. THE CONSPIRING COURTS ABUSED THEIR DISCRETION IN ACTS OF FRAUD TO DIVERT ATTENTION AWAY FROM THE U.S. SUPREME COURT'S ESSENTIAL LANGUAGE. THE EMPHASIS IS ON SECTIONS "20-27" OF THE MONTGOMERY CASE WHERE THE U.S. SUPREME COURT STATED IN [E]AEC [V]ERBA:

"THE LAW EXPLAINED THAT IF THIS POSITION IS WELL TAKEN, WHICH IT IS, IT EFFECTS THE "FOUNDATION" OF THE "WHOLE" PROCEEDING. AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW OR JUDICIAL DETERMINATION IS VOID AND IS AS IF THERE WERE NO LAW DETERMINED AT ALL".

BY THIS LANGUAGE, IT IS PERSPICUOUS THAT THE U.S. SUPREME COURT ADJUDICATED AND USED. THEIR CLEAR INTENT WAS TO MAKE THE USE OF "ANY" UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAWS, LEGISLATION, JUDICIAL DETER-



MINATIONS OF THIS MAGNITUDE A "CONSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURAL ERROR" NOT SUBJECT TO THE HARMLESS ERROR DOCTRINE. THEIR INTENT WAS TO MAKE IT "JURISDICTIONAL" IN NATURE, WHICH "VOIDS" JURISDICTION FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION. THEREFORE, WHETHER YOU WANT TO APPLY IT RETROACTIVE OR NOT. IT DOESN'T MATTER, BECAUSE THE USE OF UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW OF THIS MAGNITUDE IS "STRUCTURAL" (ei. "FOUNDATION", "WHOLE") AND IS "JURISDICTIONAL" (ei. AUTHORITY= JURISDICTION=POWER TO HEAR AND DETERMINE), WHICH CANNOT BE WAIVED BY THE PLAINTIFFS, AND DUE TO THE INFIRMITIES CAN BE RAISED AT ANY TIME EVEN AFTER A FINAL ORDER HAS BEEN ISSUED, AND THE COURT SHALL NOT FAIL TO TAKE NOTICE. THUS, WHETHER OR NOT SUCH A CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATION APPLIES RETROACTIVELY IN THIS CASE IS IRRELEVANT, EVEN THOUGH IT DOES. IT IS ALSO "JURISDICTIONAL" AND "VOID" JURISDICTION FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION, TAINING THE ENTIRE FRAMEWORK OF ALL THE PROCEEDINGS INVOLVED, VINES v. UNITED STATES, 28 F3d. 1123 CRIM. LAW 1163(1), 1165(1); ROBINSON v. ARXONIO, 27 F3d. 877 REHEARING DENIED, CERT. GRANTED VACATED 115 S.Ct. 1247, 513 U.S. 1186, 131 L.Ed.2d. 129; LOHMIET v. UNITED STATES, 65 F.Supp.3d. 19(2014); MONTGOMERY v. LOUISIANA 136 S.Ct. 718(2016); WHITE v. MANIS, 2014 WL 1513280(DSC.2014); GRUPO DALAFLUX v. ATLAS GLOBAL GROUP, L.P. 124 S.Ct. 1920(2004).

JUDGES GREGORY, SHEDD, DIAZ, THE OTHER 4TH. CIRCUIT CASES CAPTIONED, MARCHANT, WEST, HODGES AND BAKER BROKE THE LAW. TRUSTEE AUSTIN VIOLATED THE TRUST, HER DUTIES AS TRUSTEE, BY USING THIS LAW WARRANTING SANCTIONS. THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGES AND THOSE SOUGHT WITHIN THE 4TH. CIRCUIT MUST RECUSE. JUDGE AUSTIN MUST BE REQUIRED TO FULFILL HER DUTIES AS TRUSTEE. THIS IS AN ISSUE ON APPEAL. WITH THE PLRA AND THE AEDPA BEING UNCONSTITUTIONAL; THE COURT IS REQUIRED TO ALLOW US THE RIGHTS ESTABLISHED FOR INMATES PRIOR TO THE TIME THESE ENACTMENTS WERE ESTABLISHED. JAHJAH MUST BE PERMITTED TO ACT UPON THE RIGHTS ESTABLISHED BY THE CONTRACT WHICH CANNOT BE MADE OR UNMADE BY THE COURTS. THUS, JOINT FILING AND CLASS ACTION CERTIFICATION IS PERMITTED AS IT WAS BEFORE THESE PROVISIONS EVOLVED. TO NOT ALLOW SUCH WOULD VIOLATE THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE. YOU ADD TO THIS THE INVOKING OF ATTORNEY, JUDICIAL AND LEGISLA-


TIVE SUPERSEDING POWER GIVEN TO JAHJAH AL MAHDI BY THE DEFAULT AND CLAIMS OF COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CP-400-0084, ALLOWING HIM TO JOIN AS PARTY IN ALL PENDING PARALLEL CASES TO BRING ACTION BEFORE ALL COURTS DUE TO HIS ACQUIRED INTEREST UNDER 28 U.S.C. §§ 1443(1), 2679, 1602-1612 ET. SEQ.. THE MANDAMUS CASES IN THE 4TH. CIRCUIT, ALL CASES USED BY GREGORY ,SHEDD, DIAZ ETC., ALSO JUDGES MARCHANT ETC. PRODUCED INVOKING THESE UNCONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS ARE VOID AND ARE IF THERE WERE NO DETERMINATION OCCURRING AT ALL BY DECREE OF THE U.S. SUPREME COURT'S STATED POSITION AS WELL AS BY DECREE AND JUDGMENT OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE WHOSE SUPERSEDING POWER AND AUTHORITY BINDS THIS COURT BY THE UNITED STATES AND OTHER MEMBERS OF THE U.N. BEING PARTY TO THE DEFAULT. HUMPHREYS NOR PREISER ARE BINDING ON US SINCE THE CONVICTIONS ARE ALREADY INVALIDATED BY CASE 2013-CP-400-0084, PEOPLE-V-SOLO SUPRA; N.L.R.B.-V-AMAX-COAL-CO.,-ET-AL-DIV.-OF-AMAX-INC. 453 U.S. 322, 101 S.Ct. 2789(U.S.1981); FIFTH-THIRD-BONCORP.-V-DUDENHOEFFER, 134 S.Ct. 2459, 189 L.Ed.2d. 457(U.S.2014); FOBES V-FORBES, 341 P.3d. 1041, 2015 WY. 13 JAN. 23, 2015; SCOTT V-CLARKE, 61 F.Supp.3d. 569(W.Va.2014); BURNELL-V-FAHEY, 699 F3d. 804 (MEM)(4TH.CIR.2012); U.S.-V-\$41,320-U.S.-CURRENCY, 9 F.Supp.3d. 582, 2014 WL 1266240; BROWN-V-U.S. 2014 WL 2871398 (DSC.2014); MARSHALL-V-ROCK-HILL, S.E.2d., 2015 WL 3884258(S.C. App.2015); CAPITAL-TRANS-INTERN,LLC.-V-INTERNATIONAL-PETROLEUM INV.-CO. F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 557236(Fla.2013).

JUDGE AUSTIN AS APPOINTED TRUSTEE OVER THESE CASES WAS REQUIRED TO ACT WITH THE UTMOST OF INTEGRITY. INSTEAD SHE ACTED WITH RECREANT AND OPPROBRIOUS INTENT, AS DID THE OTHER FEDERAL JUDGES. THIS IS CHALLENGE TO THE JURISDICTION OF ALL COURTS INVOLVED ESSENTIALLY ESTABLISHING A REMAND LIMITING, RESTRICTING YOUR JURISDICTION TO DO NOTHING EXCEPT GRANT THE RELIEF DEMANDED. ONCE JURISDICTION IS ACQUIRED,..IT IS EXCLUSIVE. YOUR ACTIONS VIOLATE THE CONTRACT, THE GRANT, GIVEN TO YOUR NATIONS BY THE SOLE CORPORATION IMPAIRING THE OBLIGATION OF THE CONTRACT IN VIOLATION OF ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION ESTABLISHING CAUSE BEFORE ALL COURTS INVOLVED, PRESAULT-V-I.C.C. 494 U.S. 1, 110 S.Ct. 914, 108 L.Ed.2d. 1 (U.S.1990); BROWN


v. BROWN, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 2338233(D.C.Ky.2013); HARRIS v. HEGREGG-INC., F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1331166(N.C.2013); AMERICAN MUT. LIBERTY-INS. CO. v. PLYWOOD-PLASTICS-CORP. 81 F.Supp. 157(DSC.1948); OBARAH v. NEW-YORK-DEPT. OF-EDUC. F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 4240733(N.Y.D.C.2015). THE WRITS OF MANDAMUS, ALL OF THEM, ARE TO BE GRANTED. IT IS SO ORDERED.

RESPECTFULLY,

JAHJAH AL MAHDI, KING, KHALIFAH  
AND CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE GLOBAL  
THEOCRATIC STATE AND COURT



ANTHONY COOK



ROBERT MITCHELL




DAVID DUREN



YAHYA MUQUIT



CHRISTOPHER WILSON



OCTOBER 5, 2017