

The United States District Court
The District of Massachusetts

LAWRENCE L CRAW-
ford AKA JONAH
GABRIEL JAHJAH
T. TISHBITA et al,
petitioners

clA
14-cv-14176-ADB

affidavit of
SERVICE

vs.

Students for Fair
Admissions Inc (SFAA)
President of HARVARD
College et al,
defendants

1 of 48

FILED
IN CLERK'S OFFICE
OCT 5 2018
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

I, JAHJAH Al mahdi, do hereby certify, that I have mailed and or served a copy of an affidavit of facts giving judicial notice, motions to challenge the US district courts jurisdiction, motions to transfer pursuant to the multi district litigation rule and the seeking of a 3 judge panel review, motion to inter venue and amend the parties, motion for a pre trial and or evidentiary hearing, motion for federal custody and motion to motion therefor pursuant to Federal Rules 18, 19, 20, 16 (a) (5) (2) (E),

2 of 48

24 (a) (2) (b) (1) (B); 6.2 | 6.3 | 57 VI.01;
60(b) (3) | 28 USC §§ 1404, 1407, 1455(c),
1602-1612 et. seq., of the Massachusetts
District Court and all involved parties
by US mail postage prepaid by
depositing it in the institution
mail box on ~~September~~ 29, 2018.
It is deemed filed that date,
Houston v Lack, 207 US, 266, 273-76,
108 S Ct 2379 (1988).

Respectfully,
JAHJAH AL MAHDI



September 29, 2018

The United States District Court
The District of Massachusetts

LAWRENCE L CRAW-
ford aka JONAH
GABRIEL JAHJAH
T. TISHBITE et al
PETITIONER(S)

CLA

1:14-cv-14176-ADB

Affidavit of
Facts giving
judicial notice
motion to challenge
the US District
Court's jurisdiction
motion to transfer
pursuant to the
multi district
litigation rule
applied

vs.

Students For
Fair Admissions
Inc.

vs.

the seeking of a
3-judge panel
review; motion
to intervene
and amended the
parties; motion
for a pretrial
and or evidentiary
hearing; motion
for federal
custody and motion
to motion
therefor pursuant
to Federal Rules
18, 19, 20, 26 (a)(5)(A);

The President and
Fellows of HARVARD
COLLEGE et al
defendants

24 (a)(2)(b)(1)(B); 6.2;
6.3; 57 U.S.C. 01; 60(b)
(3); 28 USC §§ 1404,
1407, 1455(c), 1602-
1612 et seq.; WRIT
of HABEAS

To: The US District Court,
The US Justice Dept.,
Attorney Michelle JURNAGUE
et al,

IZAH AH AL MAHDI, A member
of the SOLE CORPORATION, JUDICIARY FOREIGN
SOVEREIGN their King, Khalifah, Prophet,
LAW GIVER, Imam and High Priest of
the (C) THRONES, TO THE RE-ESTABLISHED
Global THEOCRATIC STATE give all
60848

parties Judicial Notice. Pursuant
 to Fed Rules of Civ Pro., RULES 18, 19,
 20 and 24 (a) (2) (b) (1) (B), I motion to
 intervene in this case. I motion
 to be added as a party along with
 the defendants HARVARD University
 and the attorney for the NAACP
 in order to protect my acquired
 interest in this case also pursuant
 to 28 USC §§ 1602-1612 et seq. of
 The Foreign Sovereign Immunity
 Act. Writ of ERROR is now filed

I, JAHYAH Al mahdi, fiduciary
 their, King-Khalifah to the (C) Global
 Thrones challenge the courts

JURISDICTION TO ~~EVER~~ HEAR THIS
 CASE. SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTIONS
 CAN BE RAISED AT ANY TIME, CANNOT
 BE WAIVED BY ME AND THE COURT
 SHALL NOT FAIL TO TAKE NOTICE OR IT
 WILL CONSTITUTE AN ACT OF FRAUD
 UPON THE COURT RENDERING THESE
 PROCEEDINGS UNCONSTITUTIONAL WHICH
 WOULD VOID YOUR JURISDICTIONS FOR
 DUE PROCESS VIOLATIONS AS WELL, GRUPO
DALAFLEX v ATLAS GLOBAL GROUP, L.P.,
 541 US 567, 124 S.Ct 1920, 158 L.Ed.2d 866
 (US 2004); LOUMIET v UNITED STATES, 65
 F.Supp.3d 19 (2014); US v JUDAH, F.Supp.2d,
 2007 WL 2156666 (D.S.C. 2007); SABELLUS
v AUBURN REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTER, 133

Set 817, 184 ~~Lead~~ 627, 81 USLW
4053 (US 2013); THE RIE GENESYS DATA
Technologies, Inc., 204 Fed 124 (4th
Cir 2000); United States v Conrad, 675
Fed Appx 263, 265 CA4 (Mc 2017); Joy
Ex Rel Joy v Elk Run Coal Co. Inc., 739
Fed 131, 87 Fed. R. Serv. 3d 534 (4th Cir
2014); US V IDENEDO, 556 US 904, 129 S Ct 2013.

HERE THE COURT AND PARTIES WILL

Find:

(1) Exhibit, "0084 # 1". This is the
(32) page amended complaint dated
filed JANUARY 16, 2013 in case 2013-
CP-400-0084 out of Richland County
S. CAROLINA Common Pleas Court.

9 of 48

PAGES 1 AND 8 ARE THE INITIAL INDICATIONS THAT THESE PROCEEDINGS, INCLUDING THIS CASE PRESENTLY BEFORE THIS COURT INVOLVING HARVARD UNIVERSITY CONSTITUTE MULTI-DISTRICT LITIGATION.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE OF PAGES 2, 3, 7, 9, 10. THEY INDICATE THAT PAUL GUNTER AND KRISTY KOHL MADE APPEARANCE IN THIS CASE, ARE REPRESENTATIVES OF THE UNITED STATES AND IN FRAUD OF THE COURT AND PARTIES CONCEALED THEIR APPEARANCE. IT IS PERSPICUOUS BY PAGE (3) OF THIS DOCUMENT AND THEIR EMAIL ADDRESS THAT WE ARE DEALING WITH EMPLOYEES OF THE U.S. GOVERNMENT. IT DOESN'T MATTER THAT THE STATE DEFENDANTS DEFAULTED IN THIS CASE.

11 of 48

The United States did by hiding
 their appearance and failing to
 respond, which binds this court
 and all state parties by the
 supremacy clause. Voluntary
 appearance by a party is equivalent
 to service. An appearance may be
 expressly made by a party, such
 that the court acquires jurisdiction
 over the party, by a formal written
 or oral declaration as the United
 Nations did filed in this case, or
 record entry. By these documents
 filed in this case we have record
 entry, or it may be implied by some
 act done with the intention of
 appearing and submitting to the

COURTS JURISDICTION. THE RECEIVING
 BY THE UNITED STATES VIA ITS AGENTS
 OF PLEADINGS FROM THE COURT IS
 SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE OF APPEARING
 AND SUBMITTING TO THE COURTS JURIS-
 DICTION. STEARNS BANK NAT ASSN
V GREEN WOOD FALLS, LP, 373 SC 331,
 644 SE 2d 793 REHEARING DENIED
 CERT. DENIED (SC APP. 2007) BRANCH
BANKING AND TRUST CO. V HUNT, 304 S.W.3d
 205 W.L. 2073047 (TSC 2015).

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE OF PAGE(S) 4,
 5, 11, 12, 13, 14, 19 AND 20. IT IS CON-
 SPICUOUS THAT THE KING-KHALIFAH
 FILED "AFFIDAVITS OF FACTS". JUDGE
 LEE MADE AN ORAL DETERMINATION
 OF LAW AND FACT AND ADJUDICATED, "ma-
 13 of 48

Crawford you filed the documents
 as an "Affidavit of Facts" but you
 also placed the word "motion" in
 them. If the word "motion" is in
 them, I have to Rule on them.
 If the word "motion" is taken out
 of the documents, they do not
 have to be ruled on. They stand
 unless timely rebutted by the court
 or parties". This oral determina-
 tion made by Judge Lee in that
 April 3, 2014 hearing to which the
 United States is party is also supported
 by Federal Law. SEE McKelvey v.

Reynolds, FSupp 3d, 2016 WL 6518337
 (DSC 2016); Clanton v. Tolbey, FSupp 2d,

2013 WL 1786416 (DSC 2013); DiEl Zotto
v Universal Physicians Services, LLC,
 214 F.Supp.3d. 499 (DSC 2016); FARRARA
v QUADROZZI EQUIPMENT LEASING CORP.,
 2013 WL 3226735 (E.D. NY 2013); And-
erson v Liberty Lobby Inc., 477 U.S.
 242, 106 S.Ct. 2505, 91 L.Ed.2d 202 (US 1986);
THE REI CLEAN BURN FUELS LLC, 2014 WL
 2987330 (W.D. Va 2014); Williams v SECRET-
ARY of VETERANS AFFAIRS - PSUPP.3d -,
 2015 WL 5935169 (W.D. Va 2015); MILLER
v PARRISH, PSUPP.2d., 2013 WL 1068028
 (Va 2013).

The absence of a written decision
 on a particular issue for purposes
 of collateral estoppel party can point
 to the transcript and or court docu-
 15 of 48

merits and on the oral decision
 such as the one made by Judge
 Lee made in the April 4, 2014
 hearing containing findings of fact.
In RE Smith, 2016 WL 3943710 (MD
 2016) | In RE QIAO Lin, 576 B.R. 32
 (N.Y. 2017) | New Hampshire v Maine,
 532 US 742, 121 S.Ct 1808, 149 L.Ed.2d
 968 (US 2001) | Baker by Thomas v General
 Motors Corp, 522 US 222, 118 S.Ct
 657, 139 L.Ed.2d 580 (US 1998) | Gates
 v Strain, 2017 WL 2417051 (E.D. La 2017).

(5) Exhibit, "Judge Lee # 2". This is
 the (92) page Affidavit of Facts, # 2, # 2, # 2,
 filed May 13, 2014. The King-Khalifah
 and Anthony Cook then followed
 Judge Lee's oral determination.
 16 of 48

And then re-filed the default document due to other FRAUD occurring, producing "JUDGE LEE # 2" as an Affidavit of Facts without the word "motion" in it as was determined by JUDGE LEE. This document sat in the COURT RECORD unchallenged by the PARTIES since its filing until this PRESENT date.

(6) Exhibit, "JUDGE LEE # 3". This is the (152) page document filed October 4, 2015. The King-Khalifah and Anthony Cook then filed exhibit, "JUDGE LEE # 3" in the October 4, 2015 hearing, explaining how jurisdiction can be voided for Unconstitutional Action and

DUE PROCESS VIOLATIONS ALSO ADDRESSING
 THEIR OTHER EGREGIOUS ACTS OF FRAUD
 IN THAT OCTOBER 4, 2015 HEARING
 WHICH FURTHER CONSTITUTE THESE
 PROCEEDINGS ESTABLISH MULTI-DISTRICT
 LITIGATION.

(C) EXHIBIT, "JUDGE LEE # 4". THIS
 IS THE 31 PAGE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS
 FILED DECEMBER 4, 2015. THEREAFTER,
 THE OCTOBER 4, 2015 HEARING. THE
 KING-KHALIFAH AND ANTHONY COOK
 THEN FILED EXHIBIT, "JUDGE LEE # 4",
 AS AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS WITHOUT
 THE WORD "MOTIONS" IN IT. JUDGE
 LEE, THE COURT, THE UNITED STATES
 GOVERNMENT AND THE OTHER DE-
 18 of 48

Defendants missed the (30) day window allowed by civil procedure to challenge the document. So they attempted FRAUD to obtain fraudulent protective orders to misrepresent the facts and to circumvent their failure to timely respond which were void ab initio due to removal, which further voided their jurisdiction by this additional FRAUD being unconstitutional. They did not appeal or seek to challenge the filings which make them correct and valid. Judge Lee, the court, the United States government, nor the defendants

18 of 48

challenged the affidavits. Their silence is acceptance being unopposed affidavits of facts, McLREB v Amax Coal Co., Inc. Div. of Amax Inc., 453 US 322, 101 Sct 2789 (US 1981); Chimney's Management Co. LLC v Affiliated F.M. Insurance Co., 552 F.Supp. 3d 159 (2016); BAUER v Quest Communicators Co. LLC, 743 F.Supp.3d 221 (2014); Global Tech, 131 Sct 2060 (US 2011).

(8) Exhibit, "muavit # 1". This is the (17) page affidavit of facts dated July 9, 2018 filed in case 8:17-cv-1804-RJA-JDA.

(9) Exhibit, "muavit # 2". This is 20 of 48

the (20) page Affidavit of Facts dated August 2, 2018 filed in case 017-cv-01804-RBH-JDA. I motion to expand the scope and all documents filed in this case and its parallel sister cases now be deemed filed in this case.

(10) Exhibit, "Sovereign Citizen - Not" this is the (85) page document dated October 2, 2009. Please take notice of page (60) of the (85) page document. This is where Affirmative Action was argued before the court in case 2013-cv-400-0084 and defaulted on by the United States as it was in other 21 of 48

documents filed in the state case to which the United States is party. This document further substantiate that this is no frivolous "Sovereign Citizen" claim.

(U) Exhibit, "FBI Report". The court will see the issue of Affirmative Action discussed and argued in this document as it is in Exhibit, "MU Out # 2".

I motion to intervene and to amended to be added as a party in this case. Collateral estoppel attaches to my claim involving Affirmative Action without my consent. It is under my legal protection and fiduciary obligation as Martin Luther King Jr's
22 of 48

SUCCESSOR, AS King Khalifah of the
 RE-United Ethiopian Empire which is
 ONE of the (4) Global Thrones, all
 this defaulted on by the United
 STATES EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CA-400-
 0084 giving me legal standing to
 INTERVENE and protect my interest
 PURSUANT to FEDERAL Rules of Civ Pro.,
 Rules 18, 19, 20 and 24 (a) (2) (b) (3) (b). Also
 28 USC §§ 1602-1612 et seq. A new party
 to the action came claim estopped. I
 officially challenge this court's
 JURISDICTION to hear this case. Best
v BANK of AMERICA N.A., 2015 WL
 5124463 (E.D. N.Y. 2015); WORKMAN v.
CITY of SYRACUSE, 2015 WL 3004375
 (N.D. N.Y. 2015); BEASTIE BOYS v MONSTER

ENERGY Co., 2015 WL 736078 (SDNY 2015).

I object to any claim of frivolous due to I, by due process law filing legal action to establish these rights heard before a competent court having jurisdiction to determine these claims. Thus I am not infringing upon rights that have not been legally established. Office Sessions entered this case via the US Justice Dept. as a party. Collateral estoppel attaches and the United States, which include this court, is procedurally barred from challenging this claim due to the default and voiding of jurisdiction emerging from case 2013 CP 400-24 of 48

0084. The US SUPREME COURT determined in the case of US v LANE, 75 US 185, 200-01, 19 LEd 445, 449 (US 1868) that once I have entered these documents in the COURT RECORD the DISTRICT COURT must give the SAME PRECLUSIVE effect as it EMERGES FROM the STATE COURT.

The SUPREME COURT further determined that once I filed these documents within the COURT RECORD. The only thing the COURT CAN do is conduct a PRETRIAL OR EVIDENTIARY hearing to give the United States GOVERNMENT opportunity to demonstrate why they have not been given a fair opportunity to respond to the affidavits of facts establishing the default and

25 of 48

voiding of jurisdiction which they cannot do because instead of taking the opportunity to timely respond they chose fraud. Thus, I motion for and respectfully demand a hearing pursuant to Fed. Rule 16(a)(5)(E). Once I have served this court and defendants the pleading now filed the court is required to conduct a pretrial hearing to address the claims of collateral estoppel before this case proceeds any further due to the default, voiding of jurisdiction emerging from case 2013-CP-000-0084, as well as their fraud, obstruction of justice and dilatory behavior on

the part of the United States government, Jeff Sessions and Donald Trump's boys placing them in forfeiture where this claim of collateral estoppel establish a legal challenge to this district court's jurisdiction, US v Lane 75 US 185, 200-01, 19 Fed 445, 449 (US 1868); Looney v City of Wilmington Del., 723 F. Supp. 1025 (D. Del. 1989); In Re Riggle, 389 B.R. 167 (D. Colo 2007); In Re Bundick, 303 B.R. 90 (E.D. Va 2003) - The court is barred from raising any issue which was adjudicated in a former suit and all issues which could have been raised in that former suit. The essential elements of res judicata and or collateral estoppel are identity of

PARTIES which means ~~ONCE~~ SESSIONS AND
 THE JUSTICE DEPT INTERVENED. This opens
 the door for me to INTERVENE AND
 PROTECT my AND my PEOPLES INTEREST AS
 FIDUCIARY THEIR KING, KHALIFAH TO THE (U)
 GLOBAL THRONES; identity of the subject
 MATTER, in this CASE it is AFFIRMATIVE
 ACTION, for which AS MARTIN LUTHER KING
 JR'S SUCCESSOR, AND due to PROTECTIONS
 now by law ESTABLISHED TO PRESERVE
 AFFIRMATIVE ACTION in its ORIGINAL in-
 TEGRITY EMERGING FROM CASE 2013-CV-400-
 0084 to which THE UNITED STATES IS PARTY,
 JURISDICTIONAL BAR EXIST; AND ADJUDICATION
 in A FORMER SUIT. THE ISSUE OF AFFIRMA-
 TIVE ACTION BEING PRESERVED in its ORIGINAL
 INTEGRITY IS PART OF THE ADJUDICATION

IN CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 AND IS PRO-
CEDURALLY BARRIED IN ANY FURTHER ATTACK
BY ANY ONE AS IS DECREED BY THE FOREIGN
SOVEREIGN CROWN AND DUE PROCESS LAW,
THE TRIE GUY, 552 B.R. 89 (ASC 2016); HAY
GROUP MANAGEMENT INC v. SCHNEIDER
- FSUPP3d - , 2018 WL 655595 (ED Pa 2018);
SARA V. WILSON, APPELLANT v CHARLESTON
COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT RESPONDENT - SE2d-
2017 WL 1075196 (SC 2017); HARDWICK v
BANK OF AMERICA NA, 2016 WL 3563083
(ASC 2016); KEARNEY v JOLEY AND LARDNER
LLP, 2016 WL 5405582 (2016).

(2) Exhibit, "Foreign Sovereign
#1". This is the Affidavit of Facts
Giving Judicial Notice, *** (34)
PAGES dated DECEMBER 20, 2017
29 of 48

filed in the pending FEDERAL CASES.

(13) Exhibit, "Foreign Sovereign # 2". This is the (70) page Affidavit of Facts giving Judicial Notice, ~~was~~ dated October 5, 2017.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE OF PAGES (35) through (60) of the (70) page document. I give the COURT AND PARTIES official notice that I am invoking all superseding Attorney, Judicial and Legislative power of the Sole Corporation and Foreign Sovereign Crown which is binding upon this Court due to default and collateral estoppel emerging from CASE 2013-CA-400-0084.
30 of 48

Unless a hearing is conducted where Sessions and his Trump flunkies prove they timely challenged to defeat the affidavits of default and voiding of jurisdiction this court and parties are bound by your oath of office to uphold the Constitution where these now legally established rights are protected under both Article I section 10 and Article 13 to acknowledge all rights, titles and immunities of the sole corporation Stanish Al Mahdi AS DUE PROCESS REQUIRES

(C) exhibit, "NFL players".
This is the document sent to the NFL players by me via friends
31 of 48

who e-mailed it to their face
 book accounts and the Black sports
 writers). I am the Black messiah.
 Note the date of the document,
 when the NFL notified the public
 and players of their intent to
 place sanctions on them I intervened
 and sent them this document. A
 month later the NFL withdrew
 the document that established the
 sanctions. I caused this which was
 hid from the public. If I stepped
 up to the plate to protect the
 African Americans who are football
 players. If I intervened by my
 fiduciary duty to protect them. What

320848

World make anyone think I would
not fight like a whirlwind from God
himself to protect and preserve this
legislation my forefathers and fore
mothers along with their dedicated
white brethren fought for and
died sacrificing their best blood to
establish and preserve? As Judiciary
King Khalifah to the US Global Peoples
I motion to withdraw and to amend
the parties and my name be estab-
lished within this case to exercise
my right to establish collateral estoppel.

(5) Exhibit "Mead Dersley". This
is the Affidavit of Facts Giving
Attorney Notice 1 per (2) pages dated
33 of 48

JANUARY 16, 2018 THAT IS ALSO BEING
 ARGUED WITHIN THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY
 PURSUANT TO CASE 2:18-cv-10129-JU THAT
 IS ATTACHED TO A § 1983 ACTION BEING
 PROCESSED TO TRANSFER ALL CASES TO
 WHICH I AM A PARTY UNDER AS TAG-
 ALONGS. I GIVE THE COURT JUDICIAL
 NOTICE. ONCE I HAVE OFFICIALLY
 MOTIONED TO INTERVENE IN THIS
 CASE. THE PROVISIONS OF THE PRISON
 LITIGATION REFORM ACT NOW ATTACHES.
 THIS A STRUCTURAL ERROR NOW ATTACHES
 TO THIS CASE WHERE CONSTITUTIONAL
 CHALLENGE IS BEING MADE UNDER
 CASE 9:18-cv-01408-TW-BM AND
 IT TOO, WITH THIS CASE, MUST BE

placed before the jury as well which further establish that these are now multi-district litigation proceedings even related to every state that allows same sex marriage where this nation arrested, attached or executed the intellectual property of the foreign sovereign crown. This too is defaulted on under case 2013-CA-400-0084 marriage challenge by any court due to collateral estoppel, Clark v United States 2017 WL 390294 (DC 2017).

(16) Exhibit, "Trustee", this is the mandamus that makes up case 16-2299. It establishes Judge Austin's exclusive jurisdiction over these proceedings. It explains the "Trust"

36 of 48

that all citizens, Muslims, Jews,
 agencies and its dispersal are required
 how by law to protect due to the
 default and protected by the process
 and Article 1 section 10 and Article
 13 of the US Constitution which
 all of you by your oath of office are
 bound to know ledge with all
 court records, United States v Apple Mac
 Pro Comp - Fed, court records (ad ca 2017).

(7) Exhibit, "Trustee / with circuit"
 This is the affidavit of facts giving
 judicial notice, (56) pages
 dated May 8, 2018 that supports the
 claim of Trustee now being established
 over these cases which is an
 additional challenge to this

COURTS JURISDICTION. ONCE JURISDIC-
 tion IS REQUIRED, IT IS EXCLUSIVE WHERE
 JUDGE AUSTIN IS DESIGNATED VIA WRIT
 OF COMMISSION BY THE SOLE CORPORATION
 AND THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN CROWN,
Fifth Third Bancorp v. Dudenhoeffer,
 134 S.Ct. 2459, 189 L.Ed.2d 457 (US 2014),
Jobes v. Jobes, 341 P.3d. 1041, 2015
 WY. 3, JAN, 2015 | TRUSTEES of Dartmouth
 College v Woodward, 17 US 518, 1812
 WL 2001, PRESIDENT v. I.C.C., 494 US 1,
 110 S.Ct 914, 108 L.Ed.2d 1 (US 1990) | BROWN
v. BROWN, ESUPP. 2d, 2013 WL 2338233
 (DC Ky 2013) | AMERICAN MUT. LIBERTY
INS CO. v. PLYWOODS-PLASTICS CORP., 81
 ESUPP. 157 (DC SC 1948) | OPARAH v. THE
NEW YORK CITY DEPT. OF EDUC., ESUPP. 3d,

2015 WL 4040733 (July 10, 2015),
Sandra J. Kottman Plaintiff v. United
States, 2017 WL 4185481 (Mo. Missouri
2017). See Case 1:18-cv-13459-JWH in Notes.

(18) Exhibit, "Transfer", this
is the affidavit of facts giving
judicial notice 1 *** (36) pages
dated August 18, 2018 filed within
all federal cases. It was recently
served on the federal attorney
of the district of south Carolina,
The US Justice Department, the
SC Attorney General, the New
Jersey District Court attached to a
3 1903 action being processed as
we speak and all other necessary
parties including the SC Dept.
38 of 48

39 of 48

of course things. This case is now
 a long bloody case. I am seeking
 this case transfer to Newark
 Newark. You want to address
 issues that are potentially great
 American interests to their
 detail matter? Well there lets
 establish what we before the
 appropriate court where they as
 a jury can have a fair say so
 in the matter. Transfer of
 venue pursuant to 28 USC § 1407
 now others. Proper review is
 sought and by the superseding
 power and authority of the foreign
 sovereign court. This case is
 transferred to New District

no of us

pleadings under case 2013-cv-100-0084 deposited on by the United

also is the historic part of the for the record. The (34) page complaint 04-385 pending in Richland County SC.

turn application that makes up case sheet from sled case 5501014, the

dated March 6, 2007, the makes this is the (34) page complaint,

(19-21) Exhibits "Page 1-3"

more elbow room to battle. to give us litigants a little bit bringing this fight to the bench sends Litigation Rule (5) 02 and 03. Lets or in under the multi-district in Newpark lit: so without street

states. Look at the intake sheet
 from SLED file 550104. This is where
 the evidence of actual innocence
 is concealed to which the Justice Dept.
 and United States are privy. Look at
 the cause of death. Note that it
 is after the autopsy was completed.
 If the King Khalifah allegedly murdered
 his child why is this file stating the
 cause of death is "mal nourish ment"
 and there is a question mark by the
 word "TRAUMA?". It is because she
 never died of a beating. They, these
 klansmen dogs, like their David
 Duke's yellow dog of a President,
 concealed evidence of actual innocence
 to allow them to produce false
 u of 48

because evidence to prevent you
 in the future from doing what I
 am doing now. That is protect
 affirmative action as the Black
 majority Luther King was
 successor. I want this evidence
 pursuant to discovery with the pretrial
 hearing and I want that done in
 Johnny Bell's possession, the
 Kershaw County Coroner, tested to
 Michael Lee before these cases
 proceed. Let's get at the truth of
 exactly what's going on here with
 Jeff Sessions and the law dog Trump
 being proud to do
 I find the parallel practices
 return our motion, either before
 us of us

this court for an in writing
 by an independent source other
 than the US Justice Dept. Attorney
 Michelle Curran by your oath of
 office to uphold the constitution. It
 is your duty also to see that this
 is done. This includes that you
 ensuring that all documents
 filed in case 2:17-cv-1300-RMG-MG
 are done and filed in this case
 as well as those filed in cases
 17-cv-110-TWBM and 17-cv-
 380-TWBM and 17-cv-01804-RBT
 and we want the required personal
 hearing to establish in the court
 record all of these jurisdictional
 facts. All exhibits are filed with court
 130048

usage

except those guidelines are reported to be removed from

legal boxes. All parties listed properly without exceptions and

being met with all their personal for being actions. This includes

the parallel practices subject to collateral estoppel practices to which is legally used. How party

is challenging construction

is a "false imprisonment" case you can find. Case 2013-cv-400-

at the release camp, the next

§ 155 (c) and we be taken to

Federal custody pursuant to use

the motion to be taken into

state custody and we be sent
to a FEDERAL PRE-RELEASE CAMP
together, held at one such camp,
within (60) days of the filing
of this pleading. Attorney Sessions
and Attorney Michelle Turnage,
you both pursuant to your oath
of office to uphold the constitution
are to see that this is done to
allow us to expedite these judicial
proceedings in a timely fashion,
the convictions are already
invalidated and have been
since FEBRUARY 2016 due to
the default and voiding of
jurisdiction emerging from
us of us

CASE 2013-CR-400-0084. I give all parties notice that all exhibits referenced to within this document are filed with the District Court. Please obtain them electronically Justice and Fairness must prevail. Lets move this case to give me a little more elbow room to battle.

The deepest fear of the servants of the most high God, is not that we are inadequate. Their deepest fear is that we are more powerful beyond measure, more powerful than we could ever possibly imagine. You might ~~say~~ say, what right do we have to be fabulous, beautiful,

46 of 48

intelligent, strong, COURAGEOUS, pious,
 RIGHTEOUS SERVANTS of THE ONE TRUE
 God? THE REALITY is. What Right do
 WE HAVE not to be? What Right do
 WE HAVE not to accept the ORDER, the
 divine DECREE of OUR God? EACH
 GENERATION out of relative obscurity
 must discover their mission in life
 and either fulfill it, ... OR BETRAY
 it. OUR mission in life as global
 Holy believers of Christianity, Islam,
 Judaism, of Africa and its diaspora,
 is to SERVE the God who created us,
 with all of our hearts, with all of
 our strengths, with all of our spirits,
 with all of our might, who is ONE
 God, having NO PARTNERS to share
 in HIS GLORY. He is the God of

Abraham, Isaac, Jacob, Moses, Christ
and Muhammad, the one TRUE God whom
WE ALL SERVE. If WE HAVE not in life
discovered something worth dying
for, like this legislation OUR forefathers
HAVE SACRIFICED their best blood to
establish, ... then WE ARE not fit to live!
WE shall not BETRAY OURSELVES nor OUR
MORTAL SOULS which is the HEART of
this mission WE EMBARK. WE shall
manifest the GLORY of OUR God. And when
WE do this. WE give others the COURAGE
to do the SAME. Commonwealth of
Virginia v. Aghem El-Defendants, 2016
WL 4507814 (ED Va 2016).

Respectfully
Ibrahim Al Mahdi



September 4, 2018

480848