UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

FREDERICK BANKS,	;
Plaintiff,	:
v.	÷
ROBERT CESSAR, et al.,	
Defendants.	:

Civil Action No. 16-10972-DJC

<u>ORDER</u>

CASPER, J.

July 21, 2016

For the reasons stated below, the Court orders the plaintiff to pay the \$400 filing fee.

On May 24, 2016, Frederick Banks ("Banks") filed a *pro se* civil complaint in this Court. Banks is federal pretrial detainee, <u>see United States v. Banks</u>, Crim. No. 15-00168 (W.D. Pa.), who is currently confined at FCI Butner in Butner, North Carolina.¹ Banks did not pay the \$400 filing fee or seek leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

In his brief complaint, D. 1, Banks alleges that an Assistant United States Attorney "Robert Cessar failed to disclose CIA electronic surveillance on Plaintiff that was unlawfully ordered because he knew it would prove the FBI set up Plaintiff and exonerate him in violation of 5th Amendment Due Process and the Sixth Amendment right to a fair trial." <u>Id.</u> at 1. Banks seeks \$500,000,000 in damages.

Under the Prison Litigation Reform Act ("PLRA"), a prisoner generally cannot proceed *in forma pauperis* if he has, on three or more prior occasions, filed an action or appeal that was dismissed on the ground that it was frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim upon which relief

¹The plaintiff provides as his address the "Inmate Locator" of the Federal Bureau of Prisons ("BOP") and the address of the agency's headquarters in Washington, D.C. The "Find an Inmate" service on the BOP's web site, <u>see https://www.bop.gov/inmateloc (last visited July 21, 2016)</u>, indicates that Banks is currently confined at FCI Butner.

may be granted. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). Where a prisoner has "three strikes," he may only proceed *in forma pauperis* if he is "under imminent danger of serious physical injury" in regard to the misconduct alleged in the complaint. <u>Id.</u>; <u>see Pettus v. Morgenthau</u>, 554 F.3d 293, 297 (2d Cir. 2009) (holding that "there must be a nexus between the imminent danger a three-strikes prisoner alleges to obtain IFP status and the legal claims asserted in his complaint"); <u>Ball v. Hummel</u>, 577 Fed. Appx. 96, 96 n.1 (3d Cir. 2014) (per curiam) (same); <u>Alston v. F.B.I.</u>, 747 F. Supp. 2d 28, 31 (D.D.C. 2010) (same).

Banks has, while a prisoner, filed numerous cases in federal district courts throughout the country that have been dismissed as malicious or frivolous or for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. In 2008, this Court (Tauro, J.), identified ten such cases and denied his motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* on that ground. <u>See Banks v. Sutherland</u>, C.A. No. 08-10880-JLT, Docket Entry No. 3 (D. Mass. May 28, 2008). In 2013, a federal district court in Ohio identified 205 cases Banks had filed in federal district courts which had been dismissed at the pleading stage; of those cases, one third were dismissed as frivolous and the remaining were dismissed under the three strikes provision of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). <u>See Banks v. Valaluka</u>, C.A. No. 15-01935, 2015 WL 7430077, at *1 & n.1 (N.D. Ohio Nov. 18, 2015).

Because Banks has incurred three or more "strikes" and is not "under imminent danger of serious physical injury" in regards to the alleged misconduct, he is not eligible to proceed without prepayment of the filing fee.

Accordingly, if Banks wishes to pursue this action, he must, within 35 days of the date of this order, pay the \$400 filing fee. Failure to do so will result in dismissal of this action. If Banks timely pays the filing fee, the complaint will be subject to an initial screening pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A.

So ordered.

/s/ Denise J. Casper United States District Judge